

Evolution of the MYRRHA Spallation target design

From windowless loop to loopless window

Steven KEIJERS

Steven.keijers@sckcen.be or myrrha@sckcen.be

MEGAPIE Final Technical Review Meeting ,October 2014, Bregenz, Austria



MYRRHA - Accelerator Driven System

Accelerator

(600 MeV - 4 mA proton)

Reactor

- Subcritical or Critical modes
- 65 to 100 MWth

- Demonstrate the ADS concept (coupling accelerator +spallation source + powerreactor) at preindustrial scale
- Demonstrate Transmutation (experimental fuel assemblies)
- Fast neutron source: Multipurpose and flexible Irradiaton facility





- Spallation target assembly requirements
- MYRRHA XT-ADS windowless spallation loop
- MEGAPIE experience feedback
- MYRRHA FASTEF spallation target assembly design
- R&D subjects related Spallation target assembly

Spallation target assembly requirements

- Produce sufficient neutrons by interaction of beam with target to feed subcritical core (goal k_{eff}=0,95)
- Guide proton beam to centre of subcritical core
- Guarantee cooling of the target zone
- Enable flexible operation of the reactor

(2008) MYRRHA XT-ADS windowless spallation loop

- Initial accelerator : Cyclotron of 350 MeV
 - High energy deposition in structural materials
 - Unacceptable irradiation damage in window

- Solution : Design without window
 - Dedicated closed Lead Bismuth target loop
 - Proton beam enters target at free surface
 - 3 central core positions



MYRRHA XT-ADS windowless spallation loop

Inventive but complex system

Loop closed around the core

Components submerged in LBE

Challenging control of free surface level, recirculation, splashing and evaporation

Removable sub-unit with active components for maintenance

High irradiation damage of structural parts



MYRRHA XT-ADS windowless spallation loop





Copyright © 2014 SCK•CEN

To loopless window spallation target

Change from cyclotron to linac (1998-2003...)

- Beam power increased from 350 to 600 MeV (2003-2008)
- Re-evaluation of concept Windowless-Window (2009-...)



MEGAwatt Pllot Experiment

SCK•CEN participated from the beginning of the project

- Design of temperature control system
- Material research

- Material selection
 - Choice for T91 window
- Design methods
 - Proof of simulation tools
 - Neutronics (MCNPX)
 - Thermal hydraulics (CFD)
 - Mechanical analyses (fatigue, FE)
- Material research results

Effects of proton irradiation on ferritic-martensitic T91

- He-embrittlement induces shift in DBTT
- Low temperature irradiation: DBTT(200°C) at about 10 dpa

STIP-3 : ductility at higher irradiation temperature up to 20dpa



Liquid metal embrittlement

- No additional embrittlement due to LBE at high T (above 450°C)
- STIP-2 results



B. Long et al./Journal of Nuclear Materials 431 (2012) 85-90

LBE corrosion

- Stringent Oxygen control in MYRRHA
- Maximum allowed temperature range up to 470°C for structure in contact with LBE (outside window temperature)
- Slow process, of less relevance for window with limited stand time

Above all and best proof

- Successful operation record of MEGAPIE
 - IMW target, 4 months operation 1,74 mA
 - Estimated window temperature around 470°C
 - 10.000 thermal cycles/yr due to beam trips
 - Window loading: 2,8 A.h

Ø Conclusion: MYRRHA window in T91 at operating temperature range between 450 and 500°C is feasible

- Primary coolant LBE as target material
 - No dedicated loop: simplification of design
 - No active components, no free surface, no separate LBE conditioning
 - Beam tube ending on hemispheric window T91 in centre of the core
- Main challenges
 - Limiting material damage
 - Cooling of the target zone
 - Optimisation of window temperature range (stagnation point)



flow

Goal

- Minimum 1 cycle or 3 full power months of operation
- 3,5mA @ 600 MeV
- Flexibility for operation
 - Spallation target assembly designed as an In Pile Section (IPS)
 - Connected to beam line at reactor cover

- Power estimation (3,5mA@600MeV)
 - Heat load in LBE : 62% of beam power = 1,3 MW
 - Targeted temperature increase LBE: 200°C (270-470°C)
 - Resulting mass flow rate LBE: 45kg/s
 - Flow area needed (to stay below 2m/s) : 0,022m²



3 central positions of FA with 91 pins



1 central position of FA with 127 pins



Target fits in 1 core position when FA is enlarged from 91 to 127 pins

- Current density estimation
 - Beam tube diameter: 87,7mm (remains within 1 position)
 - 3,5mA flat distribution on flat plate : 62µA/cm²
 - Challenging compared to maximum value MEGAPIE of 52µA/cm² Material damage 6*30*24*0,052= 225mA.h/cm² peak
- With Gaussian profile, peak load at stagnation point
- **O** Sweeping Gaussian profile
 - Sweeping radius 21,5mm
 - σ_{Profile} 9,5mm
 - Maximum current density 35µA/cm²/mA

Material damage 3*30*24*3,5*0,035mA/cm²=265mA.h/cm²



mm

Detailed simulations MCNPX

- Neutron production
- Heat input in LBE
- Heat input in Window
- Material damage
 - Helium production
 - Dpa
- FASTEF version 1.6



1 Cycle beam current from 1,68 to 2,45mA: 22dpa and 1192 appmHe



R&D subjects related Spallation target assembly

- Feedback experience from MEGAPIE (design, LBE control, material testing, spallation products inventory)
- Window coolability in JLBL-3 loop at JAEA (Tokai, JP)
 Simulation verification and validation
- Full scale Thermal-hydraulic and mechanical testing in COMPLOT loop at SCK•CEN (Mol, BE):
 - Flow control,
 - Erosion control,
 - Coolability,
 - Flow induced vibration

R&D subjects related Spallation target assembly

COMPLOT = **COM**ponent **LO**op **T**esting

- Characterisation of hydraulic and hydrodynamic behaviour of full-scale MYRRHA components in LBE
 - Fuel assembly hydraulics
 - Spallation target hydraulics
 - Control and safety rod hydrodynamics
 - Characteristics
- Representing one core position at full height
 - LBE as working fluid
 - Isothermal loop
 - Interchangeable test modules



The evolution of the MYRRHA spallation target design towards a window design without loop was greatly influenced and only possible by the work done within the MEGAPIE-project and the MEGAPIE-experience

Don't hesitate to change your mind when solving problems with too complex solutions Hamid Aït Abderrahim

Copyright © 2014 - SCKŸCEN

PLEASE NOTE!

This presentation contains data, information and formats for dedicated use ONLY and may not be copied, distributed or cited without the explicit permission of the SCK•CEN. If this has been obtained, please reference it as a "personal communication. By courtesy of SCK•CEN".

SCK•CEN

Studiecentrum voor Kernenergie Centre d'Etude de l'Energie Nucléaire Belgian Nuclear Research Centre

> Stichting van Openbaar Nut Fondation d'Utilité Publique Foundation of Public Utility

Registered Office: Avenue Herrmann-Debrouxlaan 40 – BE-1160 BRUSSELS Operational Office: Boeretang 200 – BE-2400 MOL

