

Development of compact spallation sources

Karel Samec karel.samec@cern.ch

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Commission under the FP7-INFRASTRUCTURES-2010-1/INFRA-2010-2.2.11 project TIARA (CNI-PP). Grant agreement no 261905.

IWSMT-12

Bregenz 19-23 October 2014

Joint Session - TRM

Project objectives EU Context



TIARA : Test Infrastructure and Accelerator Research Area Preparatory Phase

http://www.eu-tiara.eu/

- The main objective of TIARA is the integration of national and international accelerator R&D infrastructures into a single distributed European accelerator R&D facility with the goal of developing and strengthening state-of-the-art research, competitiveness and innovation in a sustainable way in the field of accelerator Science and Technologies in Europe
- CEA, CRNS (F) CERN, PSI (CH), DESY, GSI (D), SFTC (UK), UU (SE), IFJ (PL), CIEMAT (ES), INFN (IT)
- 9 Work packages in all related to accelerators

Project objectives EU Context



Team Members

- Roy Aleksan
 CEA
 EU Tiai
- Sebastien Bousson CNRS
- Yacine Kadi
- Masoud Behzad
- Yoann Fusco
 CEl
- Raul Luis
- Yuri Romanets
- Karel Samec

CERN, SKKU

SKKU

CERN

ITN

ITN CERN EU Tiara Project leader

- EU Tiara WP9 Work-package leader
- J EU Tiara WP9 .1 Local coordinator
 - Target CFD

Design & analysis

Neutronic calculation

Neutronic modeling

Engineering responsible

Project objectives Local Context

CERN

Purposes of a LM spallation source:

- Neutron sources are used in laboratories
 - SINQ Villigen Switzerland,
 - JSNS –Hokkaido Japan,
 - SNS Oakridge USA
- Further installations are planned (ESS in Lund SE, MYRRHA in BE)
 - Life sciences / Material sciences / Particle physics
- Industrial applications are possible:
 - power from Thorium / spent Uranium / ADS
 - Isotope production for medical purposes
 - Irradiation facility for nuclear materials

Project objectives From prior experience to applications

CERN





100 kW Irradiation Facility

Design process Specification

CERN

- Specification to test materials under:
 - > At least 10 Dpa per year
 - ▹ up to 600°C
 - > up to 500 Mpa (static or cyclical)
 - Liquid metal corrosion
- Facility must be usable "anywhere"
- Integrated safety barriers, no need for dedicated zone

Design process Essential parameters

8



| Characteristic | Value | Unit |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Primary Circuit Lead inventory | 11 | L |
| Secondary Circuit Gallium inventory | 4.5 | L |
| Max / min primary temperature | 550 / 380 | °C |
| Max / min secondary temperature | 120 / 75 | °C |
| Beam Power | 100 | kW |
| Beam Energy | 200 - 600 | MeV |
| Peak current density | 30 | μA / cm2 |
| Min proton / neutron damage | 10 | Dpa / y |
| Max window stress | <100 | MPa |
| Neutron flux density | >10 ¹³ | n/cm ² s |

Design process prior experience

Accelerator-based facility, like LISOR





...but more samples, like BR2 (reactor)



Design process prior experience



Micro-samples as in LISOR



(elliptical beam section for homogenous pattern)

Design process prior experience



Compact liquid metal neutron source design from Eurisol



Conical beam window adapted to high power density

Ample room for samples in high flux regions

Design process Overall Concept





Entire facility fits within a transportable structure, containing the irradiation area, all ancillaries, barriers and shielding.

In the center of the facility rests the neutron spallation source where the material samples are positioned for testing. The spallation source contains liquid lead which when hit by a proton beam emits neutrons thus leading to DPA build-up in samples from neutrons and protons

A primary loop interfaces with a secondary loop containing gallium which then evacuates the heat to an air-cooled heat exchanger.

Design process Primary Loop Design

- Design ensures:
- Drainage: full redundancy and external evacuation.
- On-line Filtering on bypass line.
- Large pressurizer able to also collect spallation gases.
- Detachable primary/secondary HEX interface.
- HEX bypass for thermal control.
- All control valves manual/pneumatic.





Design process Secondary Loop Design

- Secondary loop fits inside a detachable truss located inside the primary truss, from which it is distinct
- Heat evacuation by air heat exchanger using forced heat convection to dump heat to laboratory
- Pump using permanent magnet and conventional motor (diversity + ease of maintenance)
- Interface with primary limited to barrel of heat exchanger sliding over primary pin







Design process Tiara Target station concept



CERN

Design process Target station concept





Design process Placement of samples in target



CERN







CERN



pushrods at sample holder Interface

CERN





Specific design innovations Robotic maintenance



Specific design innovations Opening of samples holder



CFR

Specific design innovations Opening of samples holder





Design process Two-piece separable Heat EXchanger



- A primary fluid circulating in a central tube (blue) is located inside a hollow cylinder forming a spiral flow containing the secondary fluid (orange)
- The inner primary pin can easily be extracted from the secondary cylinder, thus ensuring the two fluids are kept separate (no leak-through) and can be sent for maintenance in different zones

Design analysis CFD Analysis of Heat Exchanger



- The primary hotter fluid reverses at the end of the central pin and exits from the same side
- The secondary fluid travels in a spiral around the primary container and is in contact with it through a double-wall which serves as an extra barrier but does degrade the performance

The design is nevertheless able to evacuate 100 kW in a very compact design

Design Analysis CFD Analysis of Target

Numerous iterations were necessary to improve flow conditions after the reversal in the beam window...







CFR

Design Analysis Neutronics analysis in target



Very detailed model allowing great depth of investigation into every aspect of the design.



Design Analysis Sample Neutronics / Beam sigma 1:6



- DPA values up to 0.73 DPA/month ~ 9 DPA/year
- Relative contribution from protons and neutrons depends on the position of the sample
- Variation of 20% from tip to centre





Neutronics Analysis Sample Analysis – Beam reduced to 1:1.7



| | DPA per year (stat. uncertainty < 1%) | | |
|--------------|--|--|-------------------|
| Beam Spot | s _X : 6 cm s _Y : 1 cm | s _x : 1.7 cm s _y : 1 cm | |
| Sample N° | 600 MeV 166 μA | 400 MeV 250 μA | 200 MeV 500 μA |
| 1 | 8.8 | 23.0 | 25.7 |
| 2 | 6.0 | 13.9 | 1.7 |
| 3 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 0.6 |

CERN

DPA values increased over 10/yr.

Relative contribution from protons and neutrons depends on the position of the sample

Neutronics Analysis Sample Analysis – Beam reduced to 1:1.7



| Sample | Beam | DPA/year (stat. uncertainty) | Contribution from protons | Contribution from neutrons |
|--------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | s _x : 6 cm s _Y : 1 cm 600 MeV | 8.76 (0.4%) | 44.3% | 55.5% |
| 2 | | 6.00 (0.5%) | 34.9% | 63.8% |
| 3 | | 4.08 (0.5%) | 25.9% | 73.2% |
| 4 | | 2.88 (0.6%) | 20.0% | 79.1% |
| 1 | s _x : 1.7 cm s _Y : 1 cm 400 MeV | 22.92 (0.1%) | 58.1% | 40.6% |
| 2 | | 13.92 (0.3%) | 49.8% | 49.2% |
| 3 | | 8.40 (0.3%) | 44.5% | 54.5% |
| 4 | | 4.92 (0.4%) | 45.1% | 54.0% |

Tightening the beam influences relative contribution from protons and neutrons

Neutronics Analysis Sample Analysis – Gas production

| CE | rn) V |
|----|---------------|
| N | |
| | |

| | Wide Beam | | Narrow Beam | |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | nuclei/cm3/s | appm (1 yr.) | nuclei/cm3/s | appm (1 yr.) |
| Sample 1 | | | | |
| Total H | 2,33E+12 | 226 | 5,71E+12 | 553 |
| Total He | 3,84E+11 | 148 | 1,19E+12 | 457 |
| Sample 2 | | | | |
| Total H | 1,34E+12 | 130 | 3,20E+12 | 310 |
| Total He | 2,06E+11 | 79 | 4,89E+11 | 188 |
| Sample 3 | | | | |
| Total H | 8,55E+11 | 83 | 1,80E+12 | 175 |
| Total He | 1,07E+11 | 41 | 2,38E+11 | 92 |
| Sample 4 | | | | |
| Total H | 1,50E+12 | 145 | 3,35E+12 | 324 |
| Total He | 4,13E+10 | 16 | 9,59E+10 | 37 |

Neutronics Analysis Shielding Analysis

- Shielding dimensioning studies are complete.
- A mix of polyethylene and borated polyethylene with a lead neutron diffusor around the target has yielded the best results.



ERI

Neutronics Analysis Shielding Studies





Neutronics Analysis Shielding Studies

- Ambient equivalent dose rates (Sv/h) after the shielding, in 1 cm slices of air, for
- 200 MeV (top)
- 400 MeV (bottom)
- Beam σ = 1.7 cm / 1.0 cm
- Left side lateral side of the TMIF facility.
- Right side back end of the T-MIF facility.



100



Equivalent Dose (Sv/h)



CERN



4 MW ADS Demonstrator
Design process prior experience



Compact liquid metal neutron source design from Eurisol



- The thin-gauge low-stress concave conical window of EURISOL is used as a base for the design
- FEM Results for a 4 MW / 1 GeV / Sigma 15mm beam



Max stress = 137 MPa



Design of the window target 3D Stress Analysis for checking buckling

- Previously optimised section, in 3D,
- uniform internal pressure 40 Bar
- Safety factor of 6



Design of the window target 3D CFD Analysis



Design of the window target CFD Analysis



Design of the window target CFD Analysis with beam



Design of the window target CFD Analysis with pulsed beam



ER

























































ER

Outer shell of target





CFRN

Liquid metal flow




ER

Outer containment serves as a heat exchanger



CER



Inner circulation of cooling fluid in external containment













Concluding remarks Spallation source development



- The most pressing difficulties in many of the new projects undertaken in high-power physics relate to achieving high irradiation doses, either for materials testing and/or new reactor concepts.
- Increasing the qualities of the spallation sources for the physics infrastructure of tomorrow is crucially dependent on improving the survivability of the components, their serviceability, their environmental impact.
- There is a rationale for liquid metal spallation sources to meet the needs for testing concepts under extreme conditions of irradiation, corrosion, temperature and stress.

Concluding remarks Ressources & Publications



- The work has been sponsored by the EU-FP7 program and is available on the <u>http://www.eu-tiara.eu/</u> website under WP9: TIHPAC R&D infrastructure
- The final design report comprises 150 pages of detailed information on the project and is available on-line at https://cds.cern.ch/record/1647578
- Publications are on-going and should include a "Special Topic" in the European Physical Journal in the coming year.