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## Motivation

- OPAL is a simulation tool developed at PSI
- COMET is a real machine operating at PSI
- Need to improve the simulation for COMET
- For OPAL, new features need to be implemented in order to simulate COMET
- A win-win cooperation


## Focus of Talk

- New features in OPAL

Applying multiple field maps
Introducing more general collimators

- Simulation for the central region of COMET

Chimney and puller
Fixed phase slit

## Object Oriented Parallel Accelerator Library

OPAL is a tool for charged particle optics in accelerators and beam lines

- Including 3D space charge
- Exemplifying the importance of high performance computing
- Written in C++ and easy to be extended
- Using MAD language
- Running on largest clusters as well as on laptop

OPAL flavours

- OPAL-T
- OPAL-MAP
- OPAL-CYCL particle tracking in cyclotrons such as PSI Inj-II, PSI Ring, etc. 3D space charge including neighbouring turns time as independent variable
acceleration through simple straight gap collimator physics in low energy region not tested


## PSI Medical Cyclotron COMET



## Central Region



- Ion Source Chimney: 0 V
Opening: $0.5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
- Artificial anode: $\mathbf{+ 5 0} \mathrm{kV}$ Helps to produce right shape of zero potential surface
- Puller

Peak voltage: ca 80 kV Frequency: 72.8 MHz

- Fixed phase slits Opening: 0.2 mm Thickness: 1 mm
- Vertical deflector on D3
- Vertical collimator on D1


## Magnetic Field Maps



Left: Magnetic field in median plane from TOSCA simulation Right: Magnetic field from measurement mirrored about x-axis Tracking only in anticlockwise direction

## Magnetic Field




Left: Azimuthal variation of $B$ field at $r=2.54,254,508,762 \mathrm{~mm}$ Right: Radial variation of average $B$ field

## RF Field Map



- ANSYS simulation from Lukas Stingelin
- Grid size $5 \times 5 \times 5$, field region (-855-855-5) to (855 855 5), all in mm


## Electric Field in Central Region



- Electrostatic simulation, modulated with RF frequency
- Grid size $1 \times 1 \times 2.5$, field region (-70-70-10) to (70 70 10), all in mm


## Electric Field near Chimney and Puller



Chimney: grid size $0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$, region ( $2.5-8.7-3$ ) to ( $3.6-7.73$ ), in mm Puller: grid size $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$, region ( $3.6-13-5$ ) to ( $12-35$ ), in mm

## New Features in OPAL for COMET

- Dee in spiral is far different from simple straight gap Applying 3D field map
- Detailed field maps necessary in central region Implementing multiple field maps
- Phase slit in central region crucial for beam product Implementing low energy collimation
- Other features in vision

Vertical deflector
Collimators with different shapes other than rectangular Trim rods

## Single Particle Tracking



PaUl scherrer institut
(1) Get $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$
(2) Pick a field map
(3) Get $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$
(4) Calculate E

$$
E=E_{0} \cos \left(\omega t+\varphi_{0}\right)
$$

(5) Calculate $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$
(6) Calculate Lorentz force
(7) Calculate next $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$

Independent variable t
One field map at one position $\varphi_{0}$ same for all maps

## Where are the Beam Losses?



Only sensitive to losses at extractor

## Where are the Beam Losses?



## Initial RF Phase



Fixed phase slit
Opening: 0.2 mm
Thickness: 1 mm
Plotted as black lines
Initial conditions
Position: centre of opening
Energy: 1 eV
Radial momentum: 0

- Optimal phase $\varphi_{0}=309^{\circ}$
- Phase deviation $\Delta \varphi=1.5^{\circ}$
- $\varphi_{0}$ and $\Delta \varphi$ dependent on puller voltage


## Initial Energy



Initial position: center of chimney opening Initial RF phase: $309^{\circ}$
Radial momentum: 0

Initial energy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{0}=1 \mathrm{eV} \\
& E_{0}=0.001 \mathrm{eV} \\
& E_{0}=30 \mathrm{eV}
\end{aligned}
$$

A wide range of low energy protons may pass through phase slit for a given RF phase

## Initial Radial Momentum



Initial position: center of chimney opening
Initial RF phase: $309^{\circ}$
Initial energy: 1 eV
$p_{0}: 4.6169 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{c}$
Initial radial momentum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{r}=0 \\
& p_{r} / p_{0}=0 \\
& p_{\mathrm{r}}=-4.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{p}_{0}=-0.996 \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=+4.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{p}_{0}=+\mathbf{0 . 9 9 6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Initial radial momentum spread not critical at all

## Initial Position: Horizontal



Initial energy: 1 eV Radial momentum: 0

Initial horizontal position: Centre of chimney opening Left side of chimney opening Right side of chimney opening

Left side more critical

## Initial Position: Vertical



Initial RF phase: $309^{\circ}$ Initial energy: 1 eV
Radial momentum: 0

Initial vertical position:
$z_{0}=0$
$z_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
$z_{0}=1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$z_{0}=1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
$z_{0}=2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$z_{0}=-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

Initial vertical position less critical as horizontal one

## Summary for Single Particle Tracking

$>$ OPAL simulation for COMET applying multiple field maps Simulation fits well with experimental beam loss pattern
> Single particle tracking
Puller voltage crucial for optimal RF phase and phase acceptance Particles from left side of chimney opening critical

## Collimation in Low Energy Region



Proton Stopping Power in Cu Target

- The well-known BetheBloch equation is inapplicable in low energy region
- An empirical equation has to be applied to calculate the stopping power in low energy region
- For proton in Cu collimator low energy means E < 1 MeV
- Beam energy at fixed phase slit: ~0.2 MeV
- Right physics and right geometry lead to right collimation in COMET central region


## Collimation by Phase Slit


the centre of chimney opening

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=1 \mathrm{eV} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=0 \\
& \varphi_{0}=309^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

1000 particles in Gaussian distribution started near chimney opening

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{\mathrm{px}}=0.5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}=0.00 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{p y}=0.5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=0.80 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{\mathrm{pz}}=0.5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

651 particles passing through Transmission: 65\%

## Collimation by Phase Slit



Reference particle started from the centre of chimney opening

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=1 \mathrm{eV} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0 \\
& \varphi_{0}=307^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

1000 particles in Gaussian distribution started near chimney opening

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{\mathrm{px}}=0.5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}=0.00 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{\mathrm{py}}=0.5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{z}}=0.80 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{\mathrm{pz}}=0.5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transmission: 36\%

## Collimation by Phase Slit



A maximal transmission at $\varphi_{0}$ $\sim 309.5^{\circ}$

The transmission drops rather faster on higher RF phases

The acceptance of phase slit is around 10 degree of RF phase

Puller voltage crucial

## Bunch Development




## Summary and Outlook

> OPAL simulation for COMET applying multiple field maps
Simulation fits well with experimental beam loss pattern
> Single particle tracking
Puller voltage crucial for optimal RF phase and phase acceptance
Particles from left side of chimney opening more critical
> Multiple particle tracking
Beam collimation in low energy region
Phase acceptance of the fixed phase slit around $10^{\circ}$
Beam tail from left side of chimney opening
$>$ Introduce vertical deflector, vertical collimators, and phase slit in special form
$>$ Better specification of the initial beam
> Trim rods

- Extraction
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