

The Neutron Lifetime Puzzle and the Latest Results of the UCNt Experiment

Chen-Yu Liu
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
10/18/2022
PSI2022








Theory of Nuclear Beta-decay

• W. Pauli summarized the decay process into 5 possible Lorentz-invariant (CPT-preserving) forms:

$$(\bar{\phi}_p \hat{O}_i \phi_n)(\bar{\phi}_e \hat{O}_i \phi_\nu)$$



$$n \rightarrow p^+ + e^- + \overline{\nu}_e + 782 \text{ keV}$$

Table 1.2. Elementary fermion transition operators

\hat{O}_i	Transformation property of $\overline{\Psi}\hat{O}_i\Psi$	Number of matrices	fermions in nuclear beta decay
$\frac{1}{\gamma^{\mu}}$	Scalar (S) Vector (V)	1 4	$\phi_p^\dagger\phi_n$ Fer
$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$ $\gamma^{\mu} \gamma 5$	Tensor (T) Axial vector (A)	6	$\phi_p^\dagger \sigma \phi_n$ (sp
$ \gamma_5 = -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 $	Pseudoscalar (P)	1	0
$-1\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$			

For non-relativistic

rmi (spin-preserving)

mow-Teller pin-changing, $\Delta I = \pm 1.0$

Spectral measurements (pre-1950)

$$\begin{split} H_{\text{int}} &= (\bar{\psi}_{p}\psi_{n})(C_{S}\bar{\psi}_{e}\psi_{\nu} + C_{S}'\bar{\psi}_{e}\gamma_{5}\psi_{\nu}) \\ &+ (\bar{\psi}_{p}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{n})(C_{V}\bar{\psi}_{e}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{\nu} + C_{V}'\bar{\psi}_{e}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{\nu}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_{p}\sigma_{\lambda\mu}\psi_{n})(C_{T}\bar{\psi}_{e}\sigma_{\lambda\mu}\psi_{\nu} + C_{T}'\bar{\psi}_{e}\sigma_{\lambda\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{\nu}) \\ &- (\bar{\psi}_{p}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{n})(C_{A}\bar{\psi}_{e}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi_{\nu} + C_{A}'\bar{\psi}_{e}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{\nu}) \\ &+ (\bar{\psi}_{p}\gamma_{5}\psi_{n})(C_{P}\bar{\psi}_{e}\gamma_{5}\psi_{\nu} + C_{P}'\bar{\psi}_{e}\psi_{\nu}) \\ &+ \text{Hermitian conjugate,} \end{split}$$

5x 2(helicities) x 2 (complex) = 20 coupling constants





Figure 2.4. "Influence of form of coupling on shape of spectrum for fixed values of the mass of the μ -and μ_0 meson. Contrast this result with the case of ordinary beta-decay, where the atomic nucleus has negligible velocity and the decay curves have the same shape in all five cases" (Tiomno and Wheeler 1949a, p. 148).

Experimental evidence supports the "V—A" structure (nuclear data)



Measurements of Asymmetries in the Decay of Polarized Neutrons*

M. T. BURGY, V. E. KROHN, T. B. NOVEY, AND G. R. RINGO, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois

AND

V. L. Telegdi, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received April 17, 1958)




Fig. 1. Vertical cross section (normal to the neutron beam) through the detector system of the experiment measuring the correlation of the neutrino momentum and the neutron spin.


a (beta-neutrino correlation) B (neutrino asymmetry)

TABLE II. Predicted values for and B.

	<i>S</i> +	$T^{\mathbf{a}}$	S-2	ŗ	V+	\boldsymbol{A}		$V-A^a$	
	$ar{ u}_L$ b	$ar{ u}_R$	$ar{ u}_L$	$ar{ u}_R$	$ar{ u}_L$	$ar{ u}_R$	$ar{ u}_L$	$ar{ u}_R$	Exp.
$\overline{\alpha}$	-1	+1	-0.07°	0.07	+1	-1	0.07	-0.07	-0.09
B	-0.07	0.07	-1	+1	-0.07	0.07	-1	+1	+0.88

^a The relative signs in this row are those of the couplings present; i.e., V-A means $C_A/C_V=-1.14$. ^b $\bar{\nu}_{L(R)}$ means left (right) handed antineutrino; i.e., $\bar{\nu}_{L(R)}$ corresponds to $C_i/C_i'=-1$ (+1). ^c The uncertainty of ± 0.05 in x introduces an uncertainty of ± 0.02 in this number, 0.07, wherever it appears.


"V−A" → The Spatial Inversion Symmetry (or Parity) is Broken!






Girl before a mirror, Pablo Picasso (1932)

Neutron beta-decay (minimal V—A)





$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

Cabbibo-Kobayasi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix

$$H_{V,A} = \frac{G_F V_{ud}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\overline{e} \gamma_{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) \nu \right) \left(\overline{u} \gamma^{\mu} d \right) - \left(\overline{e} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 (1 + \gamma_5) \nu \right) \left(\overline{u} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 d \right) \right] + \text{h.c.}$$

$$H_{\beta} = H_{V,A}$$

$$= \frac{G_F V_{ud}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\phi}_e \gamma_i (1 - \gamma^5) \phi_{\nu_e} \overline{\phi}_p (g_V + g_A \gamma^5) \gamma^i \phi_n$$


$$g_{V}(\overline{p}\gamma_{\mu}n) = \langle p | \overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}d | n \rangle$$

$$g_{A}(\overline{p}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}n) = \langle p | \overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}d | n \rangle$$

g_A has to be determined by measurements or calculated using Lattice QCD.

The neutron lifetime has broader impacts in other fields of research:

Neutron beta decay



$$n \rightarrow p^+ + e^- + \overline{\nu}_e + 782 \text{ keV}$$

Neutron lifetime gives us weak interaction rates, e.g.

$$p + p \longrightarrow D + e^{+} + \nu$$

$$p + p + e^{-} \longrightarrow D + \nu$$

$$(np)$$

$$n \longrightarrow p + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}$$

$$p + e^{-} \longleftrightarrow n + \nu$$


$$n + e^{+} \longleftrightarrow p + \bar{\nu}$$

$$\nu + n \longrightarrow e^- + p$$

$$\bar{\nu} + p \longrightarrow e^+ + n$$

(anti)neutrino detection

Neutron Lifetime Puzzle: an unresolved discrepancy between two leading methods to measure the neutron lifetime:




Neutrons in a bottle seem to disappear faster ???


"beam"


VS

"bottle"

$$\tau_n = \frac{L}{v_n} \frac{\dot{N}_n / \epsilon_n}{\dot{N}_p / \epsilon_p}$$







BL3 talk, Fred Wietfeldt


count the dead (appearance)

≠?????


count the living (disappearance)

Many experiments need to correct for the systematic effects and extrapolate from the measured lifetime to report the Neutron Lifetime

$\sigma_{stat.}$ [s]	$\Delta au_{ m sys.}$ [s]	Extrap. [s]	Method
0.64	3.6	40-280	Bottle
1.4	~ 7	>200 s	Bottle
1.3	1	110-300	Bottle
0.7	0.4	10-20	Bottle
1.2	1	2-15	Beam
3	5.9	-	Beam
	0.64 1.4 1.3 0.7 1.2	0.64 3.6 1.4 ~ 7 1.3 1 0.7 0.4 1.2 1	0.64 3.6 $40-280$ $>200 s$ 1.3 1 $110-300$ 0.7 0.4 $10-20$ 1.2 1 $2-15$



Neutron-wall interactions




The UCNτ Magneto-Gravitational Trap using a "Halbach" array


DESIGN OF PERMANENT MULTIPOLE MAGNETS WITH ORIENTED RARE EARTH COBALT MATERIAL*

K. HALBACH

University of California, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

Received 20 August 1979







Bailey inside the Halbach array performing field mapping (before Christmas 2012)

← Tweet



That's Bailey in a neutron bottle. She helped discover that neutrons in the wild last 14.629 minutes (in an atom they can last billions of years).


@LosAlamosNatLab


The details: bit.ly/3mBp5Tm




9:20 AM · Nov 2, 2021 · Twitter Web App

The UCNτ Apparatus






UCN "Pokotilovsky" source operating at the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE)




Source upgrade (2016):

- Better moderator cooling
- NiP guides
- Optimized geometry


UCN density measured by Vanadium activation: 184 UCN/cc.




LANSCE UCN Experimental Area (2021)




We also implemented a new way to count the trapped neutrons:




In-situ UCN detection using a "dagger" detector: detection time ~ 8 s






Paired runs: a short-storage followed by a long-storage:




Short and Long Measurements



Use difference between mean arrival times

$$\bar{T} = \frac{\sum N_i t_i}{\sum N_i}$$


as T_{hold} . Difference between this and the programmed holding time sets the phase space evolution bound.



Analyzing data...


Background measurements

Single p.e. dagger counts UCN events passing cuts




<u>D</u>agger unload counts

"Monitor" detector counts



"<u>M</u>onitor" normalization






Slide credit: Dan Salvat

UCNtau results (2018)

- L. 2015 commission data (RSI)
- 2. 2015-2016 data
- 3. 2016-2017 data (Science, 2018)




With UCNtau, we have made a measurement of τ_n for the first time with **no extrapolation**: 877.7 ± 0.7 (stat) +0.3/-0.1 (sys) s.

New Result (2021): $\tau_n = 877.75 \pm 0.28^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$ s

Effect	Previous Reported Value (s)	New Reported Value (s)	Notes
$ au_{meas}$	877.5 ± 0.7	877.58 ± 0.28	Uncorrected Value!
UCN Event Definition	0 ± 0.04	0 ± 0.13	Single photon analysis vs. Coincidence analysis
Normalization Weighting		0 ± 0.06	Previously unable to estimate
Depolarization	0 + 0.07	0 + 0.07	
Uncleaned UCN	0 + 0.07	0 + 0.11	
Heated UCN	0 + 0.24	0 + 0.08	
Phase Space Evolution	0 ± 0.10		Now included in stat. uncertainty
Al Block		0.06 ± 0.05	Accidentally dropped into trap
Residual Gas Scattering	0.16 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.06	
Sys. Total	$0.16^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$	$0.17^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$	
TOTAL	$877.7 \pm 0.7^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$	$877.75 \pm 0.28^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$	



Latest: Neutron Lifetime Measurements (2021)



We report a measurement of τ_n with 0.34 s (0.039%) uncertainty, improving upon our past results by a factor of 2.25 using two blinded datasets from 2017 and 2018. The new result incorporates improved experimental and analysis techniques over our previous result [Science **360**, 627 (2018)].



Limits on lifetimes for bound neutrons are given in the section"p PARTIAL MEAN LIVES."

We average seven of the best eight measurements, those made with ultracold neutrons (UCN's). If we include the one in-beam measurement with a comparable error (YUE 2013), we get 879.6 ± 0.8 s, where the scale factor is now 2.0.

For a recent discussion of the long-standing disagreement between in-beam and UCN results, see CZARNECKI 2018 (Physical Review Letters 120 202002 (2018)). For a full review of all matters concerning the neutron lifetime until about 2010, see WIETFELDT 2011, F.E. Wietfeldt and G.L. Greene, "The neutron lifetime," Reviews of Modern Physics 83 1173 (2011).

VALUE (s)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
878.4 ± 0.5 OUR AVERAGE Error includes sco	ale factor of 1.8. See t	he ideogra	ım below.	
$877.75 \pm 0.28 ^{~+0.22}_{~-0.16}$	GONZALEZ	2021	CNTR	UCN asym. magnetic trap
$878.3 \pm \! 1.6 \pm \! 1.0$	EZHOV	2018	CNTR	UCN magneto-gravit. trap
$877.7 \pm 0.7 ^{~+0.4}_{~-0.2}$	¹ PATTIE	2018	CNTR	UCN asym. magnetic trap
$881.5 \pm\! 0.7 \pm\! 0.6$	SEREBROV	2018	CNTR	UCN gravitational trap
$880.2 \pm \! 1.2$	² ARZUMANOV	2015	CNTR	UCN double bottle
$882.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.5$	³ STEYERL	2012	CNTR	UCN material bottle
$880.7 \pm\! 1.3 \pm\! 1.2$	PICHLMAIER	2010	CNTR	UCN material bottle
$878.5 \pm \! 0.7 \pm \! 0.3$	SEREBROV	2005	CNTR	UCN gravitational trap
• •	We do not use the foll	owing date	a for averages, f	its, limits, etc. • •
$887 \pm 14 ^{\ +7}_{\ -3}$	4 WILSON	2021	CNTR	space-based n rate
$887.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.9$	⁵ YUE	2013	CNTR	In-beam n , trapped p
$881.6 \pm \! 0.8 \pm \! 1.9$	⁶ ARZUMANOV	2012	CNTR	See ARZUMANOV 2015
$886.3 \pm \! 1.2 \pm \! 3.2$	NICO	2005	CNTR	See YUE 2013
$886.8 \pm\! 1.2 \pm\! 3.2$	DEWEY	2003	CNTR	See NICO 2005

Precision Test on the CKM Unitarity

First Row:
$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1 + \Delta_{BSM}$$

 $V_{ub} \ll V_{ud}$ and V_{us} , so negligible contribution


Measurements of V_{ud} :


- Most precise "Superallowed" $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ decays
- Mirror nuclei and Pions less precise
- Large theoretical uncertainties from radiative corrections and nuclear structure

Measurements of V_{us} :

- Most precise from Kaon decays
- Cabibbo angle anomaly (V_{us} = λ =sin Θ_c) between different decay channels
- Also limits from τ and Λ hyperons

Most precise measurements disagree (up to 3σ)!





"Cabibbo Angle Anomaly (CAA)" $\sim 3\sigma$

Discovery potential of the beta decay anomalies

A concrete example: First-row CKM unitarity with $|V_{ud}|$ from 0⁺ beta decay and $|V_{us}|$ from K_{ls} decay

$$|V_{ud}|_{0+}^{2} + |V_{us}|_{K_{\ell 3}}^{2} + |V_{ub}|^{2} - 1 = -0.0021(7)$$


SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY:

$$\delta |V_{ud}|_{0^+}^2$$
, RC:


Theory uncertainties in the single-nucleon radiative corrections (RC)

$ V_{ud} _{0+}^2 + V_{us} _{K_{\ell 3}}^2 - 1$	-2.1×10^{-3}	
$\delta V_{ud} _{0+}^2$, exp	2.1×10^{-4}	
$\delta V_{ud} _{0+}^2$, RC	1.8×10^{-4}	
$\delta V_{ud} _{0^+}^2$, NS	5.3×10^{-4}	
$\delta V_{us} _{K_{\ell 3}}^2$, exp+th	1.8×10^{-4}	
$\delta V_{us} _{K_{\ell 3}}^2$, lat	1.7×10^{-4}	
Total uncertainty	6.5×10^{-4}	
Significance level	3.2σ	

Extracting V_{ud} with neutron decays




From μ-decay: 0.6 ppm (MuLan 2011)



Marciano & Sirlin, PRL 96, 032002 (2006) Seng et al, PRL 121 (2018); Seng et al, PRD 100 (2019); Czarnecki, Marciano & Sirlin, PRD 100 (2019)

To match the theoretical uncertainty: 3.5×10^{-4} , it requires experimental uncertainties of: $\Delta A/A = 4\Delta\lambda/\lambda < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\Delta\tau/\tau = 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$.




To be consistent with CKM unitarity, it requires a smaller $|g_A|$, or a shorter τ_n .

Axial Coupling: Status



Results from beta asymmetry A, unless where noted otherwise



PERKEO I

electron-neutrino correlation a


UCNA (newer results mostly include older data)

PERKEO II (newer A results include older data)

PERKEO III

Thursday: Bastian Markisch, Neutron beta decay with cold neutron beams

With new UCN τ lifetime result (+ Perkeo III), the extracted V_{ud} agrees with the CKM unitarity.



We report a measurement of τ_n with 0.34 s (0.039%) uncertainty, improving upon our past results by a factor of 2.25 using two blinded datasets from 2017 and 2018. The new result incorporates improved experimental and analysis techniques over our previous result [Science **360**, 627 (2018)].

This is the first neutron lifetime measurement precise enough to confront SM theoretical uncertainties.



Summary

Storage of UCN allows for the long observation times needed for precision measurement of many neutron observables. High-precision measurements, confronted with theoretical predictions, probe high-energy physics.

Precision measurements on the neutron lifetime ($\delta t < 0.1s$), combined with the beta-decay asymmetry ($\delta A/A < 0.1\%$), test the unitarity of the CKM matrix (to 1e-4 level of precision) and probe physics beyond the Standard Model. With UCN τ , all systematic uncertainties have been quantified by measurements.

- $\tau_n = 877.7 \pm 0.7 ^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \text{ s (Science 2018)}$
- $\tau_n = 877.75 \pm 0.28^{+0.22}_{-0.16} \text{ s} \text{ (PRL 2021)}$

Moving forward:

- UCN τ + (immediate future): elevator loading, reaching δ t=0.1 s
- UCN τ 2 (future): superconducting coils (conceptual design), reaching $\delta t=0.01$ s

To be consistent with CKM unitarity, it requires either a smaller $|g_A|$ or a shorter τ_n . Discrepancy with CKM unitarity is an opportunity for new physics.


Other neutron beta-decay talks:

Wednesday: Kazimierz Bodek, BRAND Experiment, Thursday: Bastian Markisch, Neutron beta decay with cold neutron beams Thursday, Ulrich Schmidt, Reanalysis of aSPECT result



After ~ 10 years of work, we concluded that the neutron lifetime in a bottle is shorter than the pre-2010 PDG value.

The discrepancy of neutron lifetime persists.



The UCNt Collaboration



Argonne National Laboratory

N. B. Callahan

California Institute of Technology

M. Blatnik, B. Filippone, E. M. Fries, K. P. Hickerson, S. Slutsky, V. Su, X. Sun, C. Swank, W. Wei

DePauw University

A. Komives

East Tennessee State University

R. W. Pattie, Jr.

Indiana University/CEEM

M. Dawid, W. Fox, C.-Y. Liu, D. J. Salvat,

J. Vanderwerp, G. Visser

Institute Laue-Langevin

P. Geltenbort

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

E. I. Sharapov

Los Alamos National Laboratory

S. M. Clayton (co-spokesperson), S. A. Currie,

M. A. Hoffbauer, T. M. Ito, M. Makela, C. L. Morris,

C. O'Shaughnessy, Z. Tang, W. Uhrich,

P. L. Walstrom, Z. Wang

North Carolina State University

T. Bailey, J. H. Choi, C. Cude-Woods, E.B. Dees,

L. Hayen, R. Musedinovic, A. R. Young, B. A. Zeck

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

L. J. Broussard, F. Gonzalez, J. Ramsey, A. Saunders

Tennessee Technological University

R. Colon, D. Dinger, J. Ginder, A. T. Holley (cospokesperson),

M. Kemp, C. Swindell



3 independent analyses

- Blinded data:
 - Holding time is modified
 - Measured lifetime blinded by up to ± 15 s
- Unblinding Criteria:
 - Three complete (statistical and systematic) analyses
 - After cross-checking analyses, lifetimes combined via unweighted average, using largest uncertainties








Eric Fries (Caltech)



Chris Morris (LANL)





Postdoc & PhD student opportunities

We have openings for postdocs and graduate students at University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign. Join us to take the leading role in the following experiments:

- UCNtau
- BL3
- Project-8 (tritium beta-decay to measure the neutrino mass)
- nEDM measurements at LANL & SNS

Please contact me (chenyliu@illinois.edu), if you are interested.

