# **Overview of PIENU results and the PIONEER experiment**

### Chloé Malbrunot TRIUMF

cmalbrunot@triumf.ca

on behalf of the **PIENU** & **PIONEER** Collaborations



A. Aguilar-Arevalo, M. Aoki, M. Blecher, D. I. Britton, D. vom Bruch, D. A. Bryman, S. Chen, J. Comfort, S. Cuen-Rochin, L. Doria, P. Gumplinger, A. Hussein, Y. Igarashi, S. Ito, S. Kettell, L. Kurchaninov, L. S. Littenberg, C. Malbrunot, R. E. Mischke, T. Numao, D. Protopopescu, A. Sher, T. Sullivan, and D. Vavilov

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Mexico, Osaka University, Virginia Tech., University of Glasgow, University of British Columbia, TRIUMF, Tsinghua University, Arizona State University, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, University of Northern British Columbia, KEK, Brookhaven National Laboratory

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022



W. Altmannshofer, O. Beesley, H. Binney, E. Blucher, D. Bryman, L. Caminada, S. Chen, V. Cirigliano, S. Corrodi, A. Crivellin, S. Cuen-Rochin, A. DiCanto, L. Doria, A. Gaponenko, A. Garcia, L. Gibbons, C. Glaser, M. Escobar Godoy, D. Göldi, S. Gori, T. Gorringe, D. Hertzog, Z. Hodge, M. Hoferichter, S. Ito, T. Iwamoto, P. Kammel, B. Kiburg, K. Labe, J. LaBounty, U. Langenegger, C. Malbrunot, S.M. Mazza, S. Mihara, R. Mischke, A. Molnar, T. Mori, J. Mott, T. Numao, W. Ootani, J. Ott, K. Pachal, C. Polly, D. Počanić, X. Qian, D. Ries, R. Roehnelt, B. Schumm, P. Schwendimann, A. Seiden, A. Sher, R. Shrock, A. Soter, T. Sullivan, M. Tarka, V. Tischenko, A. Tricoli, B. Velghe, V. Wong, E. Worcester, M. Worcester, C. Zhang

University of California Santa Cruz, University of Washington, University of Chicago, University of British Columbia, TRIUMF, Paul Scherrer Institute, Tsinghua University, Institute for Nucl. Theory, University of Washington, Argonne National Laboratory, University of Zurich, CERN, Tec de Monterrey, Brookhaven National Laboratory, PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence, University of Mainz, Fermilab, Cornell University, University of Virginia, ETH Zurich, University of Kentucky, University of Bern, KEK, University of Tokyo, University of Mainz, Stony Brook University, University of Victoria, Inst. Div, BNL





## PIENU measurement & Phase I of PIONEER

 $R^{\pi}_{e/\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\pi \to e\nu(\gamma))}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu\nu(\gamma))}$ 

one of the most precisely calculated observables involving quarks in the SM

# Marciano and Sirlin, PRL 71 3629 (1993) Cirigliano and Rosell, PRL 99 231801 (2007) D. Bryman et al., Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. (2011) 61:331–54 $= (1.23534 \pm 0.00015) \times 10^{-4} (\pm 0.012\%)$ (SM) (3001270) (3001) = $(1.2327 \pm 0.0023) \times 10^{-4}$ ( $\pm 0.187\%$ ) (PDG exp.) x 15

# <u>Precision low energy experiment on observables that can be</u> <u>very accurately calculated in the SM</u> : highly sensitive to <u>New Physics</u>

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022







PDG average dominated by the PIENU result blind analysis based on partial data set (~10% of full statistics)

Final PIENU data analysis with full data set targeting 0.1% precision

PEN experiment at PSI aiming at similar precision



C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022



## Physics case I : Testing Lepton Flavour Universality

$$R_{e/\mu}^{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\pi \to e\nu(\gamma))}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu\nu(\gamma))}$$
 provides th



PDG value, mostly constrained by **PIENU** results :  $g_{\mu}$  $= 1.0010 \pm 0.0009 \quad (\pm 0.09\%)$  $g_e$ 

*Santa Cruz 06-08 Oct 2022* 

4

## Physics case I : Testing Lepton Flavour Universality

Several new tensions in the flavour sector, potentially hinting toward LFUV



Precise measurements of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> generation decays could be used to distinguish between models explaining 3<sup>rd</sup> generation effects...

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022

- B decays O(10%) deviations from universality. Both heavy quarks and leptons involved!
- Muon g-2 Deviation (4.2  $\sigma$  ) from theory - new physics?
- CKM unitarity tests from  $\beta$  and K decays (2 3  $\sigma$ ) Maybe related to LFUV?

From A. Crivellin & M. *Hoferichter SCIENCE (2021)* Vol 374, Issue 6571 DOI: 10.1126/ science.abk2450

**PSI2022** 





## Physics case II: Sensitivity to new coupling and NP at very high mass scales

$$R_{e/\mu}^{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\pi \to e\nu(\gamma))}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu\nu(\gamma))} \quad \text{calculated at the}$$

 $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$  is helicity-suppressed (V-A)

 $\Rightarrow R^{\pi}$  is extremely sensitive to presence of new pseudoscalar or scalar couplings

## Pseudoscalar interactions



C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022

e 0.01% level

### **PIONEER PHASE I goal:** 0.01 % measurement $\rightarrow \Lambda_{eP} \sim 3000 \text{ TeV}$







## Physics case III : Sensitivity to new coupling and NP at very high & low mass scales

- Sensitive to many other new physics scenarios
  - Leptoquarks
  - Induced scalar currents
  - Excited gauge bosons
  - Compositeness
  - Hidden sector ....

• Many exotic searches performed by the **PIENU collaboration :** e.g. sterile neutrinos which have implications for leptogenesis



**Editors' Suggestion** 

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletk

Search for heavy neutrinos in  $\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu$  decay

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 97, 072012 (2018)

Improved search for heavy neutrinos in the decay  $\pi \rightarrow e\nu$ 

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 102, 012001 (2020)

Search for the rare decays  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \nu \bar{\nu}$  and  $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 101, 052014 (2020)

Improved search for two body muon decay  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X_H$ 

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 103, 052006 (2021)

Search for three body pion decays  $\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu X$ 

recent searches performed by the **PIENU** collaboration

PIONEER will improve on all those searches by ~1 order of

magnitude

**PSI2022** 







## Example of massive neutrino search in PIENU



R.E Shrock Phys.Rev.D 24, 1232 (1981), Phys. Lett. B 96, 159 (1980)



$$\nu_{\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{3+k} U_{\ell i} \nu_{i}$$
$$\ell = e, \mu, \tau, \chi_1, \chi_2 \dots \chi_k$$

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022



M.Aoki et al., Phys. Rev. D 84, 052002 (2011)

More recent and stronger bounds provided by PIENU : PRD 97.072012 (2018) PLB 798 (2019) 134980 [in  $\pi \rightarrow \mu\nu$  decay]

Comprehensive constraints on sterile neutrinos in the MeV to GeV mass range

D. A. Bryman and R. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D 100, 073011







Asli M. Abdullahi et al. "The Present and Future Status of Heavy Neutral Leptons". 2022 Snowmass Summer Study. Mar. 2022. arXiv: 2203.08039 [hep-ph]

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022

PSI2022



# Previous $R^{\pi}_{e/\mu}$ experiments

**1940/50's :** Development of V-A structure of weak interaction **1950's:** Many experimental confirmations of the V-A theory **1956-1957**: Negative experimental results BR<10<sup>-5</sup>

### Theory of the Fermi Interaction

R. P. FEYNMAN AND M. GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received September 16, 1957)

**1958**: First positive experimental result at CERN (PRL 1,7 (1958))

Experimentally<sup>16</sup> no  $\pi \rightarrow e + \nu$  have been found, indicating that the ratio is less than 10<sup>-5</sup>. This is a very serious discrepancy. The authors have no idea on how it can be resolved.

|   |              | 7           |      |          |                  |  |
|---|--------------|-------------|------|----------|------------------|--|
| PDG 2018  | $\pm 0.19\%$ |             |      |          |                  |  |
| VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )  | EVTS         | DOCUMENT ID |      | TECN CHG | COMMENT          |  |
| $1.2327 \pm 0.0023$ OUR A   | /ERAGE       |             |      |          |                  |  |
| $1.2344 \!\pm\! 0.0023 \!\pm\! 0.0019$  | 400k         | AGUILAR-AR  | . 15 | CNTR +   | Stopping $\pi^+$ |  |
| $1.2346 \pm 0.0035 \pm 0.0036$  | 120k         | CZAPEK      | 93   | CALO     | Stopping $\pi^+$ |  |
| $1.2265 \pm 0.0034 \pm 0.0044$  | 190k         | BRITTON     | 92   | CNTR     | Stopping $\pi^+$ |  |
| $1.218\ \pm 0.014$  | 32k          | BRYMAN      | 86   | CNTR     | Stopping $\pi^+$ |  |
| ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$ $ullet$ |              |             |      |          |                  |  |
| $1.273 \pm 0.028$   | 11k 1        | DICAPUA     | 64   | CNTR     |                  |  |
| $1.21 \pm 0.07$   |              | ANDERSON    | 60   | SPEC     |                  |  |
| 1   |              |             |      |          |                  |  |

<sup>1</sup> DICAPUA 64 has been updated using the current mean life.

### C. Malbrunot







20<sup>th</sup> October 2022



What  $\pi$  decays to "normally":  $B(\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu(\gamma)) = 0.999877 \pm 0.000004$ Helicity suppressed decay:  $B(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e(\gamma)) = (1.2327 \pm 0.00023) \times 10^{-4}$ Pion  $\beta$  decay:  $B(\pi^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \pi^0) = (1.036 \pm 0.006) \times 10^{-8}$ 

- $\Rightarrow$  different time and energy spectra discrimination between the two decays



PSI2022









20<sup>th</sup> October 2022







20<sup>th</sup> October 2022





## Tail correction: major uncertainty. "the devil's in the (de)tail"



C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022



Low energy tail buried under the Michel spectrum caused by:

- finite energy resolution of the calorimeter
- photo-nuclear interactions (<sup>127</sup>I(¥,n))
- shower leakage
- geometrical acceptance
- radiative decays
- etc

Main source of systematics : estimated using data (suppression of  $\pi \to \mu \to e$  decays )



## Schematics of the PIENU experiment



C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022



## PIONEER DETECTOR CONCEPT - best of PIENU and PEN worlds

- Building on previous experiences (PIENU and PEN/PIBETA) : use of emerging technologies (LXe, LGADs)
  - 25  $X_0$ ,  $3\pi$  sr calorimeter  $\rightarrow$  Reduce tail corrections (x5)  $\rightarrow$  Improve uniformity (x5) Fast scintillator response (LXe)  $\rightarrow$  Reduce pile-up uncertainties (x5)
  - active target ("4D") based on LGADs technology → Reduce tail correction uncertainty (x10) Fast pulse shape  $\rightarrow$  allow  $\pi \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow e$  decay chain observation
  - Fast electronics and pipeline DAQ  $\rightarrow$  Improve efficiency
  - Intense Pion beam at PSI

 $\pi^+$ 







## **PIONEER DETECTOR CONCEPT : Calorimeter**

## **Baseline design**: LXe (experience from MEG)



Advantages:

- Fast response

Sensitive volume ~8 tons of LXe

ATAR

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022

## • $25 X_0, 3\pi$ sr calorimeter $\rightarrow$ High energy resolution, fast, symmetric $\rightarrow$ Much smaller tail, better pileup suppression

## - Uniform/homogeneous volume - short radiation length - Excellent energy resolution

### <u>Ouestion marks</u>

- (un)known issues with VUV SiPM
- handling pileup

- cost...



## Alternative: crystal calorimeter (CsI in combination with LYSO?)

Advantages:

- Not cryogenic
- fast response
- "natural segmentation"

### <u>Ouestion marks</u>

- energy resolution good enough?
- possible to make long crystals?





## **PIONEER DETECTOR CONCEPT : Active Target (ATAR)**

• active target ( "4D") based on LGADs(Low gain avalanche diode) technology allowing high level of DIF suppression

### Requirements

- High longitudinal segmentation: to detect the decay in flight of pions
- Compact: minimize dead material (including air) in between planes and around ATAR
- Fast collection time: separate pulses that are close in time to reconstruct the pion decay chain
- Large Dynamic range: detect energy deposit in from positrons (MiP) and slow pions/muons (non-MiP) Tentative initial design
- 48 layers of 120um thick silicon sensors (total of 6 mm in beam direction)
- 100 strips, 2 cm length, with 200 um pitch (2x2 cm area)
- Compromise between granularity, total active area, timing and dead material
- Sensors are packed in stacks of 2 with facing HV side and rotated by 90°

 $\mathcal{\Pi}$ 



### Developments led by UCSC











# Physics case IV: Testing CKM unitarity $V_{ud}$ ,

 $\frac{B(K \to \pi l \nu)}{R(\pi^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu)}$ : Theoretically clean method to obtain  $\frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}}$ 

### **PIONEER Phase II goal:**

Improve  $B(\pi^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu)$  precision by >3  $\frac{V_{us}}{V_{us}} < \pm 0.2\%$ 

Offers a new complementary constraint in the  $V_{us} - V_{ud}$  plane A. Czarnecki et al. Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 9, 091301

### **PIONEER Phase III goal:**

Improve  $B(\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu)$  precision by an order of magnitude  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu$  is the theoretically cleanest method to obtain  $V_{ud}$ PIBETA exp.  $(\pm 0.6\%)$  $B(\pi^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu) = (1.038 \pm 0.004_{stat} \pm 0.004_{syst} \pm 0.002_{\pi e^2}) \times 10^{-8}$ 



Presently not competitive precision for  $V_{ud}$  but would be with an order of magnitude improvement (same precision as  $\beta$  decays)







## Physics case IVb: LFUV and CKM unitarity might be connected



 $V_{\mu d}$  tension as a sign for LFUV?

Crivellin & Hoferichter Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 111801 (2020)

C. Malbrunot

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022

unitarity assumed  $V_{ud}$  value obtained from kaon decays is different from superallowed beta decay!





## **Conclusions and opportunities!**

- PIONEER is a major new experiment addressing emerging SM anomalies in flavor physics Unique new information on Lepton Flavor Universality and CKM unitary with unprecedented precision
- Precision experiment: Sensitive to very high energy scales.
- 2-body spectra very sensitive to a wide range of **exotics**
- Staged goals
  - $R^{\pi}$  at 0.01% matching theoretical precision
  - Pion  $\beta$  decay at 0.03% (in two steps) matching super-allowed  $\beta$  decay experiments
- happened a few months ago)
- PIONEER is employing state-of-the-art technology (**LGADs**, **Noble liquid calorimetry**)
- range of international collaborators from NA62, MEG, muon g-2, ATLAS, PSI scientists and leading theorists: **JOIN US!**

• Approved Phase 1 run at PSI. Expected start of data taking ~ 5 years timescale (first beamtime in PiE5 for beam characterization)

• Supported by a large, experienced international collaboration: experts from previous PIENU and PEN experiments as well as a wide

Snowmass PIONEER white paper: https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.05505 PIONEER PSI proposal: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.01981.pdf







20<sup>th</sup> October 2022





### PIEN

Error Source Statistics Tail Correction  $t_0$  Correction Muon DIF Parameter Fitting Selection Cuts Acceptance Correction **Total Uncertainty** 

20<sup>th</sup> October 2022

| NU 2015 F | PIONEER Estimate |
|-----------|------------------|
| %         | %                |
| 0.19      | 0.007            |
| 0.12      | $<\!0.01$        |
| 0.05      | $<\!0.01$        |
| 0.05      | 0.005            |
| 0.05      | $<\!0.01$        |
| 0.04      | $<\!0.01$        |
| 0.03      | 0.003            |
| 0.24      | $\leq 0.01$      |

