



Contribution ID: 23

Type: Oral

Dosimetrically-motivated beam-angle optimization for partial-arc non-coplanar VMAT

Friday, 28 October 2022 13:15 (10 minutes)

Purpose: To develop a beam-angle optimization method for non-coplanar VMAT (NC-VMAT) with table-angle and gantry-angle range determined by iterative beam elimination based on $4\text{-}\pi$ fluence map optimization (FMO).

Methods: FMO is carried out for beam directions covering the $4\text{-}\pi$ space around the PTV every 10° gantry-angle and 10° table-angle. A gantry-table contribution map is generated from the fractional contribution of each beam to PTV dose. The map is thresholded to eliminate 25% of the beams with the lowest contribution. Pseudo-arcs are formed by adjacent beams with the same table-angle. Subsequently, FMO is applied to the remaining beams and pseudo-arcs are trimmed by eliminating the 25% lowest-contributing beams at the start and stop (edges) of all pseudo-arcs. Pseudo-arcs spanning less than 55° gantry-angle range are rejected. FMO, trimming, and small-arc rejection are repeated iteratively until reaching a user-defined total gantry-angle range. The resulting pseudo-arcs are converted into dynamic-arcs and subject to hybrid direct aperture optimization (HDAO), yielding a mechanically deliverable NC-VMAT plan.

The method was applied to a clinically-motivated locally recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma case (NPC, 25x2 Gy). A HDAO-optimized coplanar-VMAT plan with the same total gantry-angle range was created for comparison.

Results: The desired total gantry-angle range of 720° was reached in 4 iterations yielding a NC-VMAT plan with seven partial-arcs with table angles between -40° and 60° and gantry-angle range from 60° to 130° . The cost function values after HDAO and dose re-normalization were 0.045 for NC-VMAT and 0.066 for coplanar-VMAT. Target coverage was similar for both techniques. Dmax to optic nerves, lenses, optic chiasm, brainstem PRV, and spinal cord PRV were 0.7-6.2 Gy lower for NC-VMAT than for coplanar-VMAT.

Conclusion: A dosimetrically-motivated beam-angle optimization method was developed using iterative $4\text{-}\pi$ FMO and beam elimination. A NC-VMAT plan was optimized for an NPC case using HDAO to obtain a mechanically deliverable plan achieving better OAR-sparing than coplanar-VMAT.

Disclosures: This work was partially supported by Varian Medical Systems and by grant 20021_185366 of the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Primary author: ZHU, Chengchen (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland)

Co-authors: BERTHOLET, Jenny (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland); MÜLLER, Silvan; GUYER, Gian (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland); MACKEPRANG, Paul-Henry; LOEBNER, Hannes Anton (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland); STAMPANONI, Marco (PSI - Paul Scherrer Institut); FIX, Michael Karl (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland); MANSER, Peter (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and

Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland)

Presenter: ZHU, Chengchen (Division of Medical Radiation Physics and Department of Radiation Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland)

Session Classification: Session IV: Radioprotection, Treatment Techniques

Track Classification: Radiation Therapy