## Dispersive Approach to Massive Two-Loop Amplitudes

## Andrea Gurgone

5<sup>th</sup> Workstop / Thinkstart:

Radiative corrections and Monte Carlo tools for Strong 2020



Zurich – 6 June 2023



- Goal: Evaluation of two-loop box amplitudes with full mass dependency.
- We need a compromise between an (insane) analytical calculation and an (inefficient) complete numerical computation.
- General idea: Reduce one of the two sub-loops in a self-energy by introducing two **Feynman parameters**, so that the corresponding two-point function can be re-written in a propagator-like form by using a **dispersion relation**.
- This leads to a standard one-loop amplitude with a further 3-dimensional integral (2 Feynman parameters + 1 dispersion parameter) to be evaluated numerically.
- Currently considered for  $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e$  at NNLO in MESMER, but it can be also applied to  $ee \rightarrow ee$ ,  $ee \rightarrow \mu\mu$  and  $ee \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ .
- No numerical result yet, but let's explain how the method works.



- 1. M. Awramik, M. Czakon and A. Freitas, *Electroweak two-loop corrections to the effective weak mixing angle*, JHEP 11 (2006) 048 [hep-ph/0608099]
- 2. Q. Song and A. Freitas, On the evaluation of two-loop electroweak box diagrams for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$ production, JHEP 04 (2021) 179 [2101.00308]
- A. Freitas and Q. Song, Two-Loop Electroweak Corrections with Fermion Loops to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → ZH, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 031801 [2209.07612]
- 4. A. Aleksejevs, Dispersion Approach in Two-Loop Calculations, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 036021 [1804.08914]
- 5. A. Aleksejevs, Crossed Topology in Two-Loop Dispersive Approach, [1809.05592]

In this talk: scalar two-box diagram  $p_1 p_2 \rightarrow k_1 k_2$  with all masses equal to m.



We apply the Feynman parametrisation to the three propagators depending on  $q_2$  but not  $q_1$  to reduce the  $q_2$  sub-loop into a self-energy sub-diagram  $\longrightarrow x, y$  parameters:



## Step 2: Two-point scalar function

We can now write the  $q_2$  sub-loop in terms of the (derivative of the) two-point scalar function  $B_0$ :



If  $m'^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  we can write the dispersion relation for  $B_0$  using the usual pac-man contour:



$$\operatorname{Disc} B_0\left(\sigma\right) = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \left[ B_0\left(\sigma + i\eta\right) - B_0\left(\sigma - i\eta\right) \right] = 2i \operatorname{Im} B_0\left(\sigma\right) \equiv 2\pi i \,\Delta B_0\left(\sigma\right) = \frac{2\pi i}{\sigma} \sqrt{\lambda\left(\sigma, \, m'^2, \, m^2\right)}$$

If  $m'^2 \in \mathbb{C}$  we have to change the contour accordingly (no branch point on the real axis):



Depending on the values of (x, y) the mass m' can be either real or complex  $\longrightarrow \int dxdy$  must be splitted in a real and complex region where to apply the correct dispersion relation (but let's focus only on the real case).

We can re-write the two-point function using the appropriate dispersion relation  $\rightarrow \sigma$  parameter:

$$\mathcal{I}_{2} = \int dx \, dy \, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \left(m^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \, B_{0}\left(\left(q_{1} + k^{\prime}\right)^{2}, m^{\prime 2}, m^{2}\right) = \int dx \, dy \, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \left(m^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \, \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \frac{\Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma; \, m^{\prime 2}, m^{2}\right)}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}}$$
where  $\tilde{q}_{1} \equiv q_{1} + k^{\prime} + i\epsilon$  and  $\sigma_{0} \equiv (m + m^{\prime})^{2}$ 

Since  $\Delta B_0(\sigma_0; m'^2, m^2) = 0$  we can use the Leibniz rule to move the  $m'^2$  derivative inside the integral, allowing us to re-write the integrand so that the lower bound  $\sigma \to \sigma_0$  is not divergent

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{2} &= \int dx \, dy \left\{ \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \partial_{m'}^{2} \, \Delta B_{0} \left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma \left(\sigma_{0} - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}\right)} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma_{0} - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} \, \partial_{m'}^{2} \, B_{0} \left(0; \, m'^{2}, m^{2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The  $q_2$  sub-loop has been reduced to an effective propagator with momentum  $q_1 + k'_1$  and mass  $\sigma$ , reducing the full amplitude to a one-loop integral that can be computed with standard techniques.

$$\mathcal{I} = \int d^{4}q_{1} \frac{1}{q_{1}^{2} - m^{2}} \frac{1}{(q_{1} + p_{1})^{2} - m^{2}} \frac{1}{(q_{1} + p_{1} + p_{2})^{2} - m^{2}} \times \mathcal{I}_{2}$$

$$= -\int dx \, dy \left\{ \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \partial_{m'}^{2} \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right) \left[ D_{0}\left(\sigma\right) - \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \right]$$

$$+ \sigma_{0} \, \partial_{m'}^{2} \Delta B_{0}\left(0; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right) D_{0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \right\}$$

where  $D_0\left(p_1^2, \, p_2^2, \, k_2'^2, \, k_1'^2, \, s, \, t'; \, m^2, \, m^2, \, \sigma\right) \equiv D_0\left(\sigma\right)$  with  $t' \equiv (p_1 - k_1')^2$  is the 4-point scalar function.

The remaining 3-dimensional integral can be evaluated numerically with an improved efficiency.

- We have done the math, so the next step is to obtain stable numerical results for the scalar case and compare them with other methods (WIP).
- We are considering this method for  $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e$  scattering at NNLO in MESMER, namely for the two-box and box-triangle diagrams.
- The idea can also be applied to other leptonic processes such as  $ee \rightarrow ee$ ,  $ee \rightarrow \mu\mu$  and  $ee \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , both for VV at NNLO and RVV at N<sup>3</sup>LO.
- A comparison with massification can be important to evaluate its uncertainty.



## Real and complex regions of $m^\prime$



$$m'^{2} = [1 - xyz - (1 - x - y)(x + y)] m^{2}, \ z = s/m$$
When  $m'^{2} > 0$ ?  $(0 \le x \le 1, \ 0 \le y \le 1 - x, \ z > 4)$ 

$$\begin{cases}
0 \le y \le 1 - x \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le x \le x_{a} \\
0 \le y \le \frac{1}{2}A(x) - \frac{1}{2}B(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x_{a} \le x \le x_{b} \\
0 \le y \le 1 - x \quad \text{for} \quad x_{b} \le x \le 1
\end{cases}$$

$$A(x) = 1 - 2x + xz$$

$$B(x) = \sqrt{z^{2}x^{2} - 4zx^{2} + 2xz - 3}$$

$$x_{a} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{z}} \qquad x_{b} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{z}}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}_{2} &= \int dx \, dy \, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \, (m'^{2})^{2}} \, \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \frac{\Delta B_{0} \left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right)}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} \\ &= \int dx \, dy \, \left\{ \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \frac{\partial_{m'}^{2} \Delta B_{0} \left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right)}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} - \left[ \frac{\partial_{m'} \Delta B_{0} \left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right)}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} \right]_{\sigma \to \sigma_{0}} \right\} \\ &= \int dx \, dy \, \left\{ \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \partial_{m'}^{2} \Delta B_{0} \left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right) \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} + \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sigma_{0} - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sigma_{0} - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} \right] \right\} \\ &= \int dx \, dy \, \left\{ \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} d\sigma \, \partial_{m'}^{2} \Delta B_{0} \left(\sigma; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma \left(\sigma_{0} - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}\right)} \right) + \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma_{0} - \tilde{q}_{1}^{2}} \, \partial_{m'}^{2} B_{0} \left(0; \, m'^{2}, m^{2}\right) \right\} \end{split}$$