

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

Thermal Hydraulic Analysis and Modelling of Tokamak Superconducting Magnets

26/06/2023

3rd year PhD Student: Quentin GORIT

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives - www.cea.fr



INTRODUCTION

Superconductivity: Conductor capacity at

Magnet heat removal: Require direct or indirect cooling at cryogenic temperatures

low temperature to vanish electrical resistance





Direct cooling by bath



Indirect cooling by Ir bath the



Indirect cooling by thermal link coupled with a bath



Indirect cooling by thermallink coupled with a cryocooler



Indirect cooling by external two phase flow (thermosiphon) coupled with a cryocooler



Direct cooling by internal forced flow

Indirect cooling by external forced flow





- 1- Direct internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak
- 2- Indirect cooling by external two phase flow (thermosiphon) coupled with a cryocooler
- 3- Indirect cooling by thermal link (pulsating heat pipe) coupled with a cryocooler



1.1) Internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak: Description

Forced flow cooled coil in tokamak:



Operation: Supercritical helium forced flow for heat removal ($T_{He} \approx 4.5 \text{ K}, P_{He} \approx 5.5 \text{ bar}$)



Quench: Irreversible transition from superconducting to resistive state starting from a local perturbation. The resistive zone propagates with fluid flow and heat transfer mecanisms and generates a large power by Joule effect.

If not quickly detected, possible permanent damage of the magnet



Problematic: How to confirm the safe operation of tokamak superconducting magnets ?

Context: Commissioning of JT-60SA magnets & Preparation of DEMO/ ITER operation

Project: Study heat transfers and helium flows present in magnets for operational domain definition and quench protection purposes





Energy balance for conductor and conduit wall (solids, i=s or j):

Geometrical parameters unknown

$$\rho_i C_i \frac{dT_i}{dt} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(k_i \frac{dT_i}{dx} \right) + \frac{P_{w,i}}{A_i} (T - T_i) + q_{Joule,i} + q_{ext,i}$$

Heat transfers parameters unknown

Mass, momentum and energy balances for helium flow (fluids):

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \frac{d\rho v}{dx} = 0$$
Flow parameters unknown
$$\frac{d\rho v}{dt} + \frac{d\rho v^2}{dx} = -\frac{dp}{dx} - \frac{f\rho v|v|}{2D_h}$$

$$\rho C_v \left(\frac{dT}{dt} + v\frac{dT}{dx}\right) + \rho \phi C_v T \frac{dv}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(k\frac{dT}{dx}\right) + \frac{h}{k} \frac{P_{w,s}}{A} (T_s - T) + \frac{h}{k} \frac{P_{w,j}}{A} (T_j - T) + \frac{f\rho v^2|v|}{2D_h}$$

Possibility of quasy 3-D model of the magnet \rightarrow 1-D CICC models + 2-D casing cross-sections models

1.4) Internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak: Thermal hydraulic properties characterization of cable-in-conduit conducto

Geometrical properties of CICC from X-ray tomography



622



OTHELLO facility for stationary and transient forced-flow experiments

* Nitrogen gas at equivalent conditions to supercritical helium forced flow regarding thermal conductivity, Reynolds and Prandtl numbers:



Stationnary experiments

Transient experiments

* Pressure drop measurements on straight/ bended sample :



* Heat pulse measurements on straight sample with infrared camera :



(Study in collaboration with IUSTI, J. Gaspar)



Thermal hydraulic properties characterization of cable-in-conduit conductor

Flow parameters from stationnary experiments

***** Darcy-Forchheimer equation: $\rho \frac{dp}{dx} = -(\frac{\mu}{K}\eta + \beta\eta^2)$ (Momentum balance for flow in porous media)



Pressure drop VS mass flow

Permeability K and Inertia coefficent β estimation

• Analogy to Darcy-Weisbach equation: $f = \frac{2D_h^2 \Phi}{K} \frac{1}{Re} + 2D_h \Phi^2 \beta$ (Momentum balance for flow in smooth tube)



Friction factor VS Reynolds number

Friction factor f estimation for pressure profile modelling

Cea

1.4) Internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak:

Thermal hydraulic properties characterization of cable-in-conduit conductor

Convective heat transfer coefficient from transient experiments:

Heat pulse from fluid inlet temperature



* 3 temperatures model: 1-D equivalent and fictive porous media (Nitrogen, strands, jacket)



Numerical solutions:

Semi-analytical using Fourier transform (conductionless solids and no compressibillity effects) Numerical using implicit volumes finite method



Inverse procedure: Estimation of *h* solutions with a least square method





1.5) Internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak: Study of the magnet behavior during quench propagation phenomena

Quench tests of JT-60SA TFC in Cold Test Facility:

18 TF coils and 2 spares tested:



Several quench conditions tested on spare coil:

TFC02 Tests	I (kA)	B_{max} (T)	$T_{cs,min}$ (K)	$ au_h$ (s)
Acceptance	25.7	3.05	7.42	0.1
Delayed detection	25.7	3.05	7.42	0.5
75 % Inom	19.5	2.29	7.83	0.1
50 % Inom	12.9	1.52	8.24	0.1

(Study in Collaboration with IRFU, R. Vallcorba)

Quench propagation measurements:

Pressure, mass flow and temperature measurements at magnet inlet and outlet Voltage measurements on CICC and a pick-up coil:

Quench detection Fast current discharge magnetic fields variations **inductive voltage**

$$\gamma_{DP} = \left(\frac{V_{b,DP}}{V_{pickup}}\right)_{PFCD} = \left(\frac{V_{DP,inductive}}{V_{pickup}}\right)_{quench} \qquad \frac{V_{b,DP} = L_{DP}\frac{dI}{dt} + M_{DP}\frac{dI_{p}}{dt} + R_{DP}I_{1}}{V_{DP,inductive}}$$

cea

1.5) Internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak: Study of the magnet behavior during quench propagation phenomena

1-D SuperMagnet model:





Numerical and experimental results:

INominal

8

Time (s)

I_{75%Nominal}

I_{50%Nominal}

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

— T,Inom(Th0.5s),SM

— T.75%Inom.SM

— T.Inom.SM

Exp/Simu consistent results (with difficulties to simulate reduced current tests)

* Reduced current impact:

Low quench propagation velocity increasing the propapagation time before detection

Delayed detection impact:

Increase of joule energy dissipated before and during current discharge increasing hotspot temperature



1.5) Internal forced flow cooled coil in tokamak: Study of the magnet behavior during quench propagation phenomena

Extrapolation from testing to tokamak conditions







Heat loads on JT-60SA cryogenic plant:

- + 5.65 MJ Conservative joule energy dissipated during the quench of one TFC (10 % of the TF stored magnetic energy)
- + 11 MJ Joule energy dissipated in the 18 TFC thick casings by eddy currents because of the Fast Current Discharge

(M. Wanner, "JT -60SA Plant Integration Document (PID)," V4.2)

Quench maximal conductor temperature criteria:

 $T_{cond, maximal} = 60.29 \text{ K} < 150 \text{ K}$ (criteria for non-adiabatic conductor)

Quench criticality: $v_{q,P1,SM} = 19.5 \text{ m/s} \rightarrow E_{P1,SM} = 0.585 \text{ MJ} \rightarrow T_{cond,P1(inlet),SM} = 60.29 \text{ K}$

(Study in collaboration with F4E, A. Louzguiti)



Modelling problematic: Numerical difficulties requiring large computational ressources

Objective: Fast assessment of operational windows and magnet safety

Application: Design and real time control tools



1-D operation simplified model

Simplified and tailored models

1-D quench simplified model





2.1) Indirect cooling by external two phase flow coupled with a cryocooler: Principles

Advantage:

Natural circulation avoiding high pumping cost



Indirect cooling by external two phase flow (thermosiphon) coupled with a cryocooler

Thermosiphon:



Cryocooler:

Succesive compression and expansion of helium decreasing the cold head temperature



Cold head reaching T < 4 K

Thermosiphon loop for CMS particle detector of LHC at CERN (Swiss)



2.2) Indirect cooling by external two phase flow coupled with a cryocooler: Experimental characterization of a thermosiphon loop

Monitor **convective heat transfer** between **tube** and **helium two-phase flow** in stationnary state Heat transfer coefficient estimation using measurements and a 1-D vertical model of helium two phase flow



ThermAutonome facility at CEA Saclay (France)





Observation of intense convective heat transfers during boiling follow by a decrease after vapor film establishment drying out the tube wall



3.1) Indirect cooling by thermal link coupled with a cryocooler: Different thermal links

Cryogenic pulsating heat pipe

(helium, nitrogen, neon or hydrogen)

Conventional Copper block

Current

Cryocooler

Indirect cooling by thermal

link coupled with a cryocooler



Advantages of pulsating heat pipe:

- Lower weight
- higher thermal performance (effective thermal conductivity increased of factor 40 to 100 using N2)

(Mito, Toshiyuki, et al., https/doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2010.2100356)



3.2) Indirect cooling by thermal link coupled with a cryocooler: Pulsating heat pipe

Principle:

Train of alternating liquid slug and vapor bubbles surrounded by liquid film

Expansion and compression of vapor bubbles induce flow oscillations

Liquid transfers heat from hot to cold par



Limitation:

Dry out phenomena can arise stopping oscillation and heat transferment (no liquid film anymore) after reaching a heat load treshold

Applications:

- HTS magnets (even in rotation since PHP can work without gravity) and current leads
- Thermal shield for LTS magnet
- Portable cooling system for HTS coil antenna
- Sc. accelerator cavities
- ✤ Sc. electronics applications
- Quantum computing



Conceptual design of OHPs imbedded in a HTS magnet

 $({\sf Mito,\ Toshiy\,uki,\ et\ al.,\ https/doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2010.2100356})$

3.3) Indirect cooling by thermal link coupled with a cryocooler: Existing facilities for pulsating heat pipe testing

Large facility at CEA Saclay (France)

Adaptable facility at NIFS (Japan)



distance

estimation:

heat input

 $\frac{1}{1}$ temperature difference × $\frac{1}{1}$ cross – sectional area

- ♦ Working fluids ($T_{He} \approx 4 \text{ K}, T_{Ne} \approx 30 \text{ K}, T_{N2} \approx 80 \text{ K}$)
- Orientations (vertical, horizontal ...)
- Dimensions (tube diameter, size ...)
- Heating/cooling locations (center/extremities)

(Romain Bruce et al., https/doi/10.1109/TASC.2019.2902978)

Condenseur



1- Direct internal forced flow cooling

Heat removal of LTS magnets for tokamak insured by high convective heat transfers Reliable simulations and magnet safety assessments after thermal hydraulic properties and quench behavior characterization

2- Indirect cooling by external two phase flow (thermosiphon) coupled with a cryocooler *Passive device saving pumping cost Insure high convection for heat removal in boiling regime*

3- Indirect cooling by thermal link (pulsating heat pipe) coupled with a cryocooler

Low weight and high thermal performance Passive device (no pumping nor gravity required) Potential cutting-edge technology for HTS magnets cooling Possible characterization of the optimal configurations



Thank you for your attention

Questions ?



Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives - www.cea.fr



Assuming compressible, isothermal and ideal fluid in order to model the regular pressure drop:



M3T : Finite volumes numerical method

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Governing equations:} & \frac{d(\rho v)}{dx} = 0 \qquad \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{f \rho v^2}{2 D_h} \\ \text{Fluid:} \quad \rho C_p \frac{dT}{dt} + \rho C_p v \frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(k \frac{dT}{dx} \right) + S_{JT} + h \frac{P_{w,s}}{A} (T_s - T) + h \frac{P_{w,j}}{A} (T_j - T) \\ \text{Solids:} \quad \rho_s C_s \frac{dT_s}{dt} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(k_s \frac{dT_s}{dx} \right) + h \frac{P_{w,s}}{A_s} (T - T_s) \qquad \rho_j C_j \frac{dT_j}{dt} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(k_j \frac{dT_j}{dx} \right) + h \frac{P_{w,j}}{A_j} (T - T_j) \end{aligned}$

Boundary conditions at inlet and outlet (6 eqs):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Fluid:} \rho C_p \frac{dT}{dt} + \rho C_p v \frac{dT}{dx} &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(k \frac{dT}{dx} \right) + S_{JT} + h \frac{P_{w,s}}{A} (T_s - T) + h \frac{P_{w,j}}{A} (T_j - T) \\ \\ \mathsf{Solids:} \quad \rho_s C_s \frac{dT_s}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(k_s \frac{dT_s}{dx} \right) - h_{ext} (T_s - T_{ext}) + h \frac{P_{w,s}}{A_s} (T - T_s) \\ \\ \mathsf{Initial conditions:} \quad T = T_s = T_j = T_{steadystate} \\ \\ \\ \mathsf{Integrated form:} \\ \\ \mathsf{Strands:} \\ \\ \mathsf{a}_i T_i &= a_{i+1} T_{i+1} + a_{i-1} T_{i-1} + a_{i,hs} T_{s,i} + a_{i,hj} T_{j,i} + b_i \\ \end{aligned}$$

Inversion:

AT = B



Energy balance for heat conduction model in conductor and conduit wall:

$$A_{i} \rho_{i} C_{i} \frac{dT_{i}}{dt} - \frac{d}{dx} (A_{i} k_{i} \frac{dT_{i}}{dx}) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \frac{(T_{j} - T_{i})}{R_{th, ij}} + \sum_{h=1}^{H} h_{i,h} P_{i,h} (T_{h} - T_{i}) + q_{Joule,i} + q_{i}$$

Mass, momentum and energy balances for flow model:

$$\rho_h \frac{d(A_h v_h)}{dt} + \rho_h v_h \frac{d(A_h v_h)}{dx} + A_h \frac{dp_h}{dx} - \rho_h v_h^2 \frac{dA_h}{dx} = -A_h F_h - \sum_{k=1,k\neq h}^H (\Gamma_{hk}^{\nu} - \Gamma_{hk}^{\rho})$$

$$A_{h}\frac{dp_{h}}{dt} + A_{h}v_{h}\frac{dp_{h}}{dx} + \rho_{h}c_{h}^{2}\frac{d(A_{h}v_{h})}{dx} - \rho_{h}v_{h}^{2}\frac{dA_{h}}{dx} = \phi_{h}A_{h}v_{h}F_{h} + \phi_{h}q_{h} + \phi_{h}q_{cf,h} - \sum_{k=1,k\neq h}^{H}(c_{h}^{2}\Gamma_{hk}^{\rho} + \phi_{h}[\Gamma_{hk}^{e} - v_{h}\Gamma_{hk}^{v} - (h_{h} - \frac{v_{h}^{2}}{2})\Gamma_{hk}^{\rho}])$$

$$A_{h}\rho_{h}C_{h}\left(\frac{dT_{h}}{dt}+v_{h}\frac{dT_{h}}{dx}\right)+\rho_{h}\phi_{h}C_{h}T_{h}\frac{dv_{h}}{dx}=A_{h}v_{h}F_{h}+q_{h}+q_{cf,h}-\sum_{k=1,k\neq h}^{H}(\Gamma_{hk}^{e}+v_{h}\Gamma_{hk}^{v}-(h_{h}-\frac{v_{h}^{2}}{2}-\phi_{h}T_{h}C_{h})\Gamma_{hk}^{\rho})$$

Quenching region :

Model at 2 temperatures:

Mass balance completed by state equation (ideal gas law) for flow model:

$$\rho_q = \frac{\rho_0 L_q}{\sum_{i=1}^2 X_{q,i}} \qquad p_q = \rho_q R_{gas} T_q \quad v_q = \frac{x}{\sum_{i=1}^2 X_{q,i}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{dX_{q,i}}{dt}$$

Energy balance for conductor/helium:

$$(A_{Cu} \rho_{Cu} C_{Cu} + A_{NbTi} \rho_{NbTi} C_{NbTi} + A_h \rho_q C_h) \frac{dT_q}{dt} = h_{conv} P_{w,j} (T_j - T_q) + \frac{\eta_{Cu} I^2}{A_{Cu}}$$

Energy balance for conduit wall:

$$A_j \rho_j C_j \frac{dT_j}{dt} = h_{conv} P_{w,j} (T_q - T_j)$$

Superconducting region :

Model at 1 temperature: T_h

Mass and momentum balances completed by state equation for flow model:

$$\frac{d\rho_h}{dt} + \frac{d(\rho_h v_h)}{dx} = 0$$
$$\frac{dp_h}{dx} = -\frac{f\rho_h v_h |v_h|}{2D_h}$$
$$\rho_h C_h \frac{dT_h}{dt} = T_h C_\beta \frac{d\rho_h}{dt}$$

Quench front matching conditions

Equal velocities between
$$x = X_q^-$$
 and $x = X_q^+$:

$$\frac{dX_q}{dt} = v_q \Big|_{x=X_q^-} = v_h \Big|_{x=X_q^+} = \left(\frac{2D_h}{f}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{-c_h^2}{\rho_h} \frac{d\rho_h}{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{x=X_q^+}$$

Equal pressures between
$$x = X_q^-$$
 and $x = X_q^+$:
 $p_q \Big|_{x = X_q^-} = p(\rho_h, S_0) \Big|_{x = X_q^+}$

Superconducting and quenching volumes:

Energy balances:

$$\frac{dW(t)}{dt} = -p_{He}(t)\frac{dV_{cold}(t)}{dt} = p_{He}(t)\frac{dV_{hot}(t)}{dt}$$

$$v_q(t) = 0.766 \left(\frac{2 D_h}{f_{CICC}}\right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \left(\frac{r L_{q,ini} \alpha_0 J_0^2}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{5}}}$$

$$L_q(t) = 2 \ (v_q(t) \ t + L_{\underline{q,ini}})$$

Stream 0-D

CICC divided in 2 parts (volumes)



$$P_J(t) = \frac{\eta_{Cu}(T_{cond}(t), RRR_{Cu}, B(t)) L_q(t) I(t)^2}{A_{Cu}}$$

$$\frac{dT_{cond}(t)}{dt} = \frac{P_{ext} + P_J(t) - h_{conv} P_{w,cond} L(T_{cond}(t) - T_{He}(t))}{L(A_{Cu} \rho_{Cu} C_{Cu}(t) + A_{NbTi} \rho_{NbTi} C_{NbTi}(t))}$$

$$\frac{dT_{He}(t)}{dt} = \frac{h_{conv} P_{w,cond} (T_{cond}(t) - T_{He}(t)) - h_{conv} P_{w,jacket} (T_{He}(t) - T_{jacket}(t))}{A_{He} \rho_{He}(t) C_{He}(t)}$$

$$\frac{dT_{jacket}(t)}{dt} = \frac{h_{conv} P_{w,jacket} \left(T_{He}(t) - T_{jacket}(t) \right)}{A_{jacket} \rho_{jacket} C_{jacket}(t)}$$