

Update muX meeting 17/01

Michael Heines

Time cut systematic

Issue with bias time cuts

Centroid position is affected by choice of time cut!



Ge09: Worst detector for this effect

Understanding the issue

- Slow rise time pulses are registered as "later"
- Slow rise time pulses have lower energy



Correcting for it

- Idea of correction:
 - Narrow time cut shifts output
 - Consider a broad time cut which takes all pulses
 - Probe the centroid difference in broad and narrow cut
 - Estimate shift at different energies → Linear fit



Quite big effect



Ideal ⁴⁰K time cut

Time behaviour in ⁴⁰K data

Red: Background per keV Black: Signal integral It makes sense to start after t=0 \rightarrow Cut out Titanium 2p1s Compton continuum and edge



Best time cut for ⁴⁰K data

- Ideal start window: 2 local minima around 4ns and 60 ns
 - Minimal reduction in first local minimum
 - Opting for second to work with cleaner spectrum
- Ideal stop window: Consistent around 480 ns



Graph does not tell the fully story:

Best time cut for ⁴⁰K · ^{Ti 2p1s Comp} · Capture lines

- Ti 2p1s Compton edge → Almost fully suppressed delayed
- Capture lines \rightarrow Suppressed with delayed + shorter interval
- ⁷⁴Ge(n, n') Scattering structure → Suppressed with delayed + shorter interval
- ^{110m}Ag calibration line \rightarrow Suppressed with shorter interval



The standard [-50, 500] ns cut



Long delayed [50, 1000]ns cut



The finalized spectrum



Radius extraction

Nuclear polarization

- Contributions:
 - Nuclear part:
 - Low lying states (mostly uncorrelated between isotopes)
 - Giant resonance (highly correlated between isotopes)
 - Nucleon part:
 - nucleon polarization (highly correlated between isotopes)

NP uncertainties

- Error on nuclear part based on spread based off ${}^{40}Ca \rightarrow {\sim}20\%$
- Error on nucleon part based of Misha's estimates \rightarrow ~10%
- Adding uncertainties linearly as a conservative estimate
- 35CI : NP = 103.9(208) +14.8(15) = 118.7(223) eV
- 37CI : NP = 100.1(201)+16.0(16) = 116.1(217) eV
- Relative uncertainty of ~19%

NP differences

- Assume:
 - 100% correlation in giant resonance and nucleon parts
 - 0% correlation in low lying states part
- Will get exact partitioning soon, but based of rough estimates, the total correlation becomes ~91%
- CI ΔNP ≈ 2.6(94) eV → ~8% of largest NP value compared to Fricke's assumption of 10%

Abusing correlation for $\delta < r^2 >$

$$\delta < r^2 > = R_2^2 - R_1^2 = (R_2 - R_1)(R_2 + R_1)$$

$$\delta < r^2 > = 2 R_1 \Delta R + (\Delta R)^2 = \frac{2R_{k\alpha,1}\Delta R}{V_{2,1}} + (\Delta R)^2$$

After doing some math:

$$\Delta R = \frac{1}{V_{2,2}} \left[\Delta R_{k\alpha} + R_{k\alpha,1} \frac{V_{2,1} - V_{2,2}}{V_{2,1}} \right]$$

Correlation matrices can be used to get best $\delta < r^2 >$, likely limited to the relative precision of $\Delta R_{k\alpha}$ due to high level of correlation

Abusing correlation for $\delta < r^2 >$

$$\delta < r^{2} > = R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2} = (R_{2} - R_{1})(R_{2} + R_{1})$$

$$\delta < r^{2} > = 2 R_{1} \Delta R + (\Delta R)^{2} = \frac{2R_{k\alpha,1}\Delta R}{V_{2,1}} + (\Delta R)^{2}$$

$$\sim 1e-3 \qquad << 2 R_{1} \Delta R$$

After doing some math:

$$\Delta R = \frac{1}{V_{2,2}} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta R_{k\alpha} + R_{k\alpha,1} \frac{V_{2,1} - V_{2,2}}{V_{2,1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim 1e-3} O(e-2) O(e-3)$$

Based of estimates, left term about 10x bigger than right term

Correlation matrices can be used to get best $\delta < r^2 >$, likely limited to the relative precision of $\Delta R_{k\alpha}$ due to high level of correlation

