

Systematic effects

Study and mitigation strategies



Chavdar Dutsov PSI, DD Month YYYY

Systematic effects

Effects that lead to a <u>real</u> or <u>apparent</u> precession of the spin around the radial (E-field) axis that are not related to the EDM.

Types of systematic effects:

- Coupling of the anomalous magnetic moment with the EM fields of the experimental setup (*real*)
- Early to late variation of detection efficiency of the EDM detectors (apparent)

To limit systematic effects we require that (radial) precession due to the **anomalous magnetic moment** is smaller than the target FDM signal:

$$\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m_0} \left[a\vec{B} + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} - a\right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{E}}{c} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B}\right) \right]$$

2



EDM



Systematic effects

- Comprehensive analysis of systematic effects published in the beginning of 2024 (Cavoto et. al., Eur. Phys. J. C (2024) 84 :262)
- Analyzing the Thomas-BMT equation we identify tw important sources of a false EDM signal related to electromagnetic field.

$$\left\langle \left(\vec{\Omega}_{\text{MDM}} \right)_{\rho} \right\rangle = -\frac{ea}{mc} \left\langle \left(1 - \frac{1}{a(\gamma^2 - 1)} - \frac{1}{\beta_{\theta}^2} \right) \beta_{\theta} \right\rangle \langle \underline{E_z} \rangle + \frac{ea}{m} \langle \underline{B_{\rho}(t)} \rangle$$

- Net radial *B*-field component **B***p* (can be non-zero due to residual fields from the magnetic kick)
- Radial magnetic field in the reference frame of the muon due to a *β E* term (non-zero if there is E-field prependicular to the muon orbit)



(longitudinal)

center of curvature



Static or variable radial B-field

Acts in three ways:

- Inducing EDM-like spin-precession
 - Excluded as strong enough fields do not correspond to stored muons as radial
 B-field also rotates momentum vector
- Combination of oscillations around different axes could lead to accumulation of a geometric phase
 - Not an issue for the intrinsic muon motion frequencies in the experiment



4



Static or variable radial B-field

Acts in three ways:

- Rotating the muon momentum vector, thus changing the preferred direction of decay positrons
 - Places limits on the design of the magnetic kicker
 - Necessary to limit ringing and afterpulses to 140 uT @ 100 kHz (corresponding to 10 A current through the coils)



E-field non-uniformities are not excluded from storage considerations.

Blue dot: Maximum expected oscillation amplitude and frequency for a uniform cylinder electrode system.

Red dot: oscillation amplitude and frequency for a segmented electrode system (120 x 0.5 mm wires for cathode and 60 x 0.5 mm for anode).

Stringent limit on the low-frequency (and constant) longitudinal E-field.







E-field component parallel to the B-field will move orbit out of central plane until:

 $B_r^* = E_z / \beta \gamma$

• This induces a radial B-field in the muon rest frame

Effect cancels if particles are injected alternatively CW and CCW and subtracting counts in the detectors.

CW and CCW orbit directions are done by $\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m_0} \left[a\vec{B} + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} - a\right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} \right]$





E-field component parallel to the B-field will move orbit out of central plane until:

 B_r^* = E_z / $\beta\gamma$

• This induces a radial B-field in the muon rest frame

Effect cancels if particles are injected alternatively CW and CCW and subtracting counts in the detectors.

CW and CCW orbit directions are done by $\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{e}{m_0} \left[a\vec{B} + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} - a\right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} \right]$





Cancellation of the systematic effect works only if initial conditions in the positive/negative B-field setup are similar.

One needs to keep the difference in mean muon momentum within **0.5%**.

Tested in previous beam time.

The difference in mean g-2 phase at the time of injection must be below 25 mrad.

Experiment planned to show the control of this parameter.



Eur. Phys. J. C (2024) 84 :262

) PSI

Stability of CW/CCW mean momentum

- To monitor the incoming muon momentum we measure the Time-of-Flight (ToF) through the injection channel.
- In December 2023 we tested various thickness entrance and exit scintillation detectors for optimal timing resolution and repeatibility.
 - We observed below 0.3% momentum difference for positive and negative B-field.
- In Nov. 2024 we performed measurements for CW/CCW mean momentum with the magnet lifting mechanism (analysis pending).
 ¹⁰





04.02.2025

Early-to-late detection efficiency changes



Static differences in up and down detectors would not lead to a systematic effect.

Time-dependent changes in the overall detection efficiency of a set of detectors can be seen as a false EDM signal.

Strong pulsed magnetic field \rightarrow eddy currents, noise, heat in detectors and associated electronics.





Early-to-late detection efficiency chan

Two sets of detectors positioned around a target to measure positrons from muons at rest.



Measure the rates as a function of the time to the last kicker pulse \rightarrow study kicker effects and establish mitigatio







200

400

Time, ns

)2.2025

1000

800

Paul Scherrer Institute

Conclusions



- A major possible systematic effects is a non-zero net longitudinal E-field component (i.e. parallel to B-field)
- Effect cancels if we alternate between CW and CCW injections, determined by the polarity of the main solenoid.
- Requrires control of the mean CW/CCW muon momentum and inital spin polarization direction.
- Muon momentum measured for CW/CCW with the magnet lifter setup and data analysis is pending.
- Early-to-late variations of detectors changing efficiency, baseline, noise level, etc. due to the kicker were tested in September 2024.
- The gathered data will be used to evaluate the extent of the effects.