Physics of fundamental Symmetries and Interactions – PSI2013 Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland, September 9-12, 2013

# Experimental Search for atomic EDM in <sup>129</sup>Xe using active nuclear spin maser



Yuichi ICHIKAWA Tokyo Institute of Technology

#### **Collaborators**

#### Tokyo Institute of Technology

Y.Ichikawa, T.Sato, Y.Ohtomo, Y.Sakamoto, T.Suzuki, M.Chikamori, E.Hikota, H.Miyatake, T.Nanao, K.Suzuki, K.Asahi

*University of Winnipeg* C.P.Bidinosti *Tohoku University* T.Inoue

*Tokyo Metropolitan University* T.Furukawa *Okayama University* A.Yoshimi

KEK

T.Ino

*Hosei University* Y.Matsuo *RIKEN Nishina Center* H.Ueno

*Ritsumeikan University* T. Fukuyama



#### EDM measurement of <sup>129</sup>Xe atom

#### $\bigcirc$ Stable isotope

- Number density: 10<sup>18</sup> ~ 10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

 $\bigcirc$  Long coherence time

 $-T_2 \sim 10^2 \text{ s}$ 

# ONuclear spin: 1/2Unique Zeeman splitting

Experimental upper limit :

 $|d^{(129}Xe)| < 4.1 \times 10^{-27} e^{Cm}$ 

M. A. Rosenberry et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 22 (2001)

c.f. |*d*(<sup>199</sup>Hg)| < 3.1 × 10<sup>-29</sup> *e*cm

W. C. Griffith et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 101601 (2009)

#### EDM search under 10<sup>-28</sup>ecm

#### Principle of EDM measurement



# How to improve frequency precision?

Repeat of Free-induction decay (FID) measurements



Consecutive measurement of spin precession



# Nuclear-spin maser (spin-coil coupling)



Strong coupling between nuclear spin and feedback coil

#### **Optical spin detection**



#### "Active" nuclear-spin maser

"Optically coupled" spin maser

with a feedback field generated by optical spin detection



Maser oscillation at low fields ( $\sim$  mG) Suppression of drifts in  $B_0 \rightarrow$  Suppression of drifts in  $\nu$ 

#### Maser oscillation of <sup>129</sup>Xe



#### Setup for maser



#### Setup for maser

**Compensation coil** 

#### 4-layer magnetic shield

183

Probe laser

**Pumping laser** 

# Long-term frequency drift

Determination precision of averaged frequency





Long-term drift in mag. field at cell position

$$\delta v \sim 1 \,\mathrm{mHz}$$



T. Inoue et al., Physica E 43 (2011) 847-850



#### **Principle of <sup>3</sup>He co-magnetometer**



- > Negligible EDM in <sup>3</sup>He
- > *in situ* magnetometry
- > Correlation in phase :  $\Phi_{Xe}(t) = \frac{\gamma_{Xe}}{\gamma_{He}} \Phi_{He}(t)$

#### Spin polarization of <sup>3</sup>He



GE180 spherical cell : Low magnetic impurity Low leakage of <sup>3</sup>He

#### Checked by AFP-NMR measurement





Typically  $P(^{3}\text{He}) = 3 \%$   $T_{1}(^{3}\text{He}) = 50 \text{ hours}$ at 100 °C

# Concurrent operation of <sup>129</sup>Xe/<sup>3</sup>He masers



- > Spherical GE180 cell ( $^{129}$ Xe: 1 Torr,  $^{3}$ He: 470 Torr, N<sub>2</sub>: 100 Torr)
- ➢ Simultaneous spin detection & individual feedback of <sup>129</sup>Xe/<sup>3</sup>He
- Succeeded in concurrent maser oscillation
- ➢ Determination precision of averaged frequencies for <sup>129</sup>Xe/<sup>3</sup>He are both  $\sim$ 100 nHz in 10<sup>6</sup> sec

#### **Correlation of frequency**



- > No correlation between  $\nu$ (<sup>129</sup>Xe) and  $\nu$ (<sup>3</sup>He)
- > Larger drift in  $\nu$  (<sup>129</sup>Xe) than  $\nu$  (<sup>3</sup>He) Cf.)  $\frac{\gamma_{\text{He}}}{\gamma_{\text{Xe}}} \sim 3$

#### Correlated with cell temperature



Frequency shift due to polarized Rb

Frequency shift of <sup>129</sup>Xe/<sup>3</sup>He due to contact interaction with polarized Rb  $\Delta \nu \propto \kappa$  [Rb]  $P_{\text{Rb}}$ Rb number Rb Polarization density Two-body factor  $\kappa_0$   $\begin{cases} \kappa_{0 \text{ Xe-Rb}} = 493(31) \ \kappa_{0 \text{ He-Rb}} = 4.52 + 0.00934T \ \kappa_{0 \text{ He-Rb}} \end{cases}$ 

[1] Z. L. Ma *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 193005 (2011)
[2] M. V. Romalis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A 58, 3004 (1998)

Frequency shift due to polarized Rb atoms can not be removed by <sup>3</sup>He co-magnetometer

# Double-cell geometry

Cell is divided into Pumping section & Probe section



Rb number density  $\Delta \nu_{\rm Rb} \propto \kappa [\rm Rb] P_{\rm Rb}$ Rb polarization

#### Advantages

- > Reduce  $P_{\rm Rb}$  at probe section
- > Different temperature at pumping part & probe part

#### Difficulties

- >  $T_1(^{129}\text{Xe})$  vs diffusion
- > Deterioration of maser signal due to reduced  $P_{\rm Rb}$

# Maser oscillation with double cell



- ➢ <sup>129</sup>Xe maser + <sup>3</sup>He FID measurements
- Frequency shift is reduced < 1/10 (90 mHz -> 8 mHz)
- Remaining shift due to Rb longitudinal repolarization



#### **Electric-field application test**

# • Gas pressure $^{129}$ Xe : 1 Torr $^{3}$ He : 470 Torr N<sub>2</sub> : 100 Torr • GE180

SurfaSil coated



ITO transparent electrodes

<sup>129</sup>Xe maser signal @ E = 5 kV/cm (Leak current : 70 pA)



# First run of EDM measurement



<sup>129</sup>Xe active spin maser + <sup>3</sup>He co-magnetometer has been conducted



#### To be improved

#### >Improvement in <sup>3</sup>He polarization

#### >Improvement in $T_2$ for <sup>3</sup>He

# ➢ Reduction of Rb longitudinal polarization

# **Reduction of Rb longitudinal polarization**

Linearly polarized laser light is introduced into probe section

- Destroy Rb longitudinal polarization
- Monitor [Rb] through transmission



Rb transverse polarization survives



#### Summary

#### Active spin maser

- Optical spin detection + artificial feedback
- Determination precision of averaged frequency:  $\sim$ 10 nHz

#### Incorporation of <sup>3</sup>He co-magnetometer

- Concurrent <sup>129</sup>Xe/<sup>3</sup>He maser oscillation
- Frequency shift due to polarized Rb
- Double-cell geometry

#### EDM measurement

• First trial using active <sup>129</sup>Xe maser + <sup>3</sup>He co-magnetometer

#### Future perspective

- Improvement in <sup>3</sup>He polarization
- Improvement in  $T_2$
- Reduction of Rb longitudinal polarization