Development of a simulation for measuring neutron electric dipole moment

Ryo KATAYAMA¹, Kenji MISHIMA², Satoru YAMASHITA², Dai SAKURAI³, Masaaki KITAGUCHI⁴, Tamaki YOSHIOKA⁵, Yoshichika SEKI⁶

¹ Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, ² International Center for Elementary Particle Physics , Department of physics, Tokyo University of Science, Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics , ⁶RIKEN

Introduction

The neutron electric dipole moment (nEDM) is sensitive to new physics beyond the standard model because a lot of them expect new CP violation.
 For example, the highest precise nEDM measurement is 2.9×10⁻²⁶ e·cm, while the super symmetry model (SUSY) predicts from 10⁻²⁷ to 10⁻²⁸ e·cm.
 Improving the precision 1 to 2 order of magnitude, we could achieve the region SUSY predicts (see Fig1).

- The signal is the spin precession nEDM produces in an electric fields.

- The ultra cold neutron (UCN), cooled down to about 300 neV, is able to be confined inside a material container and measured accurately its nEDM. -Turning on electric fields parallel/anti-parallel to magnetic fields and by subtraction of one another, we are able to extract the nEDM (see Fig2).



Systemetic uncertainties for nEDM experiments

-If there are any process, except nEDM, which does not compensate after the measurement procedure, it becomes a systematic uncertainty. -The systematic uncertainties, generally, could occur owing to the motion of UCN, the geometry of systems, and the distortion in the magnetic fields. -Thus, it is essential to qualitatively understand these effects.

-We focus on the uncertainty depending on the situation as follows: -There is cylindrical symmetric distorted magnetic fields in a cylindrical container, and UCNs rotate collectively for 100 second (See Fig3).

 For example, when UCN rotates clockwise (or anti-clockwise) with 6cm and 3m/sec for 100 sec in field whose strength are 1 uT and 10 KV/cm and distorted magnetic fields is 1 nT/m, false-EDM is about 3(or 6)×10⁻²⁷ e cm.



Fig.3 (a) Schematic diagram of a distorted magnetic fields (b) Schematic diagram of the distorted magnetic fields (Br) and relativistic one (Bv)

Development of a simulation for nEDM experiments

- We newly develop a simulation by reorganizing Geant4-UCN based on Geant4 ver4.9.2.

- Geant4-UCN, developed by PSI [1], can simulates the motion of UCN taking into account geometry and the gravity fields properly.

- We add essential function to Geant4-UCN as follows:

1. The reflection law according to micro-roughness model (MR model) is incorporated to Geant4 UCN, because the traditional law known as Lambert's cosine law is entirely difference from actual measurement [2].

- 2. We incorporate the equation of motion according to the relativistic spin precession, BMT equation, into Geant4-UCN.
- In spite of great efforts as above, we found the fact that time variable has rounding error corresponding to 10^{-27} e cm false EDM [3].

To avoid the problem, extracting the set of coding relevant to the essential function we organize an alternative to Geant4-UCN, and It can simulate properly with errors corresponding to 10^{-29} e cm [3].

Reference

[1] http://ucn.web.psi.ch/mc/geant4ucn

[2] EPJA10, Diffuse reflection of ultracold neutron from low-roughness surfaces, F.Atchison et.al.
 [3] Ryo KATAYAMA,NOP 2013, to be published

Systematic uncertainty dependency on roughness

- The diffuse scattering from the surface of a material container can be effective in suppressing systematic uncertainties because they depend on specific motions.

-MR model prediction of the diffuse scattering is determined by surface roughness, b and w parameter, and its nuclei force, fermi potential: $V_{\rm F}.$

- -The b parameter indicates roughness perpendicular to the surface plane, while the w parameter parallel to it.
- We simulate diffusion effects choosing conditions as follows:
 Distortion source: a dipole moment whose strength is 1 nT at the center and gradient is 0.9 nT/m between up and down sides.
 - Container: made of crystal, whose $V_{\rm F}$ is 94 neV.
 - Roughness are selected as nine matrix:
 - The b parameter are chosen to 1/10, 2/10, and 3/10 out of its theoretical limitation, 7.4 nm.

- We have no information of w parameter, so that it is chosen as three division of Ni (22 nm) and DLC (45 nm) one, well known.



Fig.4 Micro-roughness model, A. Steyerl, Z.Physik 254, 169 (1972), PRC 81, 055505 (2010)

Simulation results

-We acquire data sets by using procedure as follows:

- Make UCN throwing in ±x-direction at (0, 5.99 cm, 0) with an electric fields parallel/anti-parallel to magnetic fields and roughness fixed.
- Subtract the measured data after 100 second from one another.
- 3. Repeat 1 2 above 168 times to estimate expected uncertainty.
- -The result obtained from the combination of various roughness shows in Fig5 as 3D plot false-EDM, b and w, sorted as clock/anti-clockwise.
- -Statistic uncertainty: about 3 ×10⁻²⁹ e cm.

-It proves that

The uncertainty for anti-clockwise is suppressed to about 7.3×10^{-28} e cm, while for clockwise to about 6.7×10^{-28} e cm.

-The maximum difference for anti-clockwise among roughness is about 0.8×10^{-28} , while for clockwise about 1.4×10^{-28} .



Fig.5 (a) The b and w 9 parameter matrix (b) The 3D plot fasle-EDM, b and w parameter

Summary

Assuming

- -MR model, whose b are 0.7, 1.4, 2.1 nm and w are 22, 33, 45 nm. -UCNs at first rotating with 3m/sec
- -the 6 cm radius and 10 cm height cylinder made of crystal -A steady 10KV/cm electric fields and 1uT magnetic one and cylindrical symmetric 0.9 nT/m dipole magnetic fields

then the false-EDM is suppressed from 6×10^{-27} to 7×10^{-28} e cm for anticlockwise by randomization, while from 3×10^{-27} to 7×10^{-28} e cm for clockwise. The false-EDM difference between maximum and minimum is about 1 × 10⁻²⁸ e cm.

-Future plan:

-Do simulation changing geometry.

-Measure actual roughness and incorporate them to MR model.