# Commissioning Results for the Cornell High Current ERL Injector









#### Storage Rings:

- Narrower and less divergent X-ray beams
- More coherent beams
- More mono-energetic beams
- Shorter pulses
- Linac based FELs:
  - Higher repetition rates
  - Stability











## The ERL Concept

#### A Possible Apparatus for Electron Clashing-Beam Experiments (\*).

M. TIGNER



Electron energy is recovered into fields of RF structures

- Requires continuous RF field
  - Normalconducting RF structures become too hot at high fields
  - Superconducting RF cavities used to have too low fields





# The ERL concept

# The best of two worlds...



- Beam stability at insertion devices
- Accelerator and component design (e.g. SRF)



# The Cornell ERL project







# The Cornell ERL project

	Energy recovered modes			One pass	
Modes:	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	Units
	Flux	Coherence	Short- Pulse	High charge	
Energy	5	5	5	5	GeV
Current	100	25	100	0.1	mA
Bunch charge	77	19	77	1000	рС
Repetition rate	1300	1300	1300	0.1	MHz
Norm. emittance	0.3	0.08	1	5.0	mm mrad
Geom. emittance	31	8.2	103	1022	pm
rms bunch length	2000	2000	100	50	fs
Relative energy spread	0.2	0.2	1	3	10 <sup>-3</sup>
Beam power	500	125	500	0.5	MW





# The Cornell ERL project

# Wilson Lab

# X-ray user area Gooling and cryogenics



Florian Loehl (Cornell University) GFA & SwissFEL Accelerator Seminar PSI, Villigen, Switzerland, December 13, 2010



# **Cornell ERL injector**





Nominal bunch charge Bunch repetition rate Beam power Nominal gun voltage SC linac beam energy gain Beam current

Bunch length Transverse emittance

#### design parameters

77 pC 1.3 GHz up to 550 kW 500 kV 5 to 15 MeV 100 mA at 5 MeV 33 mA at 15 MeV 0.6 mm (rms) < 1 mm-mrad **achieved** 77 pC 50 MHz and 1.3 GHz

350 kV 5 to 15 MeV

#### 0.6 mm (rms)







2001:	ERL prototype proposal submitted
2005:	NSF funds the injector part of the proposal
Sept. 2006:	1st beam from the DC gun
Sept. 2007:	Beam line and cryomodule fabrication and
	assembly starts
March 2008:	Cryomodule assembly is finished
April 2008:	Module installation in ERL injector prototype and
	cool down
June 2008:	First RF
July 2008:	First beam test period starts
August 2009:	End of first test period; rework of DC gun and
	SRF cryomodule starts
April 2010:	First beam after cryomodule rework





# Superconducting Cryomodule







# Superconducting Cryomodule

### Transport from Newman Lab to Wilson Lab







# **Cornell ERL injector**









# **Cornell ERL Injector**

# a bad start...







## A bad start...

#### Strange beam orbit response:







## Localizing the field distortions

Generation of electrical DC fields in the coupler regions of the SRF cavities





Florian Loehl (Cornell University)

GFA & SwissFEL Accelerator Seminar PSI, Villigen, Switzerland, December 13, 2010





## A bad start...

# Localizing the field distortions

# dipole-like coupler kick



due to the coupler field







## A bad start...

## Localizing the field distortions

## quadrupole-like coupler kick







# A first attempt







- Stray fields reappeared after beam loss in the cryomodule occurred
- Coupler conditioning changed the stray fields
   → Charging up of HOM absorbers!









# The culprits: HOM absorbers

Total # loads	3 @ 78mm + 3 @ 106mm	
Power per load	26 W (200 W max)	
HOM frequency range	1.4 – 100 GHz	
Operating temperature	80 K	
Coolant	He Gas	
RF absorbing tiles	TT2, Co2Z, Ceralloy	
1.E+02 1.E+01 1.E+00 1.E-01 1.E-02 1.E-03 1.E-04 1.E-05 1.E-05 1.E-06 1.E-07 1.E-08 1.E-09 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-02 1.E-03 1.E-04 1.E-05 1.E-05 1.E-07 1.E-08 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-02 1.E-07 1.E-08 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-02 1.E-10 1.E-02 1.E-05 1.E-05 1.E-07 1.E-08 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-10 1.E-02 1.E-07 1.E-08 1.E-10	TT2 #2 TT2 #1 TT2 #3 Co2Z #2 Co2Z #3 Co2Z #1 137Zr10 1 sec time const 70 370 470 eg K]	

#### HOM absorber tiles charged up!









Consequence of low resistivities of absorber materials:

- Completely removed ceramic 137Zr10
- Tried gold coating of TT2 absorbers but coating may fall off
- $\rightarrow$  Removed all tiles from the inside of the HOM absorber



Found one loose tile during cryomodule disassembly

- Thermal stress tests confirmed
   this problem
- → Solved by cutting stress relief slots in the tiles









### Before rework of cryomodule:









### After rework of cryomodule:





Florian Loehl (Cornell University) GFA & SwissFEL Accelerator Seminar PSI, Villigen, Switzerland, December 13, 2010



# Effect of tile-removal for 100 mA beam current

#### Blue: inside and outside ferrites Red: outside ferrites only









Had difficulties with low cavity quality factors

Q factors degraded over time
→ Q disease?

During the rebuild, all cavities were high pressure rinsed  $\rightarrow$  Q restored to 1.6 x 10<sup>10</sup> at 1.8 K

 $\rightarrow$  no Q disease

Cornell Laboratory for

ccelerator-based Sciences and Education (CLASSE)

 $\rightarrow$  cavities were possibly contaminated with particles?





**Injector drive laser** 

#### 1.3 GHz drive laser system







## Injector drive laser

#### 50 MHz drive laser system









The dynamic range of many systems needs to be HUGE! Examples:

bunch charge: ~ fC to 100 pC duty cycle: ~  $10^{-5}$  to 1

High repetition rates of up to 1.3 GHz

Pulsed operation AND CW operation

Very high power levels in many systems up to 550 kW beam power up to 50 kW beam power from the DC gun alone Laser power in the IR ultimately >100 W Similar beam quality similar to state-of-the-art FEL injectors





# Longitudinal phase space

# Transverse deflecting cavity



#### beam energy

 Very good laser extinction ratio required in pulsed operation mode (> 10<sup>6</sup>) for many integrating measurements (achieved by Pockels cell with > 10<sup>3</sup> ER in the IR)

<ul> <li>Number of cavities</li> </ul>	1
<ul> <li>Max transverse kick voltage</li> </ul>	200 kV
<ul> <li>Max RF power</li> </ul>	3.8 kW
<ul> <li>Average power</li> </ul>	200 W
<ul> <li>Pulse duration</li> </ul>	60 µS
<ul> <li>Max rep. rate</li> </ul>	1 kHz





Transverse phase space

## Emittance measurement system:



- No moving mechanical parts
- → Allows for very fast measurements (~ 5 s to 20 s) with high dynamic range
- fully integrated in control system ("single button operation")

Combination with existing beam feedbacks (position, charge, ...) allows for parametric optimization of the injector.





## Initial emittance measurements

of module (mm)

upstream

horizontal beam orbit

Performed initial parameter optimizations to improve the beam emittance:

Cornell Laboratory for

ccelerator-based Sciences and Education (CLASSE)









## Initial emittance measurements

of module (mm)

upstream

horizontal beam orbit

Performed initial parameter optimizations to improve the beam emittance:

Cornell Laboratory for

ccelerator-based Sciences and Education (CLASSE)









# Initial emittance measurements



Possible reason for large beam halo: Insufficient extinction ratio in macro-pulse operation.

(Measurements done with gated 50 MHz laser system: 1-2 kHz rate, ~300 ns macro-pulse duration)

Initial emittance measurements@ 10 MeV, 77 pC:

- $\epsilon_{N}$  = 2.7 mm-mrad (dominated by beam halo)
- $\epsilon_{N,90}$  = 1.6 mm-mrad for 90% beam core (white region-of-interest)







# High current operation: Initial challenges



1.)

Experienced large and quite beam fast beam current variations

 → causes beam loss and radiation due to beam loading effects in DC gun and SRF cavities

#### 2.)

Get huge radiation burst after some time, which degrades the cathode.





#### Beam current feedback





Florian Loehl (Cornell University) GFA & SwissFEL Accelerator Seminar PSI, Villigen, Switzerland, December 13, 2010



### Beam current feedback

### without beam current feedback







#### with active beam current feedback







We found sporadic field emission burst from the DC gun happening around 4-5 timer / h at a gun voltage of 350 kV

Possible scenario:

- 1.) Gun emits electron burst
- 2.) Electron burst gets lost in first cavity of cryo module
  - $\rightarrow$  generation of secondary electrons
- 3.) Secondaries produce x-ray burst which hits the cathode
- $\rightarrow$  Self excited loop

By lowering the gun voltage from 350 kV to 250 kV, the radiation burst are gone.

 $\rightarrow$  More processing of the gun required!







#### High current operation







Life-time of our GaAs cathodes is currently quite low:

- Ion back-bombardment which damages the Cs layer
  - $\rightarrow$  Need better vacuum (especially in buncher section)
  - $\rightarrow$  Need clearing electrodes for ions
- $\rightarrow$  New gun design is on its way

Quantum efficiency map of cathode after high current run







Life-time of our GaAs cathodes is currently quite low:

- Ion back-bombardment which damages the Cs layer
  - $\rightarrow$  Need better vacuum (especially in buncher section)
- → Need clearing electrodes for ions or ion clearing gap
   → New gun design is on its way

We are trying alternative cathode geometries:









Outlook

- Further processing planned with present gun to reach higher voltages and reduce field emission
- In parallel: Development of new gun which uses guard rings inside of the insulating ceramics as a protection
- Upgrade of laser system is planned to reach higher power levels
- Transverse and longitudinal laser profiles have to be optimized
- Several stability improvements and improved diagnostics are on its way
- Multi-parameter optimizations planned to lower the emittance
- Further study of time-dependent RF focusing effects and its compensation







- Very promising commissioning results so far:
  - Many design parameters are already met
  - Transverse emittance: 1.6 mm-mrad (90 %)
  - Beam current: ~25 mA
    - Best beam quality every achieved at these beam currents
    - Very close to the world record of 33 mA already and we are only limited by the available laser power

# Thank you for your attention!







## The Cornell ERL injector team:

I. Bazarov, S. Belomestnykh, M. Billing, E. Chojnacki, Z. Conway, J. Dobbins, B. Dunham, R. Ehrlich, M. Forster, S. M. Gruner, C. Gulliford, G. Hoffstaetter, V. Kostroun, M. Liepe, H. Li, Y. Li, X. Liu, F. Loehl, D. Ouzounov, H. Padamsee, D. Rice, V. Shemelin, E. Smith, K. Smolenski, M. Tigner, V. Veshcherevich, Z. Zhao

# Thank you for your attention!



