Methods for the characterization of the long-term behaviour of X-ray detectors

<u>Stefan Reisinger</u>¹, Thomas Hofmann¹, Virginia Voland¹, Frank Nachtrab^{1,2}, Norman Uhlmann¹

¹ Fraunhofer Development Center X-ray Technology EZRT, Fürth ² Cluster "Engineering of advanced Materials", University Erlangen



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays
- 3. Adaption of characterizations
- 4. Example: Comparison of characterization
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook



1. Motivation

- 2. Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays
- 3. Adaption of characterizations
- 4. Example: Comparison of characterization
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook



X-ray imaging Process Chain





X-ray imaging Process Chain





1. Motivation

2. Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays

- 3. Adaption of characterizations
- 4. Example: Comparison of characterization
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook



Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays Motivation

ASTM E 2597-07:

Standard Practice for Manufacturing Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays

- BSR
- Achievable Contrast Sensitivity
- Specific Material Thickness Range
- Image Lag
- Efficiency
- Burn-In
- Bad Pixels
- Internal Scatter Radiation

Additional Tests:

- MTF
- Dark Current
- DQE
- Pixel Noise

(Fraunhofer EZRT Quality Control)



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays
- 3. Adaption of characterizations
- 4. Example: Comparison of characterization
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook



Adaption of characterizations

Differentiation between photon counting and charge integrating detectors

Charge integrating detectors

- BSR
- MTF
- Dark Current + Pixel Noise
- Achievable Contrast Sensitivity
- Specific Material Thickness Range
- Image Lag
- DQE
- Bad Pixels
- Efficiency
- Internal Scatter Radiation
- Burn-In

Photon counting detectors

- BSR
- MTF
- Threshold Scan
- Achievable Contrast Sensitivity
- Specific Material Thickness Range
- -
- QE + Multiplicity
- Bad Pixels
- Efficiency
- Multiplicity
- -



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays
- 3. Adaption of characterizations
- 4. Example: Comparison of characterization
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook



Example: Comparison of characterization Setup

Charge integrating detectors Photon counting detectors RadEye[™] Sensor (RadIcon) Medipix2 (2 x 2 "Quad", Medipix2 Collaboration)



Example: Comparison of characterization Setup

Charge integrating detectors

- RadIcon RadEye™ Sensor
- Charge integrating
- 1024 x 1024 pixels, 48 µm pitch

Photon counting detectors

- Medipix2 (Medipix2 Collaboration)
- Photon counting chip
- Upper and lower energy threshold
- 512 x 512 pixels, 55 µm pitch



Example: Comparison of characterization Basic spatial resolution





Photon counting detectors











Example: Comparison of characterization Modul transfer function





Example: Comparison of characterization DarkCurrent – Pixel Noise/ Threshold





Threshold Scan (MediPix)



Example: Comparison of characterization Achievable Contrast Sensitivity / Specific Material Thickness Range

- Adaption of step wedge to detector dimension
- Contrast behind step wedge corresponding to 160 kV and 0.5 mm Cu

t:0,91	t:0,72	t:0,54	t:0,36	t:0,18	t:0,09	15,00	50,00





Example: Comparison of characterization Achievable Contrast Sensitivity / Specific Material Thickness Range





Example: Comparison of characterization Efficiency

ASTM

- 50 kV, no filter
- 90 kV, 30 mm Al
- 120 kV, 40 mm Al
- 120 kV, 3 mm Cu
- 160 kV, 10 mm Fe
- 220 kV, 8 mm Cu
- 420 kV, 16 mm Cu

50 kV spectrum

- 25 kV, no filter
- 25 kV, 1 mm Al
- 50 kV, no filter
- 50 kV, 3 mm Al
- 50 kV, 0.3 mm Cu



- 1. Motivation
- 2. Characterization of Digital Detector Arrays
- 3. Adaption of characterizations
- 4. Example: Comparison of characterization
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook



Conclusion and Outlook

- Differentiation between photon counting and charge integrating detectors
- Adoptions of current characterizations
- Alternatives of characterizations for integrating detectors
- \rightarrow Influence of characterizations on image quality
- → Forecast of lifetime



Thank you for your attention!

Thanks to all my colleagues involved in this work, especially Thomas Hofmann, Virginia Voland, Steffen Hagen and Frank Nachtrab.

