

RCDS optimizations at ESRF

S.M.Liuzzo, on behalf of the ESRF Beam dynamics and applications group

ESLS workshop, 24-25 November, PSI, CH

RCDS ONLINE OPTIMIZER

With the robust conjugate direction search (RCDS) by Xiaobiao Huang et al, we performed all the optimization presented in the following slides directly on the storage ring.



An algorithm for online optimization of accelerators



Xiaobiao Huang*, Jeff Corbett, James Safranek, Juhao Wu

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 March 2013 Received in revised form 29 April 2013 Accepted 14 May 2013 Available online 30 May 2013 Keywords: Optimization Algorithm

SPEAR3

ABSTRACT

A general algorithm is developed for online optimization of accelerator performance, i.e., online tuning, using the performance measure as the objective function. This method, named *robust conjugate direction* search (*RCDS*), combines the conjugate direction set approach of Powell's method with a robust line optimizer which considers the random noise in bracketing the minimum and uses parabolic fit of data points that uniformly sample the bracketed zone. It is much more robust against noise than traditional algorithms and is therefore suitable for online application. Simulation and experimental studies have been carried out to demonstrate the strength of the new algorithm.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

Robust Conjugate Direction Search (RCDS, X.Huang et al. *)

*X. Huang, J. Corbett, J. Safranek, J. Wu, "An algorithm for online optimization of accelerators", Nucl. Instr. Methods, A 726 (2013) 77-83.



TESTS OF RCDS ON ESRF STORAGE RING

- Coupling 7/8, 180mA , using 64 skew quad.
- Optimize lifetime with 12 sextupole correctors
- Optimize lifetime with sextupole correctors during USM
- Optimize lifetime with 7 sextupole families
- Injection efficiency

COUPLING (7/8 FILLING PATTERN, 180MA)





LIFETIME OPTIMIZATION

We need to correct the lifetime measurement for:

- Current decay
- Emittance variation
- Bunch length

We want to optimize the **normalized lifetime**:

$$\tau_{N} = \tau \cdot I / I_{N} \cdot \sigma_{N,z} / \sigma_{z} \cdot \sigma_{N,y} / \sigma_{y}$$

In 7/8 multibunch the lifetime is influenced by the vacuum lifetime.

$$I(t) \propto -I_{N}^{*}(1/\tau_{vac} - 1/\tau)^{*}t$$

 τ_{vac} =300h (assumed, to be measured vs current) I is the measured current



CORRECTION FROM ZERO SEXTUPOLES CORRECTORS, 5 ITERATIONS





NORMALIZED LIFETIME: BEST SET IS BETTER THAN INITIAL SET





SEXTUPOLE CURRENTS



sextupole strengths for lifetime optimization

	Sexts												
	Sext 108	$\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{Y}}}$	\bigvee	$\mathbf{\mathbf{Y}}$	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee
	Sext 109	C24/C8	C24/C24	C24/C16	C24/C32	U C24/C3	C24/C23	C24/C9	C24/C29	C20/C8	C20/C24	C20/C16	C20/C32
	Sext 63												
11	JUNITO												

The status: tuning of sextupolar resonances

Sexts														
Sext 108 Sext 109	Y	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	Y	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	\bigvee	+τ	
Sext 63 Sext 10	C24/C8	C24/C24	C24/C16	C24/C32	C24/C3	C24/C23	C24/C9	C24/C29	C20/C8	C20/C24	C20/C16	C20/C32		

The wish: tuning lifetime

Application now available and
in use in CTRM during USM



PRESENT ESRF FILLING MODES

The most used filling modes at ESRF are the 16 bunches mode (~24%) and the 7/8+1 mode (~58%).





16 bunch



Different sextupole settings ——

chromaticity is ~(4,6)

chromaticity is ~(10,10)

This difference induces cascade modification of many settings:

- Correction files (SRCOR) due to sextupole misalignments,

- Sextupole correctors tuning

Injection tuning, (sextupoles inside injection bump)

Using the sextupole settings of the 16 bunch for the 7/8+1 degrades the lifetime.



7/8+1 multibunch

16 bunch

Same linear optics

SAME sextupole settings

chromaticity is ~(10,10)←

The difference is: Lifetime, degraded for 7/8+1 multibunch

Objective of the experiments has been to optimize the lifetime for chromaticity (10,10), using the Sextupole Families.

- Better lifetime for 16 bunch mode
- same sextupole settings for 7/8+1 and 16 bunch:
- Similar lifetime 7/8+1 high chromaticity and normal 7/8
 - More current in the single bunch
 - No bunch by bunch feedback



16 BUNCH MODE SEXTUPOLE FAMILIES OPTIMIZATION

We vary 5 of the 7 sextupole families and we use the last 2 to match the chromaticities.

We found a new sextupole setting with longer lifetime for the 16 bunches mode, with the same chromaticity (10, 10), necessary to store up to 8mA per bunch.

We now use this setting with users.





OPTIMIZATION OF LIFETIME IN 16 BUNCH

16 bunch, 23 mA, 30A range of variation of the Sextupoles, 2 iterations



Initial chromaticity: 9.42, 9.81 Final chromaticity: 9.71, 10.85



16 BUNCH WITH OPTIMIZED SEXTUPOLE FAMILY SETTING



NEW SEXTUPOLE SETTING FOR THE 16 BUNCH MODE

Lifetime of one week of operations with the old sextupole setting and with the new sextupole setting, in 16 bunch mode









We can use the same sextupole setting also in multi-bunch mode. This allows to have higher current in the single bunch, without losing lifetime, and without bunch by bunch feedback.

Single bunch current increased from 4mA to 8mA.





INJECTION EFFICIENCY



Few iterations RCDS with H/V steerers and septas necessary Injected beam killed after ~4k turns with delayed vertical kicker



It is possible to run the mode 7/8+8mA, with lifetimes similar to standard operations 7/8+4mA (low chromaticity)

The optics have been set in operation for Users Standard Mode.

16 bunch optics has a better lifetime compared to before.

It is possible to run in any moment the automated retuning of the sextupole correctors using RCDS (X.Huang), or tune the sextupolar resonance knobs.

Injection efficiency tuned using RCDS.

More iterations (usually 2-5 used above) could be beneficial, but would take a very long time.



MANY THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION





BACKUP





ESRF

5 ITERATIONS OF OPTIMIZATION ~4H





SEXTUPOLE CORRECTORS IMPACT ON ORBIT (WITH FOFB)

Sextupole correctors introduce some orbit that is observable in the FOFB tapis-roulant.

1st iteration, each sextupole is moved independently

After 1st iteration, sextupoles are moved along optimal directions





Cleaning worked in 7/8 and 16 bunch. No problems and no retuning.

E.Plouviez suggested to inject 4x8 mA (chromaticity) and try to use the bunch by bunch feedback to stabilize 10mA/bunch. <u>Work still to be done</u>. Lifetime 9h, emittance (5nm,9pm), 33mA.

E.Plouviez suggested to inject Uniform 203mA to see the effect of chromaticity on the ion instability. Lifetime: 50h The vertical emittance without feedback: 8.4 pm The vertical emittance with feedback: 7.9 pm Feedback is working ok but not so effective.



+10% CURRENT TEST

Only 4 ID still to be closed.

To ensure the radiation safety margin we test the stability of the optics at 216+4mA (+10%).

We close all invacuum undulators and then all gaps until power density limit is achieved. We are stopped in this operation by an alarm on TRA1.

File View Command Applications Current 220.354 mA 9.015 MV ... Accelerating Voltage Op_Multi_without_Cav5 Op_Multi_without_Cav5 Op_Multi_without_Cav5 Cavity_Active Cavity_Active 1.53 MV 1.67 MV 1.65 M 0.02 MV 1.57 MV 1.57 MV 0.504 MV 0.503 MV Cell 7 CAV4 CAV3 CAV2 CAVS Cell 25 Cell CAVE CAV1 -WW Load 3 sy/ms/1/Frequency Load 2 352.20226848 M SRRF1 SRRF2 SRRF3 Long. fdbck -thu 1007 kW_RF 0 kW_RF 292 kW_RF SYRE 81.00 KW 82.14 KW 619 kW 1645 kW 0 kW SRRF1 commands SRRF3 commands RF2 commands C23-1 commands C23-2 commands Op Multi without Cav5 EE 1 88kV 18.5A Op Multi without Cav5 Cavity 500kV Cavity 500kV





We consider the test PASSED.



Tunes not so easy to find

TUNES





Injection efficiency poor, but comparable to 7/8+4mA at nominal chromaticity.





Page 27 I ESLS I 24-25 Nov 2015, PSI, CH I S.M.Liuzzo, ESRF

The European Synchrotron

Lifetime vs emittance 7/8 + 8mA



Single bunch Lifetime vs emittance 7/8 + 8mA





Page 30

The European Synchrotron