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## Experimental



Others:
RDI, ACSM, ATOF-MS, Nephelometer, Lidar, SMPS, SP2, HONO, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NOx}, \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Meteo parameters...
8 High-Vol \& 10 Mini-Vol samplers

| Period | Start | End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Xi'an | 13.12 .2013 | 06.01 .2014 |
| Beijing | 10.01 .2014 | 26.01 .2014 |

Instrumentation

| HR-ToF-AMS |
| :---: |
| Aethalometer $(7-\lambda)$ |

PM2.5 Cyclone
TSP


## HR-ToF AMS




(Williams et al., 2013)


## PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT CHIC <br> Bulk PM2.5 chemical composition

 Chemistry

## OA source apportionment

Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF; Paatero and Tapper, 1994)


Iterative algorithm that aims to the minimization of: $\quad Q=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{e_{i j}}{\sigma_{i j}}\right)^{2}$
Multilinear Engine (ME-2; Canonaco et al., 2013)
Constrain $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ with a-value approach:
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{sol}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \pm \mathrm{af}_{\mathrm{j}}$


Step 1- Run all possible a-value combinations:
This work: $a$-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1
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## Step 1:

Step 2- Establish criteria to chose best solutions:
This work:

1) Minimization of $m / z 60$ in HOA
2) Optimization of COA diurnals
3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
$>\mathrm{BC}_{t r}$ vs HOA
$>\mathrm{BC}_{\mathrm{wb}}$ vs BBOA
$>\mathrm{PAH}_{\text {fitted }}=\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{BBOA}+\mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{CCOA}+\mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{HOA}$ vs $\mathrm{PAH}^{(1)}$
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Step 2 (2):


Accepted limits?


Cluster analysis
2) Optimization of COA diurnals: cluster analysis ( $k$-means algorithm)


$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 44$ in COA spectra:
literature ${ }^{(1)}: 0.013 \pm 0.004 \%$
red cluster: $0.013 \pm 0.002 \%$
blue cluster: $0.026 \pm 0.008 \%$
purple cluster: $0.025 \pm 0.019 \%$
(1) He et al. (2010), Crippa et al. (2013) and Wolf (2014)
2) Optimization of COA diurnals: cluster analysis ( $k$-means algorithm)
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Step 3- Consider average of all good solutions to get an estimation of your errors.
3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
(A) $\mathrm{PAH}_{\text {fitted }}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{BBOA}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{b} * \mathrm{CCOA}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{c} * \mathrm{HOA}(\mathrm{t})$
(B) $\quad \mathrm{BC}_{\text {tr fitted }}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\mathrm{BC}_{\mathrm{tr}} / \mathrm{HOA}\right)_{\mathrm{av}} * \mathrm{HOA}(\mathrm{t})$

$$
\rightarrow S_{\text {ext }}=\frac{\text { Measured-Fitted }}{\text { Measured }} \rightarrow \begin{gathered}
\text { Minimize the error } \\
\left(\sigma_{\text {fext }}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

(C) $\quad B C_{w b \text { fitted }}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{wb}} / \mathrm{BBOA}\right)_{\mathrm{av}} * \mathrm{BBOA}(\mathrm{t})$



Combine all errors:

$$
\sigma_{A L L}=\sqrt{\left(\sigma_{P A H}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{B C_{t r}}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{B C_{w b}}\right)^{2}}
$$



Step 1- Run all possible a-value combinations:
This work: $a$-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1
Step 2 (3):
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Step 3- Consider average of all good solutions

Step 3- Consider average of all good solutions
$>$ Best solution: $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{A L L}} \min \rightarrow$ a-value $\mathrm{HOA}=0.9 \&$ a-value $\mathrm{COA}=0.6$
$>$ Modify OA input matrix within it's errors and see variability of solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
50 \mathrm{ME}-2 \text { runs }^{(1)} \text { with: } \\
\mathbf{O A}_{\text {mod }}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})=\mathbf{O A}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \pm \mathbf{2 0 A _ { \text { error } } ( \mathbf { i } , \mathbf { j } )} \\
\boldsymbol{\pi} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Final accepted a-value } \\
\text { combinations }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$


$>$ As sensitivity check:

$$
10 \mathrm{ME}-2 \text { runs }^{(1)} \text { with } \mathbf{O A}{ }_{\text {mod }}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})=\mathbf{O A}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \pm \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{A}_{\text {error }}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})
$$

(1) Using a-value of 0.9 for HOA and 0.6 for COA



1) Importance of optimization of source apportionment
2) Importance of measuring PM2.5 fraction (specially during haze)
3) Characteristics of the haze events
$>$ Increase of SOA (OOA) and inorganic ions (mostly $\mathrm{SO}_{4} \& \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ )
$>$ Growth of particles towards higher sizes ( $\sim \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{n m} \rightarrow 800 \mathrm{~nm}$ )
$>$ Heterogeneous oxidation of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ favored at high RH (e.g. during haze)
4) PM2.5 sources in Xi'an and Beijing
$>$ PM2.5 dominated by emissions from:

- BBOA in Xi'an
- CCOA in Beijing

$>$ High PAH from major combustion sources

- PAH correlate very good with CCOA in Beijing but needs other sources in Xi’an
$>$ PMF runs with Input $=(\mathrm{OA} \mid \mathrm{PAH}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PAH}$ attributed to $\mathrm{BBOA}, \mathrm{CCOA}$ and HOA
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{PAH}_{\text {fitted }}=\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{BBOA}+\mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{CCOA}+\mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{HOA}$



## PMF solutions with 4,5 and 6 factors



## PMF solutions with 4,5 and 6 factors



## Decreased m/z 44 in red cluster solution


(1) He et al. (2010), Crippa et al. (2013) and Wolf (2014)

## $B C$ calculated as a linear combination of HOA, BBOA and CCOA




## Diurnals AMS species and eBC with variability (P25-P75)



## Diurnal trends of the OA sources and external tracers



## Diurnal trends of OA sources with errors (st. dev. from all good a-values + daily variability (P25-P75))



## Correlations OA sources vs external tracers



## Correlations OA sources vs external tracers

| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Xi'an |  | Beijing |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extreme haze | Not extreme Haze | Extreme haze | Not extreme Haze |  |
| OOA-NH4 | 0.22 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0.60 | 0.88 |
| COA-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.21 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 0.71 | 0.31 |
| CCOA-PAH | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.62 |
| BBOA- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.97 |
| BBOA-BC ${ }_{\text {wb }}$ | 0.33 | 0.53 | N.A. | N.A. | 0.38 |
| HOA-BC ${ }_{\text {tr }}$ | 0.61 | 0.61 | N.A. | N.A. | 0.61 |
| Ratio (source/marker) | Xi'an |  | Beijing |  | Overall |
|  | Extreme haze | Not extreme Haze | Extreme haze | Not extreme Haze |  |
| OOA-NH4 | 0.99 | 1.08 | 0.67 | 0.76 | 0.97 |
| COA-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ | 60.21 | 144.01 | 125.54 | 197.79 | 96.35 |
| CCOA-PAH | 3.42 | 5.51 | 10.84 | 10.43 | 7.16 |
| BBOA- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 51.02 | 53.98 | 28.75 | 21.68 | 50.60 |
| BBOA-BC wb | 10.82 | 4.91 | N.A. | N.A. | 7.31 |
| HOA-BC ${ }_{\text {tr }}$ | 1.18 | 1.62 | N.A. | N.A. | 1.27 |

## Time series of OA sources with

 standard deviation among all good avalue combinations (black shadow)

## PSCF analysis

Xi'an extreme haze
Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

$80 \quad 90 \quad 100 \quad 110$

(20)


$\begin{array}{llll}80 & 90 & 100 & 110\end{array}$ Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

## Xi'an not extreme haze

Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )







Beijing not extreme haze
Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )


+ All backtraj. $\begin{array}{ll}+ & \text { All backtraj. } \\ + \text { Selected backtraj }\end{array}$ + Selected backtra Measeruments


## $\bigcirc 40$ <br> O 30 <br>  <br> - 5 <br> - 0.5 <br> 



