

SoFi meeting Bad Zurzach, 11-14th April 2016



Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

Sources of organic aerosols during extreme haze events in China: PMF optimization and validation

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3207–3225, 2016 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/3207/2016/ doi:10.5194/acp-16-3207-2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





New insights into PM_{2.5} chemical composition and sources in two major cities in China during extreme haze events using aerosol mass spectrometry

Miriam Elser¹, Ru-Jin Huang^{1,2,5}, Robert Wolf¹, Jay G. Slowik¹, Qiyuan Wang², Francesco Canonaco¹, Guohui Li², Carlo Bozzetti¹, Kaspar R. Daellenbach¹, Yu Huang², Renjian Zhang³, Zhengqiang Li⁴, Junji Cao², Urs Baltensperger¹, Imad El-Haddad¹, and André S. H. Prévôt^{1,2}

²State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology and Key Laboratory of Aerosol Chemistry and Physics, 'Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment Research for Temperate East Asia, Institute of Atmospheric ⁴State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Satellite Remote Sensing, Institute of Remote Sensing and Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232, Villigen PSI, Switzerland Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 710075 Xi'an, China Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁵Centre for Atmospheric and Marine Sciences, Xiamen Huaxia University, Xiamen 361024, China Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Correspondence to: Ru-Jin Huang (rujin.huang@ieecas.cn), Imad El-Haddad (imad.el-haddad@psi.ch) and André S. H. Prévöt (andre prevot@psi.ch)

Received: 2 October 2015 - Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 3 November 2015 Revised: 16 February 2016 - Accepted: 19 February 2016 - Published: 11 March 2016



Experimental



A Restored and the	Australian Control of
Contraction of the second seco	Wagita Harbin
Caracterian Constanting	Changchun
sation, yes	bides when his
	CO Talvuan Tianji Beijing
Anter Contraction	Xining Shijiazhuang
A CARLER AND	A GA A A STA
Par tem	XI'AN A Shanghai
Real V	Chongdu
Tringfor Binnan	which a surry warry
shing and	I A CAS & C M .
auten Bantaduren Bantaduren	Kamen the
Real Prints	Guangzhou
Ayandri Birmay	Hong-Kong
Raypyiday.	
	Sanva

Others:

RDI, ACSM, ATOF-MS, Nephelometer, Lidar, SMPS, SP2, HONO, NH₃, SO₂, NOx, O₃, CO₂, Meteo parameters... 8 High-Vol & 10 Mini-Vol samplers

Period	Start	End
Xi'an	13.12.2013	06.01.2014
Beijing	10.01.2014	26.01.2014

Instrumentation
HR-ToF-AMS
Aethalometer (7-λ)









2





OA source apportionment



Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF; *Paatero and Tapper, 1994*)

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



Iterative algorithm that aims to the minimization of:



Multilinear Engine (ME-2; Canonaco et al., 2013)

Constrain f_i (or g_i) with a-value approach:

 $f_{j,sol} = f_j \pm af_j$







5 FACTORS SOLUTION (unconstrained run)



HF	R families:		
1	C _x H _y	1	C _x H _y O _z N _w (z>1
1	$C_xH_yO_z$ (z=1)	Т	C _x
1	C _x H _y O _z (z>1)	1	C _x S _j
11	C _x H _y N _w	1	H _y O _z
Т	C _x H _y O _z N _w (z=1)	J.	CI

Mixing between sources?

➢ HOA & BBOA:

High m/z 60 in HOA (also in 25 factors solution)

→ Constrain HOA Paris winter (Crippa., 2013)

COA & OOA:

High m/z 44 in COA Diurnal COA disturbed if fixing HOA

TO GET A GOOD SEPARATION OF THE PRIMARY SOURCES WE NEED TO CONSTRAIN HOA & COA





This work: a-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1

Step 1:







This work: a-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1

- **Step 2-** Establish criteria to chose best solutions: *This work:*
 - 1) Minimization of m/z 60 in HOA
 - 2) Optimization of COA diurnals
 - 3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
 - \succ BC_{tr} vs HOA
 - ➢ BC_{wb} vs BBOA
 - \blacktriangleright PAH_{fitted} = a*BBOA + b*CCOA + c*HOA vs PAH⁽¹⁾









This work: a-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1

Step 2- Establish criteria to chose best solutions:

This work:

- 1) Minimization of m/z 60 in HOA
- 2) Optimization of COA diurnals
- 3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
 - \succ BC_{tr} vs HOA
 - ➢ BC_{wb} vs BBOA
 - \blacktriangleright PAH_{fitted} = a*BBOA + b*CCOA + c*HOA vs PAH⁽¹⁾









This work: a-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1

- **Step 2-** Establish criteria to chose best solutions: *This work:*
 - 1) Minimization of m/z 60 in HOA
 - 2) Optimization of COA diurnals
 - 3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
 - \succ BC_{tr} vs HOA
 - ➢ BC_{wb} vs BBOA
 - \blacktriangleright PAH_{fitted} = a*BBOA + b*CCOA + c*HOA vs PAH⁽¹⁾



(1) PAH from HR-AMS data, method by Bruns et al. (2015)



(1) He et al. (2010), Crippa et al. (2013) and Wolf (2014)







This work: a-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1

Step 2- Establish criteria to chose best solutions:

This work:

- 1) Minimization of m/z 60 in HOA
- 2) Optimization of COA diurnals
- 3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
 - ➢ BC_{tr} vs HOA
 - ➢ BC_{wb} vs BBOA
 - \blacktriangleright PAH_{fitted} = a*BBOA + b*CCOA + c*HOA vs PAH⁽¹⁾
- Step 3- Consider average of all good solutions to get an estimation of your errors.

(1) PAH from HR-AMS data, method by Bruns et al. (2015)

Step 2 (2):









This work: a-value: 0 to 1 with steps of 0.1

Step 2- Establish criteria to chose best solutions: *This work:*

- 1) Minimization of m/z 60 in HOA
- 2) Optimization of COA diurnals
- 3) Factor-tracer correlations (primary sources):
 - ➢ BC_{tr} vs HOA
 - \succ BC_{wb} vs BBOA
 - \blacktriangleright PAH_{fitted} = a*BBOA + b*CCOA + c*HOA vs PAH⁽¹⁾

Step 3- Consider average of all good solutions

Step 2 (3):





Step 3- Consider average of all good solutions

▶ Best solution: σ_{ALL} min → a-value HOA = 0.9 & a-value COA = 0.6







> As sensitivity check:

10 ME-2 runs⁽¹⁾with **OA_{mod}(i,j) = OA₀(i,j) ± 1OA_{error} (i,j)**

 $^{(1)}$ Using a-value of 0.9 for HOA and 0.6 for COA



OA source apportionment results





HR families:



C_xH_yO_zN_w (z=1) CI

Improved solution:

- Clean profiles (decreased contributions of m/z 60 in HOA and m/z 44 in COA)
- Errors estimation from all accepted a-value combinations

Unconstrained .vs. optimized solution



Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry







- 1) Importance of optimization of source apportionment
- 2) Importance of measuring PM2.5 fraction (specially during haze)
- 3) Characteristics of the haze events
 - > Increase of SOA (OOA) and inorganic ions (mostly $SO_4 \& NO_3$)
 - \succ Growth of particles towards higher sizes (~ 400 nm \rightarrow 800 nm)
 - \succ Heterogeneous oxidation of SO₂ favored at high RH (e.g. during haze)
- 4) PM2.5 sources in Xi'an and Beijing
 - > PM2.5 dominated by emissions from:
 - BBOA in Xi'an
 - CCOA in Beijing



High PAH from major combustion sources







- > PAH correlate very good with CCOA in Beijing but needs other sources in Xi'an
- ▶ PMF runs with Input = (OA|PAH) \rightarrow PAH attributed to BBOA, CCOA and HOA
- PAH_{fitted}=a*BBOA + b*CCOA + c*HOA



PMF solutions with 4,5 and 6 factors



PMF solutions with 4,5 and 6 factors



Decreased m/z 44 in red cluster solution



m/z 44 in COA spectra:

literature⁽¹⁾: 0.013 ± 0.004 %

red cluster: 0.013 ± 0.002 %

blue cluster: 0.026 ± 0.008 %

purple cluster: 0.025 ± 0.019 %

(1) He et al. (2010), Crippa et al. (2013) and Wolf (2014)

BC calculated as a linear combination of HOA, BBOA and CCOA



Diurnals AMS species and eBC with variability (P25-P75)



Diurnal trends of the OA sources and external tracers



Diurnal trends of OA sources with errors (st. dev. from all good a-values + daily variability (P25-P75))



Correlations OA sources vs external tracers



Correlations OA sources vs external tracers

	Xi'an		Beiji	Beijing		
R ²	Extreme	Not extreme	Extreme	Not extreme		
	haze	Haze	haze	Haze		
OOA-NH ₄	0.22	0.71	0.38	0.60	0.88	
$COA-C_6H_{10}O$	0.21	0.58	0.44	0.71	0.31	
CCOA-PAH	0.57	0.59	0.96	0.96	0.62	
BBOA-C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	0.98	0.96	0.79	0.81	0.97	
BBOA-BC _{wb}	0.33	0.53	N.A.	N.A.	0.38	
HOA-BC _{tr}	0.61	0.61	N.A.	N.A.	0.61	

Patio	Xi'an		Beijing		Overall
(source/marker)	Extreme	Not extreme	Extreme	Not extreme	
(source/marker)	haze	Haze	haze	Haze	
OOA-NH ₄	0.99	1.08	0.67	0.76	0.97
$COA-C_6H_{10}O$	60.21	144.01	125.54	197.79	96.35
CCOA-PAH	3.42	5.51	10.84	10.43	7.16
BBOA-C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	51.02	53.98	28.75	21.68	50.60
BBOA-BC _{wb}	10.82	4.91	N.A.	N.A.	7.31
HOA-BC _{tr}	1.18	1.62	N.A.	N.A.	1.27

Time series of OA sources with standard deviation among all good avalue combinations (black shadow)



PSCF analysis

