

State

MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

ESS Instrument Construction Proposal HEIMDAL

Please read the call for instrument proposals found at <u>europeanspallationsource.se/instruments2013</u> and the "Preparation and Review of an Instrument Construction Proposal" to guide you in preparing your instrument construction proposal.

	Name	Affiliation
	(name, title, e-mail address)	(name of institution, address)
Proposer	Mogens Christensen, Assist. Prof. <u>mch@chem.au.dk</u>	Center for Materials Crystallgraphy iNANO & Department of Chemistry Aarhus University DK-8000 Aarhus
Co-proposers	Kim Lefmann, Assoc. Prof., lefmann@nbi.dk	Niels Bohr Institutet, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 København Ø
	Jürg Schefer, Head of Neutron Diffraction juerg.schefer@psi.ch	Laboratory for Neutron Scattering Paul Scherrer Institut CH-5232 Villigen PSI
	Poul Norby, Senior Scientist, pnor@dtu.dk	Technical University of Denmark Building 229, room 120 DK-4000 Roskilde
ESS coordinator	Paul Henry	European Spallation Source ESS AB Box 176 S-221 00 Lund

Note: All proposals received by ESS will be included as Expressions of Interest for In-kind contributions. ESS will use this information for planning purposes and the proposer or affiliated organization is not obligated to materially contribute to the project.

The following table is used to track the ESS internal distribution of the submitted proposal.

	Name
Document	Ken Andersen
submitted to	
Distribution	Dimitri Argyriou, Oliver Kirstein, Arno Hiess,
	Robert Connatser, Sindra Petersson Årsköld,
	Richard Hall-Wilton, Phillip Bentley, Iain
	Sutton, Thomas Gahl, relevant STAP



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

ENCLOSURES

Letter of interest – Dominik Schaniel (Université Lorraine) Letter of interest – Bjørn Hauback (IFE) Letter of interest – Daniel Urffer (Saint-Gobain) Appendix A – Extended Science Case Appendix B - Comparison of Multiscale Instruments Appendix C - Sample environment consideration Appendix D – HEIMDAL – A high resolution powder diffractometer Appendix E- pancake moderator effects

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY [1-2 PAGES]

Advances in material science come through an in-depth understanding of relations between structure and properties. This is a common goal for all condensed matter scientists performing experiments ranging from high precision crystallographic studies to kinetics studies in chemistry, physics or materials science. Traditionally materials have been studied at equilibrium conditions far from the operating conditions. Currently there is a growing effort in the field to investigate material under more realistic conditions, in real time, and on multiple length scales. Much of this change has been driven by new developments on synchrotron sources. Neutrons are an excellent probe for this kind of research, but no instruments capable of covering multiple length scales in real time exist. We propose an instrument combining state-of-the-art thermal neutron powder diffractometer (TNPD) with small angle neutron scattering (SANS) and neutron imaging (NI) with the goal of studying *real* materials, in *real* time, under *real* conditions.

The long pulse at ESS is at first glance not ideal for building powder diffraction instruments as the requirements for this type of instrument are good peak resolution and large reciprocal space coverage, which is normally obtained by short pulses and short wavelength neutrons. However, a pulse shaping chopper can provide a short well defined pulse at the expense of flux and a long distance between moderator and sample can provide high resolution. The narrow wavelength band, can furthermore be chosen to co-inside with relative narrow high brilliance produced by the thermal moderator. The intrinsic problems of a narrow wavelength band can be minimized by designing the detector arrangement to collect data, in 2D both as function of angle and time.

HEIMDAL is designed to use a narrow wavelength band coinciding with the maximum brightness from the thermal moderator. The pulse shaping chopper controls the pulse length and facilitates both high resolution (short pulse, lower intensity) and fast data collection time (long pulse, lower resolution). Conventional facilities typically have to build both a high resolution *and* a high flux instrument. At ESS it is possible to have *both functionalities in a single instrument*. A significant benefit of the HEIMDAL design, with its relative simple chopper system and cylindrical detector geometry, is the simple peak profile functions that can be fitted by a small number of refinable parameters. The high angular coverage and



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

relatively short wavelength will allow collection of data usable for low resolution pair distribution function (PDF) analysis.

In addition to the optimized TNPD setup HEIMDAL is designed with the added capability of doing SANS and NI. Covering a large spatial span is crucial as the understanding of advanced functional materials in action often involves external stimuli such as gas flow, pressure, or temperature, which can be difficult to recreate exactly in subsequent experiments. A classical example is heterogeneous catalysts, which depend on the atomic structure of catalytic nanocrystallites sited in microporous matrix. All length scales are relevant for the efficiency of the catalytic process. Therefore in-depth insight into the structure and functionality requires multiple length scale coverage, with a sufficiently high time resolution. Commonly, information on different length scales on advanced functional materials is collected separately and quite often in the equilibrium state *i.e.* after external *stimuli* has taken place. The structural understanding of advanced functional materials is a prerequisite for designing new and improved materials.

Our ambition is to build a state-of-the-art instrument combining NPD, SANS and NI in a single uncompromised setup. NPD covers the atomic regime in the range from 0.01 to 5 nm, while SANS surveys the nanometer regime covering length scales from 2-100 nm and finally NI reveals the structural features in direct space from 50 μ m-50 mm. In other words; the instrument is capable of covering length scale over 9 orders of magnitude and follow processes *in-situ*.

The experimental techniques; NPD, SANS, and NI have highly different requirements for the incoming neutron beam. Therefore all existing instruments covering broad length scales are focused towards either SANS or NPD while sacrificing the other; e.g. NOVA, i-Materia, HI-SANS at J-Parc or NIMROD at ISIS. We propose an entirely novel concept, where two independent guides are viewing the cold and thermal part of a bispectral moderator. The beams are extracted from the same beamport, and allow individually optimization of the two beams; thus, without sacrificing the capability of either techniques. The name HEIMDAL is after the Norse god guarding the rainbow bridge between the world of men and gods and was said to have an extraordinarily good sense of seeing and hearing.

Initially HEIMDAL will be operated as thermal neutron powder diffractometer, for both the high resolution and *in situ* user community. However, fully upgraded HEIMDAL will be capable of combined experiments of TNPD/SANS/NI. These upgrade opportunities allow attracting a new user community that is currently growing in the X-ray world, where studying materials at multiple length scales is a rapidly growing field driven by development of dedicated SAXS/WAXS (small angle X-ray scattering/wide angle x-ray scattering) beam lines at new synchrotron sources. The proposed multi length scale instrument will be a game-changer in neutron scattering due to the ability of looking into multi dimensions and fast time scales. Topics of particular interest are materials containing light elements, related to energy, composites, matrix embedded systems, phase transition and nucleation and magnetic material, in other words: **The materials for the future.**



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENCLO	OSURES	2
Execu	itive Summary [1-2 Pages]	2
Table	of ContentS	4
1.	Instrument Proposal [app. 20 pages]	4
1.1	Scientific Case [5 pages]	4
1.2	Description of Instrument Concept and Performance [10 pages]	14
1.3	Costing [2 pages]	29
2.	List of Abbreviations	

1. INSTRUMENT PROPOSAL [APP. 20 PAGES]

1.1 Scientific Case [5 pages]

Functional materials have at all times driven our civilization in search for prosperity and superiority. This fact is reflected by names associated with different periods in history i.e. Stone Age, Bronze Age, Iron Age etc. Today, it could be said that we are approaching the end of the Silicon Age, as we reach the density limit for transistors on chips. Research efforts have continuously developed new functional materials thereby extending Moore's law beyond the practical limits of silicon.¹ The hot topic in science in 2025 and beyond is hard to predict, but functional materials are certain to play a key role in driving our society. Finding and charactering new materials are to a large extend in the hands of material scientists, solid state chemists and physicists. HEIMDAL is focused towards this user community, which have the common goal of relating structural understanding to physical properties. An ever increasing number of neutron diffraction studies are parametric studies, where investigations are carried out as function of e.g. temperature, pressure and magnetic field. Recent instruments also have sufficient flux to perform data collection as function of time. The long quide and full angular detector coverage of HEIMDAL will make the instrument ideal for carrying out time resolved studies with high q resolution for accurate structure determination. Studies of functional materials are generally shifting from data obtained in the equilibrium state, and rather focus experiments performed in situ and in operandi.

The chopper setup of HEIMDAL allows running the instrument in either a high flux mode for fast data acquisition or in a high resolution mode for very precise crystallographic studies of complicated structures. The broad q-range coverage 0.6-21 Å⁻¹ allow determination of atomic displacement parameters and may even be used for low resolution pair distribution function analysis.

HEIMDAL is designed with a relative open sample geometry allowing bulky cryostats, magnets and pressure cells without shadowing any part of the detectors. The detectors are placed cylindrical around the sample and cover $\pm 18^{\circ}$ of the horizontal plane. The setup allow for effective use of radial collimator to reduce background from sample environment. The



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

open geometry and effective background reduction will allow users to bring their own experimental setups to the instrument. Space will be allocated near the instrument to allow setup of sample environment, while experiments are still running to ease the change over between different sample environments. This idea also allows long term experiments were sample are held under certain conditions to be measured periodically.

In other words HEIMDAL is appealing to a vast user community ranging from analysis of pair distribution function data to classical high resolution crystallographic problems of interest for crystallographers, chemists and physicists. However, HEIMDAL has the largest potential for time resolved investigations, where the instrument length allows using a large fraction of the long pulse provided by ESS. Currently, there is a growing community of material scientists having interest in following various processes as function of time. We believe that in years to come the demand for performing in situ studies will also included a request for covering multiple length scales. If we wish to understand and improve functional materials it is paramount to understand the structure at all length scales and with sufficient time resolution to follow physical and chemical processes. Until now, different length scale information is collected separately and quite often *post mortem i.e.* after the process has taken place. Such experiments allow scientists to make educated guesses. HEIMDAL is designed to include an option extending the length scale coverage using small angle neutron scattering (SANS) and neutron imaging (NI). Upgraded with SANS and NI capabilities allows quasi simultaneous coverage of length scales from 0.01 nm to 50 mm i.e. spanning more than 9 orders of magnitude. The novel concept uses a single beamport for extracting a cold and a thermal beam. Combining thermal neutron powder diffraction (TNPD), SANS and NI in a single instrument allows studying multiple length scales simultaneously and obtaining information on the atomic-, nano-, meso-, and microstructure scale.

HEIMDAL will serve the conventional powder diffraction community both with regards to wishes for resolution, but certainly also with regards to fast data collection. While serving the powder diffraction community, it is expected that a new user community doing multiple length scale studies will flourish thanks to the new capabilities of HEIMDAL.

The scientific focus areas of the instrument are related to the strengths of neutron scattering in comparison with X-ray. Contrast between similar Z elements, magnetic materials, use of bulky sample environment and measurements of samples with sizes comparable to real applications. The research areas, where HEIMDAL will excel, can be divided into four different groups all to a large extend involving *in situ* investigations:

- Light elements and energy related materials
- Composites, scaffolds or matrix embedded systems
- Phase transition and nucleation
- Materials with magnetic properties

These topics maps very well onto the science case written in the ESS Technical Design Report (TDR). A wider perspective on *in situ* investigations and science cases can be found in appendix A. The designed instrument allows large q-coverage with a time resolution sufficient to follow chemical and physical processes in real time. We have coined this as **real materials**, in **real time**, and under **real conditions**.



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

1.1.1 Light elements and energy related materials:

Neutrons can provide unique information about energy storage materials, which are becoming increasingly important as renewable energy takes over from conventional energy sources. Developing new materials may be the only solution to overcome the green house effect/ CO_2 problem.² Two elements are of particular interest in energy storage namely lithium and hydrogen, both of which are difficult to observe using X-ray scattering, due to their low number of electrons. Lithium is the key component in Li-ion batteries, whereas hydrogen is the active element in hydrogen storage materials. For neutron scattering these elements hold special potential as they have good contrast with respect to other elements. Following charge and discharge of batteries and hydrogen storage materials are first step on the route to understanding and improving their properties.

Another area, where energy research can benefit from neutron investigations is oxygen containing compounds. Examples of applications of oxide materials are membranes for solid oxygen fuel cells (SOFC),³ catalyst support materials, superconductors, ceramic filters, thermoelectric materials etc. Information about oxygen is difficult to extract from X-ray diffraction data, when heavy elements are present. However in the case of neutrons, oxygen has a scattering length comparable to heavy elements.⁴ To improve the properties of e.g. catalysts a good understanding of the systems under working conditions is essential, thus time resolved data collection on catalytic materials is highly important.

1.1.2 Composite, scaffold or matrix embedded systems

Hydrogen storage and Li-ion batteries can be interpreted as matrix embedded systems, where the Li or H is placed in a host matrix from which it can be inserted or extracted. Other important compounds can be represented as matrix embedded systems including molecules in porous matrices, drug transport, magnetic materials, etc. Hybrid materials where inorganic and organic building blocks distributed on the nanoscale are also included in this group of materials.

Heterogeneous catalysts are likewise an example of hybrid materials, were nanocrystalline catalyst particles are embedded in a porous support matrix. An understanding of these complex systems require both knowledge about: i) the different length and time scales for an understanding the support matrix and the embedded catalytic particles the atomic structure of catalysts, pore volume, and size of the matrix determines the catalytic properties⁵⁻⁷. ii) *in operandi* data for catalytic systems to allow mapping of the catalytic performance against external *stimuli* such as temperature, gas flow, fouling atoms concentration, etc.

Photoswitchable molecules are another guest suitable for composite materials where molecules are embedded in a porous matrix.⁸ They have potential applications as optical data storage materials or even in cancer therapy by delivering radicals. Combining powder diffraction, small angle scattering and imaging is crucial for extracting full information about pore size and embedded molecules. The photoswitchable molecules are unstable and change over time, therefore it is essential to collect data at variant length scales during the same experiment at the same time.



MXType.Localized Document Number MXNa Project Name HEIM Date 07/03 Revision MXRe Versic State MXCu

MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

Biological inspired systems are also interesting from an *in situ* perspective many environmental and biomineralization processes occurs on a timescale accessible by HEIMDAL.^{9, 10}

1.1.3 Phase transitions and nucleation

The capabilities of HEIMDAL for doing in situ studies would allow following chemical synthesis, i.e. follow nucleation and investigate metastable phases. Phase transitions occurring during variation of thermodynamic conditions such as temperature, pressure, volume, or composition. Metastable transitions are highly sensitive to the history of the external *stimuli*, which can be difficult to control. *In situ* information is therefore crucial for determining critical parameters for optimal synthesis conditions.

HEIMDAL will be of general interest when studying *in situ* chemistry, e.g. solid-state, liquidto-solid-state, gas-to-solid-state, solvothermal and supercritical reactions¹¹⁻¹⁴. Common for these synthesis are a precrystalline states, where agglomerates form before they crystallize¹⁴. Low resolution total scattering can give information about the pre-crystalline state, while diffraction provides structural information as it crystallizes and starts to grow. Chemical synthesis has a broad fundamental science appeal; however there are also examples with great technological importance such as curing of cement¹⁵⁻²⁰ and nucleation of clathrate hydrates in oil pipes²¹⁻²⁷. These systems have already been extensively studied using neutron techniques, however, so fare the studies have been limited to either small angle scattering, powder diffraction or imaging. Combining all techniques to look at the same sample will provide much more profound information about the processes happening as function of time.

In general HEIMDAL is well suited for studies of nucleation, growth and aging processes of multicomponent materials, including crystal growth and phase transitions cause by external stimuli like; temperature, pressure or magnetic field.

1.1.4 Materials with magnetic properties

Multiferroics have in recent years attracted increasing attention. These modern magnetic systems couple magnetism with either electrical, mechanical, thermal, or optical properties²⁸. Multiferroics are of great technologic interest as they raise the possibility of controlling the magnetic properties^{29, 30} with an electrical field, pressure or vice-versa³¹. Multiferroics includes spintronics^{32, 33} where the aim is manipulation of spin domain in half metal ferromagnetic systems. These materials are either realised in single chemical phase compounds or composite materials. Topologically ferroic systems are physical systems, which are governed by its domain structure^{34, 35}. The domains structure and the topology of the domain wall are susceptible to chemical effects³⁶, size effects and magnetic interactions.

Examples of multi-length scale materials are the colossal magneto-resistance perovskites structures³⁷. The perovskites possesses a metal-insulator transition and phase separation promoted by electron/hole doping via chemical substitution^{38, 39}. Fundamental⁴⁰ and technological applications⁴¹ rely on understanding the coupling of these different length scales and the degrees of freedom. Aging, phase transitions, percolation phenomena, defects mobility, phase homogeneity, domains wall pinning, atomic and magnetic structure, are key parameters in the improvement of magneto-memory shape alloys⁴², spintronics, magnetocaloric and magnetocapacitance materials⁴³. Multidimensional length scales collected



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

simultaneously as offered by HEIMDAL would greatly aid the understanding of this interesting class of materials. HEIMDAL will be able to tackle scientific challenges in this frontier area of science and identify magnetic properties more accurately especially *in operandi* for magnetic phase separation, quantum criticality in magnetic and superconductor materials, ferroic/multiferroic systems⁴³, and high performance magnetic coupled materials⁴².

1.1.5 User community: size and impact for existing and potential user

The long pulse at ESS essentially allows designing an instrument, which is capable of doing high resolution and high flux just by tuning the pulse shaping choppers of the instrument. Figure 1 illustrates how different pulse length allows for different kind of experiments at the same instrument. Current facilities typically have instruments designed for either high resolution or high flux. Examples for high flux instruments are GEM/POLARIS@ISIS, iMateria@J-Parc, D20@ILL, WOMBAT@ANSTO, while the same facilities also have high resolution instruments e.g. HRPD@ISIS, S-HRPD@J-Parc, D1A/D2B@ILL and ECHIDNA@ANSTO. The fact that a single instrument at ESS can cover a broader science case does not mean that fewer instruments are need for the user community, only that the versatility of the different instrument will be higher. The demand for powder diffraction beamtime is generally high and oversubscription rates are typically around 2. The annual turn out of published papers from powder diffraction instruments is on average about 550 and the major topics are chemistry including crystallography, physics and materials science. The use by the different disciplines can divided into a subset of instrumental requirements.



Figure 1: Comparison of pulse brightness at different facilities (left), and the pulse used for obtaining ultra high resolution, high resolution, high speed and very high speed with HEIMDAL@ESS.

High resolution powder diffraction:

The high resolution powder diffraction is related to accurate structure determination including lattice parameters, atomic coordinates, atomic displacement parameters and peak shape effects like strain and size. These high resolution structural determinations are classical crystallographic disciplines linking to chemistry, physics and material science. The long source to sample distance allows HEIMDAL a high resolution, when reducing the pulse width using the counter rotating double choppers. HEIMDAL is capable of catering for a user



MXType.Localized Document Number MXName Project Name HEIMDAL Date 07/03/2014 Revision MXPrinted Version State MXCurrent

community seeking high resolution. High resolution comes at the cost of a reduced pulse width, therefore in high resolution mode HEIMDAL will not take full advantage of the long pulse at ESS, see Figure 1.

In situ and high speed powder diffraction:

The interest in performing parametric and *in situ* studies are increasing, this is reflected by the new powder diffraction instruments coming online at facilities like SNS, J-Parc, FRM-II and instrument upgrades at e.g. Kjeller and PSI. The wishes for parametric studies and *in situ* studies are including communities from physics, chemistry, crystallography and materials science. The speed by which HEIMDAL will be capable of collecting data will open up completely new opportunities for *in situ* and *in operandi* studies. The long distance between source and sample allows extracting a good resolution for a longer neutron pulse at ESS. This allows HEIMDAL to take maximum advantage of the long pulse at ESS. The open detector geometry of the HEIMDAL allows flexible experimental conditions, which is a great advantage when performing *in situ* and high speed diffraction is foreseen to grow as completely new studies can be undertaken, studies hitherto unimaginable at weaker sources.

Total scattering and pair distribution function capabilities:

The detector coverage from 10 to 170° ensures data collection in a q-range from 0.6-21 Å⁻¹. A dedicated neutron instrument for total scattering goes to significantly higher q, however this q-range is perfect for the study of nanomaterials and disordered materials. In combination with the possibility of having a relaxed resolution for total scattering the experiments may take full advantage of the long pulse at ESS allows time resolved total scattering. Interest in pair distribution function (PDF) analysis is rapidly growing in the X-ray community partly due the availability of synchrotron light, and recent development user friendly software. Both Bruker and Panalytical offers today laboratory equipment capable of measuring data for pair distribution function analysis using either Mo or Ag radiation. The wider use of total scattering through laboratory setups will potentially bring in a new large user community.

Combined powder diffraction and small angle scattering:

A unique feature of HEIMDAL is the foreseen combination of SANS and TNPD. The novel two guide setup with cold and thermal neutrons allows collection of uncompromised powder diffraction data together with narrow band small angle scattering data. The instrument bridges the world of small angle scattering and wide angle scattering for the study of complex materials. While the user community for such an instrument may initially be limited, HEIMDAL could, on a long-term, attract a completely new user group interested in functional and composite materials, as the combination of small angle scattering and diffraction allows for completely new science projects to be undertaken. At synchrotron combined SAXS/WAXS studies are ramping up with more than 20 beamlines worldwide offering simultaneous SAXS/WAXS studies. The newer beamlines are dedicated to SAXS/WAXS. It is expected that researchers in this user community will have scientific questions solvable only through the use of neutron scattering. The potential is also reflected by PANalytical offering a laboratory SAXS/WAXS setup.



MXType.Localized Document Number MXN Project Name HEII Date 07/C Revision MXR Vers State MXC

MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

Industrial users:

HEIMDAL will be of interest for industry for looking at different length scales of commercial samples. HEIMDAL offers industry oriented groups a single point of entry for performing a broad range of experiments. Special care will be taken to allow an easy and transparent operation and data visualization for these non-expert users.

An X-ray powder diffraction and imaging beamline has been proposed for MAX-IV. This X-ray setup is highly complementary to the capabilities of HEIMDAL and great synergies between X-ray and neutron studies are expected, due to the vicinity of the two instruments at ESS and MAX-IV - within walking distance.

In summary: HEIMDAL covers a science case from the distinct fields of crystallography, chemistry, physics and materials science for universities and industry. The focus will be on *in situ* and *in operandi* studies, where the length of HEIMDAL will allow taking advantage of the long pulse at ESS. The high q-range coverage will allow low resolution PDF experiments to be carried out. With the SANS addition HEIMDAL will be able to cover a broad length scale in a single unique instrumental setup.

1.1.6 Comparison with similar existing instruments:

The comparison is mainly focused at existing powder diffraction instruments. At the end of the section the SANS part will be briefly addressed.

Comparison of powder diffraction capabilities:

The design of HEIMDAL is aimed at best utilizing the long pulse at ESS, and the comparison will mainly focus at instruments for fast *in situ* measurements. Even so HEIMDAL has capabilities as a high resolution powder diffractometer as the resolution is adjustable. Comparison between different instruments is difficult, because many factors need to be taken into account when considering speed of collecting data such as:

Time averaged flux at sample position (Φ) Resolution ($\Delta d/d$) Detector coverage (D_{area}) Detector efficiency (D_{eff}) q-range Sample volume Background

As the long pulse at ESS allows changing flux and resolution ($\Delta d/d$), a comparison becomes even less meaningful. Table I gives a crude criterion using a gain factor G_{eff} for the comparison of existing instruments. The gain factor is defined as the product of **flux**, detector **coverage** and **efficiency** divided by the **resolution** $\Delta d/d$ @90. The gain factor G_{eff} has been normalized to the performance of GEM@ISIS. A variation in the possible sample volume naturally scales directly with gain factor and is not taking into account.

The inherent property of a short pulse sources and instrument lengths determines flux and resolution. At ESS the pulse length can be chosen to match the speed of the experiment. HEIMDAL is designed to take advantage of the long pulse and the resolution $\Delta d/d@90^\circ$ can



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

be varied between 0.1% to 2% or $\Delta d/d$ @170° between 0.015% to 1.5% for $\lambda_{mean} = 1.5$ Å. IN the optimized divergence and flux range from 121-758 µs the time averaged flux is ~1.1·10⁷-1.3·10⁹ n/s/cm². The calculations are described in larger detail in section 1.2 (Description of the instrument).

Instrument	Туре	Δd/d@90 ⁰	Δλ (Å)	λ _{mean} (Å)	Q _{range} (Å ⁻¹)	Detector Type	D _{area} (sr)	flux (n/s/cm ²)	G _{eff}
GEM @ ISIS	TOF	0.50%	3.5	1.8	0.04-100	Sc	3.9	2e6	1
New Polaris @ ISIS	TOF	0.51%	5.5	2.9	0.7-125	Sc	5.67	~1e7	~7
Nomad @ SNS	TOF	0.60%	3.0	1.6	0.5-125	³ He	4+4.2	~1e8	~71(150)
PowGen @ SNS	TOF	0.50%	2	1.1	3-120	Sc	4.4	~2.5e7	~14
I-materia@JPARC	TOF	0.50%	6	3.3	0.007-70	³ He	4	~1e8	~86
Nova@JPARC	TOF	0.50%	7	3.6	0.4-100	³ He	5	~4e8	~385
D20@ILL	CW	1.6%	-	1.3	0.2-8	³ He	0.27	~1e8	~2
Powtex@FRM2	TOF	0.60%	1.4	1.6	0.4-13	¹⁰ B	6.2	~1e7	~7
Wombat@OPAL	CW	1.0%	-	2.4	0.4-4	³ He	0.59	~1.3e8	~8

Table I Instrument comparison, the different values for flux etc. are found at web pages and papers are more through description of the individual instruments can be found in appendix B. The $G_{eff} = \Phi D_{area} D_{eff} (\Delta d/d@90^\circ)$, the D_{eff} is set to 100% for ³He and 60% for scintillation counters and ¹⁰B.

The flux on sample position can be varied by changing the speed of the pulse shaping chopper. By matching the beam divergence horizontally and vertically to the pulse length an increased flux can be obtained for longer pulse length. Figure 2 illustrates the tradeoff between resolution and flux.



Figure 2 (left) flux on sample $(n/s/cm^2)$ with constant size of 5x15 mm, the beam divergence and the pulse length can be match to change the flux by 2 orders of magnitude. (right) flux on sample (n/s) the black line is constant size as shown in the left figure, while the red line is representing sample size matching, where the sample is increased in size to match the resolution

Instrument comparison:

Below is comparison between HEIMDAL@ESS, D20@ILL and POWGEN@SNS. The simulations have kindly been provided by Werner Schweika.



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

HEIMDAL – ESS McStats simulations, Mads Bertelsen and Sonja Holm

Sample: 0.3 cm³, detector efficiency (assumed 100% no wavelength dependence), solid angle 1.8 sr. High speed – flux: 1.3x10⁹ n/s/cm²

Integrated counts 3000 total 110x10³ n/s detector counts (n/s/channel) 2500 =0.002 Å 2000 1500 1000 500 0 d / Å⁻¹

High resolution - flux 1.1×10^7 n/s/cm²



D20 – ILL VITESS simulations, Daniil Nekrassov Sample 0.8 cm³, efficiency 0.64 (1Å), solid angle 0.28 sr flux at sample 1.0*10⁷ n/s/cm² flux at sample 4.5*10⁷n/s/cm







Figure 3: Comparison of different instrument for collecting powder diffraction data.



State

MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

Comparison and complementarities to other ESS instruments:

Currently three other instruments are proposed with significant powder diffraction capabilities: POWHOW, MODI and BEER. POWHOW is a bispectral powder diffractometer using frame multiplication to include both thermal and cold neutrons for the data collection; it is designed to addressing large unit cells and magnetic materials. POWHOW is about half the length compared to HEIMDAL, allowing a broader wavelength band at the expense of a shorter pulse width for the same resolution. Therefore HEIMDAL can be tuned to have a higher flux and a better resolution for short wavelength neutrons, while POWHOW performs better for long wavelength. HEIMDAL and POWHOW have a significant overlap in science case, as both can do high resolution and perform fast data collection. However, there are also clear complementarities HEIMDAL can perform low resolution total scattering experiments while POWHOW can address complicated magnetic structures. Additionally HEIMDAL has the option for adding SANS to investigate samples over a wide size range. Finally HEIMDAL is designed with more flexible sample environment geometry permitting more complex sample environments to be installed.

The main driver for MODI is the possibility for discriminating incoherent background to allow for measurements of hydrogenous samples, therefore there is no significant overlap between MODI and HIEMDAL. With regard to BEER at an initial glance the instrument may look alike, same length, both aspire to do in situ studies, and both suggest options for small angle scattering. But that is where the similarity ends: BEER has a completely different user community, namely materials engineering, there is almost no overlap between the crystallographic oriented user community and the engineering user community. The difference is reflected in the chopper and detector setup. BEER has a complex chopper setup to allow for multiplexing, making multiple short pulses for following single diffraction peaks. Likewise the detector setup designed for following only few reflections. The sample space of BEER allows complicated and very bulky sample environments.

Small angle scattering and multiple length scale instruments:

In general SANS instruments at long pulsed sources will hugely benefit as some experiments can cope with a low resolution of $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = 10\%$, thereby giving access to a broad wavelength band for a short instrument. HEIMDAL will have a resolution of $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = 1.5\%$ at 4.5Å, therefore resolution wise SANS performance of HEIMDAL will be comparable to the best SANS instruments at sources such as ILL. With regards to the SANS instruments at ESS the length of HEIMDAL does reduce the usable bandwidth. However, the diffraction detectors increase the q-coverage thus the combined detectors cover a very broad q-range with a single pulse. The short band width has the virtue of making the data corrections for absorption and gravity easier as the data is essentially monochromatic. The mentioned benefit comes at the price of reduced flux compared to instruments like ODIN.

Only a few instruments have the capability to cover multiple length scales. However all existing instruments are focused primarily towards one technique with some capability of measuring non-ideal data for the other technique. The instruments in questions for SANS/NPD are D16@ILL, NIMROD@ISIS and three instruments at J-Parc, HI-SANS, Nova and i-Materia. HEIMDAL will outperform the combined instruments at the other facilities, as HEIMDAL is an uncompromised thermal powder diffractometer *and* a high resolution SANS



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

instrument with performance similar to the best SANS instrument at conventional facilities. At present due to lacking data, it has not been possible to benchmark the instrument against instruments such as NIMROD, SANS2d or IMAT at ISIS, or D33 at ILL, nor the ESS instruments LOKE or ODIN. However, an overview of *in situ* powder diffraction instruments and broad length scale instrument is provided in appendix B.

1.1.7 Infrastructure and supporting facilities:

HEIMDAL will need a broad sample environment, as the experiments are considered to be *in situ* or *in operand.* Therefore a large variation in samples shape and sample environment is expected for the instrument. It is foreseen that the instrument should have a sample environment built-up station, comparable to the real instrument, so that users can setup and test their sample environment off-line before the experiment runs. This testing station must include all electrical, gas, and water cooling connections. This will ensure that a minimum of neutrons are lost due to changing experimental conditions. This setup would also allow for extended time experiments were a sample can be kept at specific conditions for long time periods, but only need neutron investigate for short times periodically. Chemical preparation laboratories should also be present to prepare samples for experiments on-site. The foreseen detector gap at low angles on one side of the instrument will allow easy-access to the sample space and allow for example investigations using pump/probes techniques such as, IR, Raman, UV-VIS. In addition a gas mixing system combined with mass spectrometry and gas chromatography should be available (see appendix C for more information about combined techniques and sample environment).

1.1.8 Software:

The diffraction data collected by HEIMDAL is a map of momentum transfer q and time. Data will be collected in event mode, so the arrival time and q of each neutron will be recorded. Time-of-flight diffraction data is conventionally summed to remove the angular dependency. However, for HEIMDAL and other time-of-flight diffractometers with high angular coverage it will be advantages to analyse the data in two dimensions to benefit from higher resolution at higher angles and longer wavelengths. For this purpose new software has to be developed, which can handle two dimensional data. It may still be necessary to do some time and q-space binning to have sufficient count rates for Poisson statistics. This software would be beneficial for all powder instruments capable of collecting 2D data. I would naturally also be possible to sum the data and produce conventional 1D patterns as is conventional at spallation sources today. In addition new software must be developed for handling refinements of multiple length scale data. To develop this software we anticipate working in **close collaboration with the Data Management and Software Center in Copenhagen (DMSC)**.

1.2 Description of Instrument Concept and Performance [10 pages]

The instrument description is divided into two parts: The first part gives an overall introduction to instrument followed by a detailed description of the necessary components.

Introduction: HEIMDAL is designed as a narrow band thermal neutron powder diffractometer. The narrow band utilizes the brightness of the thermal moderator, see Figure 4. The wavelength resolution is given by $\Delta\lambda/\lambda = \tau/(aL\lambda)$ in other words, proportional to the



MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	HEIMDAL
Date	07/03/2014
Revision	MXRevision MXPrinted Version
State	MXCurrent
ont longth (1)	and wayolongth

pulse duration (τ) and inverse proportional to the instrument length (L) and wavelength (λ), while $a=252.8 \ \mu s/\text{Åm}$ is a constant. The ESS pulse duration of $\tau=2.86$ is too long for producing high resolution for short wavelength neutrons ($\lambda\sim1.5$ Å). Figure 5 shows the resolution $\Delta\lambda/\lambda$ as function of wavelength for different instrument length for backscattering detectors. An instrument designed for crystallographic structural studies needs relatively short neutrons < 4Å. Consider the standard of Na₂Ca₃Al₂F₁₄ (I2₁3, a = 10.257 Å), with detector coverage 10-160° the number of collectable structure factors dependent on wavelength #F_{*hkl*}@ λ , giving: 3560@0.6Å, 250@1.5Å, 77@2.3Å and 16@4.0Å. Even though the 4.0Å neutron will inherently have a good resolution the amount of crystallographic information obtainable is limited.



Figure 4 The brightness of the thermal moderator source [P.Willendrup 2014], including the slowed down neutron, spectrum. The green area is reflecting the wavelength range used for the optimization.



Figure 5 Wavelength range and resolution, green area is for HEIMDAL, while the blue area is equivalent of a 75 m instrument at ESS.

The natural instrument length is L=169 m given by the ratio between the source repetition rate (T=71.4 ms) and the pulse duration ($\tau=2.86$ ms), and position of the pulse shaping chopper ($L_{ps} = 6.5$ m): $T/\tau=(L-L_{ps})/L_{ps}$. Given this length the wavelength band equals $\Delta \lambda = a(L-L_{ps})/T = 1.7$ Å. We decide to focus on a optimizing guide performance for neutrons from 0.6-3 Å, with a typical wavelength band of 0.6-2.3 Å.

Even at this length the instrument will not provide sufficient resolution for utilizing the full pulse for powder diffraction. To obtain a better resolution a pulse shaping chopper placed close to the monolith gives control of the pulse width. The pulse chopper can select down to 26 µs of the full pulse, thus utilizing <1% of the ESS pulse of 2.86 ms. Extracting 758 µs gives 25% of the full pulse, see Figure 1 and still a reasonable resolution see Figure 5. More detailed consideration regarding the thermal powder diffractometer is found in appendix D. The instrument represents an optimal designed for moderately large structures with unit cells < 25 Å, i.e. volumes < 15′000 Å³. The instrument is designed to cover a large q-range from 0.6-21 Å⁻¹ using a cylindrical detector arrangement from 10-170°. The detector arrangement is illustrated in Figure 6 and schematically in Figure 15. Wavelength shorter than λ_{min} =0.6 Å are not considered, due to guide transport, chopper and detector efficiency at wavelength below 0.6 Å.



Figure 6 Instrument overview. Left: Instrument hutch and laboratory area, centre: sample area with access platform for top-loading and off-line testing, right: Wall of ESS hall. The SANS tank outside the ESS needs an air-conditioned protection hutch.

In addition to the optimized thermal neutron powder diffractometer (TNPD), we have an option to add Small Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS) and Neutron Imaging (NI) option. The technical challenge is highly different for the different techniques in respect to resolution and optics: For TNDP, it is favorable to have a wavelength resolution ($\Delta \lambda / \lambda$) < 0.5%, to produces sharp powder diffraction peaks. SANS on the other hand can accept $\Delta \lambda / \lambda \sim 10\%$, while a highly collimated beam is required. TNPD can increase the flux by focusing the beam through increased beam divergence at the sample position. Finally, a short wavelength is advantageous for TNPD as this allows large coverage of reciprocal space as necessary for pair distribution function analysis (PDF). In contrast small angle scattering uses long neutron wavelengths to measure scattering at small q. Therefore **we suggest a novel concept**, where two guides - a thermal and a cold guide – is extracted from the same beamport and transported to the sample position. The thermal guide is optimized for TNPD, while the cold guide is optimized for SANS and partially for NI. The two guides are separated by an angle of 3.5° at the sample position leaving sufficient space for optical components and avoids the thermal beam hitting the SANS detector.

Instrument simulations show the feasibility of extracting two beams from the same beamport and having the beams converging at the samples position at an angle of 3.5°. When operated in hybrid mode, the instrument would allow either a thermal or cold pulses to reach sample. The TNPD and SANS would not operated simultaneous, however the pulse train can be adjusted according to the requirements of the experiment. In other words it is possible to do two TNPD pulses and for every SANS pulse. The SANS will suffer from the long instrument length as the useable bandwidth is reduced. However usage of the diffraction detectors to collect the SANS signal will allow collection of a broad g-range from 0.001-1.3 $Å^{-1}$ using the narrow wavelength band from 9.1-10.8 Å. The flux reduction scales with the instrument length, however in contrast to TNPD, SANS can utilize the full pulse and the narrow pulse eases the data analysis with respect to absorption correction, gravity effects and multiple scattering. Our two-guide setup allows leaving the cold beam unperturbed, while chopping the thermal beam for powder diffraction. This would not be possible by using bispectral extraction, through one guide. Furthermore, having two guides allows for a focused beam for NPD, and collimated beam for SANS. The two guide setup allows the SANS to be considered an add-on to an optimized thermal powder diffractometer.



MXType.LocalizedDocument NumberMXNameProject NameHEIMDALDate07/03/2014RevisionMXRevision MXPrinted
VersionStateMXCurrent

The imaging detector is placed as close to the sample as possible and allows real space images of the sample with a resolution down to ~50 µm for samples of <50x50 mm². The intention is to use a time resolved imaging detector, which allows Bragg-edge imaging. The Bragg-edge imaging will inherently have sufficient resolution $\Delta \lambda / \lambda = 1.5\%$ @4.5 Å to do phase determination based on the Bragg attenuation of the transmitted beam. However, it cannot be used simultaneously with the SANS detector.

Detailed description of the different components:

The detailed description is divided into the thermal guide and the cold guide, while the beam extracting covers both, the thermal and the cold beam.

1.2.1 Beam extraction

The exact positions, shape, and size of the moderators are still under discussion. Consequently, the following description is based on the TDR and discussion with Phil Bentley. At ESS, the center of the cold and thermal moderators will be separated horizontally by a distance of 16 cm. The two beams must go through different openings as only the thermal beam for the TNPD should see the pulse shaping chopper, placed after the biological shielding. The plug dimensions 2 m from the moderator are restricted to 90×200 mm2 and allow the two beams to be displaced vertically, toward the end of the biological shielding.

Figure 7 illustrates the geometry and proves the absence of guide collisions. Assuming conservatively that the outer guide dimensions in each direction are 10 mm larger than the inner dimensions due to substrate etc. In total, this leaves up to 20 mm margin between the two guides at the chopper position.

The thermal guide is passed through the plug by a feeder starting at dimensions of 30x60 mm2 at a distance 2 m from the moderator surface and shrinking to 30×40 mm2 at the end of the monolith 6 m from the moderator, here the pulse shaping chopper is placed.

The cold guide is extracted through a 60 x 60 mm2 guide below the thermal guide, see Figure 7. The beam extraction solution introduces an initial angular deviation between the two guides of 1.8° , the guides must be brought together at the sample position with a separation of about 3.5° .



Figure 7: The beam extraction from the cold (blue) and thermal (red) moderator. The figures are different distances to the moderator, (z = 0, 2, 4 and 6 m, from left to right). The figure illustrates the feasibility of extracting a cold and a thermal beam from the moderator using a single beam port without collision.

The spacing of the cold and thermal guide is illustrated in Figure 8 At the monolith surface the cold guide passes below the thermal chopper system before meeting the first cold chopper CC1 at 14 m from the monolith surface.



Figure 8: Beam extraction from the monolith, top and side view including chopper arrangement. The thermal beam is controlled through the first choppers, TC1 counter rotating double chopper, TC2 pulse selection chopper. The first cold chopper at 14 m is also shown CC1.

Design concept: Thermal neutron powder diffraction: Feeder size: 2m from modeartor: H x V 30x60 mm², 6.0 m at monolith surface $15 \times 40 \text{ mm}^2$ **Moderator to sample distance:** 167 m, wavelength band $\Delta\lambda$ =1.7 Å, λ_{min} = 0.6 Å **Chopper system:** Pulse shaping: 6.5 m, pulse selection: 7m, Frame overlap: 79 m **Beam divergence:** Horizontal x vertical: (H_{FWHM} x V_{FWHM}) = (0.5°, 2.6°) **Sample size:** Horizontal x vertical 5 x 15 mm², **Guide coating:** m = 5 (this can be reduced at the straight parts) **Slits:** Jaw slits, 4 slits placed in the last part of the guide to control divergence **Sample area:** 1 m diameter, top access, plus optical side access. **Radial collimation:** 1 m from the sample, exchangeable for different angular openings. **Detectors:** Sample distance 1.5 m, cylindrical coverage from 10-170°, pixel size 3 x 10 mm²

1.2.2 Thermal chopper system:

The thermal guide will have three thermal choppers (TC). TC1 pulse shaping chopper, TC2 pulse selection chopper, and TC3 frame overlap chopper. The pulse shaping chopper and pulse selection chopper are shown in Figure 8.

TC1 - Pulse shaping chopper: The pulse shaping chopper is a fast counter rotating double chopper, first disc is placed 6.5 m from the moderator, while the second disc is at 6.6 m. The pulse shaping chopper runs at 280 Hz, which is below the limit of today's choppers of f_{max} = 350 Hz. However, Iain Sutton pointed out that choppers placed 6.5 m needs to be highly reliable due to close proximity to the source. Therefore it may be necessary to reduce speed to 210 Hz or even 140 Hz. The consequence of reducing the frequency is a flux reduction through triangular shaped pulses and an increase in the minimum pulse length. Three different openings in the pulse shaping chopper are foreseen to allow opening times (*dt*) to be varied between 26-1200 µs. Optimal matching of time and divergence resolution is possible between 121 to 758 µs, resulting in an instrumental resolution of (FWHM) 0.17-1%



MXName HEIMDAL 07/03/2014 MXRevision MXPrinted Version MXCurrent

for $1.5\text{Å}@90^\circ$. Best resolution is obtained with 52 µs and 3 Å given a resolution in backscattering of 0.03% for $3\text{Å}@170^\circ$. Figure 5 shows the resolution as function of wavelength. The disc diameter is 700 mm with the beam position 320 mm from the center of the disc. The pulse length can be increased by spinning the pulse shaping chopper at lower speed. Unwanted neutron passing the pulse shaping chopper are suppressed by placing a slow rotating pulse selection chopper at 7 m (TC2), see Figure 7 for illustration of chopper setup.

TC2 - Pulse selection chopper: Positioned 7 m from the moderator, the pulse selection chopper has two functions: 1) suppressing the long wavelength neutrons allowed by the pulse shaping chopper TC1 and 2) pulse suppression of the entire pulse for allowing the cold neutron pulse from the cold guide to reach the sample. The pulse selection chopper will be rotating at low speed, at most identical with the source frequency of 14 Hz. A disc with a similar diameter to TC1 will be used to allow the entire chopper arrangement to be lifted in an out of the protected area enabling faster service in case of hardware failure. Using a chopper window of \sim 40 mm allows a fully open time of 1.3 ms and a partial opening time of 2 ms, sufficient to allow a single thermal pulse and suppress the longer neutron wavelengths.

Having the first two choppers rather close together and also close to the moderator will produce "blurry" edges in the wavelength distribution, e.g. from the neutrons emitted in the time-tail of the moderator. We remove these neutrons by a wavelength definition chopper located half way down the instrument TC3. The suppression of long wavelength neutrons are shown in the time distance diagram, see Figure 9.

TC3 - Frame overlap chopper: The frame overlap chopper is placed at the narrow part of the guide system, approximately half way to the sample, around 79 m from the moderator. The frame overlap chopper TC3 will have an opening angle of 175° and be spinning at the source frequency of 14 Hz, this disc can in principle be allowed to have a larger diameter than TC1 and TC2. This chopper system, allows frame overlap when the neutrons from one source pulse reach the pulse-shaping burst from the next pulse, which occurs for neutrons with wavelengths around 45 Å. However, the flux from the thermal source at this wavelength will be low and the d-spacing has to be >65 Å to fulfil Bragg conditions. A summary of the chopper system can be found in Table II.

	Purpose	Position [m]	v [Hz]	Diameter [mm]	Opening time [µs]	Opening Length L _{max} [mm or ^o]	Pulse length [ms]
TC1	Pulse shaping	6.5	280	700	26-1200	15, 35 and 70 mm	3.57
TC2	Pulse selection	7.0	14	700	-	20°	2.0
TC3	Frame overlap	78.6	14	700	-	175°	-

Table II: Summary of the chopper system need for the thermal and cold guide. The pulse shaping chopper TC1 is most demanding.



Figure 9 Time-of-flight diagram of a 167 m long diffraction instrument with a pulse shaping chopper at 6.5 m. The neutron position (z) is plotted as a function of flight time (t). Neutrons from two ESS pulses are shown; straight blue lines representing $\lambda = 0.5$ Å, dashed red lines representing $\lambda = 2.2$ Å., While the green dashed line corresponds to 45Å neutron escaping through the chopper system.



Figure 10 Illustration of spacing of the cold (blue) and thermal (red) guide system when taken from a single beam port. The figure shows enlarged y-axis for clarity. Here, X is the distance from the source. The black dashed line represents the 5° guide segment. The figure is not exactly to scale.

1.2.3 The thermal guide

The thermal guide is designed as a double ellipse with approximate sections of ~80m+80m with a small kink of 0.2° between the ellipses, to avoid line-of-sight between moderator and sample, see Figure 11. In the simulations m = 5 coatings have been used for all guide sections, however lower m coating values can be used in most of the guide and this has also been considered when costing the guide. We have tested other guide designs including a straight guide with a T₀-chopper. The recent development in pancake moderators have also been considered, here a brightness gain of 50% could be obtained by reducing the moderator height to 5-7 cm. Twice out-of-line-of-sight simulations also been performed for the reduced moderator height, see Appendix E.

A double elliptical guide with a kink has been optimized. The optimal sample size was chosen to be width x height = $5x15 \text{ mm}^2$ matching the detector pixel resolution of $3x10 \text{ mm}^2$. The horizontal and vertical beam divergences are uncoupled and can be controlled individually. We have carried out simulations for horizontal divergence $H_{FWHM} = 0.5^{\circ}$ will we have managed to increase the possible vertical divergence $V_{FWHM} = 2.6^{\circ}$. A jaw slit system in front of the samples as realized on WISH@ISIS allows control of the divergence to match the beam divergence to the time resolution. An approximation to the beam delivery system is obtained by running the GuideBot software written by Mads Bertelsen⁴⁴. The result from GuideBot is shown in Figure 8. The guide is optimized for maximum brilliance transfer for neutron with wavelength from 0.6-3Å.



Figure 11: Results from GuideBot optimization. The upper figure is the vertical plane, while the lower figure represents the horizontal plane. The difference in height and width reflects the different divergence requirements.



Figure 12: (*top*) McStas simulations of the brilliance (defined as neutron intensity within a certain interval in wavelength, position, and divergence). (*bottom*) The time averaged flux of the full pulse at sample position: divergence $H_{\rm FWHM}=0.5^\circ$ and $V_{\rm FWHM}=2.6^\circ$ vertical.



Figure 13: Brilliance transfer as function of divergence and position. The colors represent different wavelengths red, green, blue, black, purple equal: 0.6, 1.2, 1.5, 1.9, 2.3Å.

The long guide has difficulty in transporting the shortest wavelength neutrons. The brilliance transfer is about 80% for neutron $\lambda > 2\text{\AA}$, while it drops to about 65% for $\lambda \sim 1.5$ Å and decreases to below 45% for $\lambda < 1$ Å neutrons. The sample flux is obtained from the brilliance transfer and the source brightness. The position and divergence dependence of the brilliance transfer is shown in Figure 13. Integrating the time averaged flux curve over the wavelength interval of 0.6-2.3Å gives a time averaged flux of ~7.5 $\cdot 10^9$ n/cm²/s. The time averaged flux will be reduced when running the pulse shaping chopper, therefore at $\Delta d/d = 1\%$ the time averaged flux will be around $1.3 \cdot 10^9$ n/s/cm². Increasing the resolution by decreasing the divergence and the pulse length will further reduce the time averaged flux at the sample position.

Thermal guide optics: At the up-stream end of the second guide, an aperture system consisting of four individual apertures is controlling the beam size as well as the beam

divergence at the sample position as on WISH at ISIS. The last aperture before hitting the sample is placed 1.5 m from the samples position, just before the beam enters the detector area. The beam will travel in an evacuated beam tube until shortly before the sample. Depending on sample environment, it is foreseen that the vacuum window will be placed 150 mm from the sample position. The window should be made from single crystal diamond to avoid small angle scattering, which could produce unwanted background for the SANS setup.

1.2.4 Powder diffraction detectors

The powder diffraction detectors are arranged cylindrically around the sample and one side is covered from 10-170°. In addition backscattering detectors ensures large coverage of the highest possible angles. In phase 1 the detector coverage will be one side full coverage plus the backscattering detector. In phase 2 the second side of the instrument will be covered from 55-165° of the instrument, this will leave sample access from the side usable for optical access and ease cabling to the sample environment. The detectors are placed at a distance of 1.5 m from the sample position and with a height of 1 m they allow coverage of $\pm 18^{\circ}$ in the horizontal plane. We intend to start with close to 4m² on day 1 equivalent to a detector coverage of 1.8 sr. With the upgrade of the second side of diffraction detectors the coverage will be ~ 3.1 sr. The limited vertical coverage allows using a highly vertical divergent beam to increase flux at sample position with acceptable lose of vertical resolution. The pixel resolution is (width x height) equal to $3 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$ to match sample size, which is optimized for 5 x 15 mm². Scintillation detectors⁴⁵ are the most straightforward solution for our needs. Replacing photomultipliers by avalanche-photo-diodes (APD's) such as developed by PSI for the new POLDI detectors at PSI can further improve such detectors, making the primary electronics less sensitive to stray fields from magnets. PSI has recently entered into an agreement with ISIS for a collaborative effort on scintillation detectors under the EU Horizon 2020 program.

The cylindrical arrangement of the detector ensures a smoothly varying peak profile function both in angular and time space. Together with the almost quadric pulse shape the description of the profile function will be limited to few parameters describing the peak profile in the entire diffraction pattern. This ensures easier data treatment compared with conventional spallation sources, where each detector bank in some cases have to be treated independently. An example of the collected data is shown in Figure 14.



Figure 14: (*left*) Simulation of the powder diffraction pattern for HEIMDAL with the instrumental design described below. The pulse width is 121 μ s, while the horizontal and vertical divergence is $H_{FWHM} = 0.5^{\circ}$ and $V_{FWHM} = 2.6^{\circ}$. The sample is $Na_2Al_{12}Ca_3F_{14}$. (*right*) The summation of the 2D plot gives an idea about the intensity and resolution. The insert shows a range around 8.2-9 Å⁻¹.

The cylindrical arrangement allows 2D refinements of the diffraction data as described in 1.1.8 software development for ESS. The dedicated backscattering detectors will cover the backscattering region to collect as much as possible of the high q data from day-1. Figure 15 shows the upgrade path with regards to detector coverage.



Figure 15: Detector setup including the different building phases. The imaging detector and the radial collimator are not shown and the backscattering detectors are difficult to see.

1.2.5 Radial collimation:

The volume between the sample and the detector from 0.5 to 1.5 m will be filled with Ar to avoid scattering from moisture in the air. In the standard configuration a radial collimator: 600 mm heigh and with a depth of 300 mm with a blade separation of 1° will be installed 0.5 m from the sample. It should be possible to automatically lower the radial collimator out of diffracted beam. This possibility gives an option for easily changing to another collimation system to fit the sample size, and the sample environment. Ideally, it should be possible to build the collimators into the sample environment and make it easily interchangeable. The immediate volume around the sample and sample environment will be evacuated. A vanadium window will seal between the prevacuum around the sample and the Ar in the diffraction detector tank. The sample vessel should be removable for specialized sample environment and allow space of up to 1 m diameter. Normally the sample and sample environment will be top loaded and rubber seals will be used seal for the evacuation.

1.2.6 The sample environment

The success of ILL and in the follow up of many other larger scale facilities was the **availability, but also the standardization of the sample environment**. Sample environment should be exchangeable between most instruments, easy to mount, easy to operate and easy to control. As a consequence, the HEIMDAL design of the sample region will allow most standard sample environment as for example used by POWGEN@SNS, D20@ILL, SPODI@FRM2 or HRPT@SINQ. The sample table height should be selected to be something like 500mm below the beam center (ESS management is expected to standardize this for all instruments). The space should be sufficient for built-in crossed translation tables (correction of small sample misalignments).

Automatic sample changers allows a high through-put of experiments in the standard temperature range from 2 to 350K as mail-in service may be an option for user access. Sample spinning or oscillation will be possible to ensure random orientation of crystal grains with respect to the incoming beam. Special care will be taken to optimize the physical access to the sample area and the auxiliary installed by building a platform above the instrument area. Our target in the main fields are shown in Figure 16:

- Temperatures between 10 mK and 2000K
- Automatic sample changer in the temperature range 1.8-350 K
- Vertical magnetic fields up to 15 Tesla at temperatures 1.8-300K, special cases 50 mK

- Uniaxial pressure up to 20 GPa at temperatures down to 3 K

- Electric fields up to 10 kV/mm at temperatures down to 1.8 K



Figure 16 From left to right: multi low temperature sample changer, orange cryostate, closed cycle cryostate, cryomagnet, pressure cell, high temperature furnace. (Pictures PSI, ILL, FRM2).

In addition to standard sample environments, we are expecting that the drive for *in situ* or *in* operandi studies requires special and flexible sample environment as users will come from different scientific areas bringing their own equipment depending on their need. Therefore it should be possible to install a fume hood over the sample environment area and have the area designated as a chemistry laboratory. The incident optical components and radial collimation should be easily interchangeable allowing the use of sample environment brought in from user laboratories. This is also true for vacuum windows in the sample environment. The sample space should be easily accessible from the bottom and 35° on one side. The side access allows optical access to the sample for irradiation by lasers or light for IR, Raman or other optical characterisation methods. It should be possible to set up the next sample environment close to the instrument and plug it into the instrument control software. Special care has to be taken for controlling and monitor the sample environment, both, online and off-line. It is important, that the control can cover in parallel both operations: The running experiment and the standby experiment. The pretested sample environment should be easily transferable to the beam position. This way the dead time between experiments is minimized. It is envisaged that the complete sample environment can be tested off-line including all cables connections. As the previous beamtime ends the entire sample environment can be pulled out of the instrument and the next sample environment can be inserted with a minimum of setup time yielding the highest possible reliability during experiments. In this fashion, it could also be envisioned, that long term experiments could be inserted at various times (day, weeks, months) without removing the sample from the sample environment. See appendix C for more consideration on the sample environment.

Other characterization techniques such as IR, Raman, UV-VIS, should also be available for materials characterization, along with gas rigs with gas analysis techniques such as mass spectrometry and gas chromatography. Thermogravimetry (TG) and differential calorimetric scanning DSC can also be combined with neutron scattering.

In summary, it is highly important to allow remote changes of temperature, pressure, electric and magnetic field. We will take special care to this point and therefore also allocate a limited amount of money in our instrument budget to cover some basic sample environment to our instrument. We do not see this in contradiction to previous statements (completely exchangeable sample environment between the instruments), as using the same pool and same standard in the sample environment must be a base line at ESS for well maintained and well calibrated instrumentation and can reduce the operating costs of the instruments drastically, as less personal as well as less backup auxiliary instrumentation will be necessary. Summary: Cold guide:

Feeder and guide size: 60x60 mm²

Moderator to sample distance: 167 m, fixed by thermal guide

Chopper system: Band definition chopper 14 m, 2 frame overlap choppers at 18 and 78 m **Guide coating:** m = 2

Slits: Double pinhole collimation for SANS 10 m from sample, Single pinhole for NI **Detectors SANS:** Flat panel detectors placed at 10 m and 4 m, pixel size $4x4 \text{ mm}^2$ **Detectors NI:** Timepix: resolution 50 x 50 µm max size 50 x 50 mm².

1.2.7 Cold chopper system:

Cold guide chopper system: The resolution $\Delta \lambda / \lambda$ for a full pulse at $\lambda = 4.5$ Å is $\Delta \lambda / \lambda = 1.5\%$, which is better than normally needed for SANS and therefore, no pulse shaping chopper is necessary. Three slow revolving disc choppers are needed: CC1) wavelength band definition chopper, CC2) frame overlap chopper, CC3) frame overlap chopper. Velocity selectors that often are used at continuous sources are not beneficial as the length gives the natural high resolution and the limited wavelength band.

CC1) Wavelength band definition chopper: This chopper must be placed within the first half of the instrument length and as close to the moderator as possible. To avoid interference with the choppers in the thermal guide, we chose to place this wavelength band definition chopper 14 m away from the moderator in the straight section of the guide. The chopper will spin at source frequency (14 Hz) and have a disk radius of 600 mm. The chopper should allow the full 2.86 ms pulse to reach the sample. At 14 m the pulse width is about ~10 ms with 14 Hz rotation frequency leading to an opening angle of ~50°.

CC2) *Frame overlap chopper #1*: Like for the thermal guide a frame overlap chopper will be placed half way between the moderator and the sample. This chopper is also spinning at source frequency and has a radius of 600 mm. The opening angle of the frame overlap chopper is just below 180°. Frame overlap is found when slow neutrons from one pulse match the burst time of the wavelength definition chopper meant for neutrons from the next pulse. The wavelength in the wrong frame is 25 Å higher than that of the first frame.

CC3) *Frame overlap chopper #2*: This chopper is placed 18 m from the source and will prevent the neutrons with wavelength +25 Å to pass through the two previously described choppers CC1 and CC2 A summary of all the chopper system is found in Table III.

	Purpose	Guide	Position [m]	v [Hz]	Radius [mm	Opening time [µs]	Opening Length L _{max} [mm or ^o]	Pulse length [ms]
CC1	Band definition	cold	14	14	700	-	50°	10
CC2	Frame overlap	cold	78	14	700	-	175°	-
CC3	Frame overlap	cold	18	14	700	-	175°	-

Table III: Summary of the chopper system need for the cold guide.

1.2.8 The cold guide

Due to the difficulty of transporting thermal neutrons and the wish to optimize the thermal powder diffractometer the angular separation of the two guides by 3.5° is obtained though curving the cold guide. An 8 m straight guide with dimensions of $60x60 \text{ mm}^2$ is placed 2 m from the moderator below the thermal guide. The beam extraction introduces an initial angular offset of 2.5° between the two guides. After the initial straight section, the cold guide curves 0.5° to quickly get out of line-of-sight, this is followed by another straight section. After 24 m, we have a 125 m long curved guide that bends the beam by an angle of

 5.5° to reach the sample at an angle of 3.5° with respect to the thermal beam. The resulting curvature is 1.2 km.

The curved section is approximated by piecewise linear guide pieces. This produces an intrinsic loss of intensity due to small, repeated misplacements from the optimal shape. We have illustrated the effect by carrying out simulations with both 20 and 5 cm pieces of guide. Consequently, for 5 cm pieces the transmission of 5 Å neutrons is 90% for perfect reflecting m=2 mirrors, and 85% for a more realistic reflectivity value of 99.5%. Approximating the curved guide by 20 cm guide pieces gives a considerably worse transmission. Guide loss effects also become stronger for narrower guides, due to a larger number of reflections. The wavelength dependence of the guide transmission is shown in Figure 17. The brilliance transfer for neutrons > 6Å reaches almost 100% using 5 cm pieces and only 75% when using 20 cm guide pieces. The divergence profile has not yet been finally analyzed.



Figure 17 Brilliance transfer of the cold guide shown as a function of the wavelength, λ for two m=2 and two differently sized guide pieces 20 cm (red) and 5 cm (blue).

Cold guide optics: The SANS setup is envisioned with double pinhole collimation and a collimation length of ~10 m – identical to the sample to detector distance. By removing the front aperture, a single pinhole camera can be made with a L/D = 350 using a 20 mm aperture. A horizontal and vertical divergence of $H_{FWHM} = V_{FWHM} = 0.5^{\circ}$ gives an illuminated area of with radius of approximately 60 mm. The beam divergence could be reduced by using an aperture closer to the sample.

1.2.9 Small angle scattering detectors

Detection of scattered cold neutrons are done by dedicated Small-angle scattering detectors and the diffraction detectors. The dedicated small angle scattering detectors are placed in two different positions: 1) A flat panel detector placed 10 m from the sample with a size of $1x1 \text{ m}^2$ and a pixel resolution of $4x4 \text{ mm}^2$, 2) three half sized flat panel detectors $(1x0.5 \text{ m}^2)$ placed 4 m from the sample. The fourth detector is missing and allows a get lost beam tube geometry for the direct beam from the thermal guide. The diffraction detectors are used to extend the q-range of the experimental setup. Flat panel detector will be based on present ESS developments with state-of-the-art ¹⁰B technology, or ³He depending on the development of the ³He availability.

When including the NPD detectors for collecting the SANS signal a very broad range can be covered in a single setting. The detector coverage as function of wavelength is illustrated in Figure 18. A beamstop of 3 cm diameter is placed 20 cm from the detector surface. When including the diffraction detectors the q-range coverage with cold guide neutrons becomes $(q=4n\sin\theta/\lambda)$ equal to $q_{\min}(11 \text{ Å}, \text{ SANS})=0.001 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ to $q_{\max}(4 \text{ Å}, \text{ NPD}),=3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. Using only a

single pulse in the wavelength range 9.1-10.8 Å gives a full coverage in the region 0.001-1.3 Å⁻¹. A calculation of the detector coverage using 9.1-10.8 Å is shown in Figure 19. The scattering curves are calculated for hard spheres with diameters of 2 nm and 100 nm and with an assumed polydispersivity of 10%.



Figure 18 The detector coverage for the cold guide depending on wavelength and detector. The red dashed line show the limit of full coverage or pseudo full coverage, due to the square shape of the detector.



Figure 19: Calculation of two spherical particles of diameter 2 nm (red) and 100 nm (blue) using the wavelength range from 9.1-10.8 Å. The different line widths represent different detectors.

Based on the above calculations a single narrow wavelength band is sufficient for collection of SANS data. If necessary a wider wavelength band is possible through pulse suppression. Pulse suppression is also used to separate the individual pulses from powder and small angle scattering *i.e.* the time window on the detector will be dedicated to either small angle scattering or powder diffraction.

1.2.10 The sample geometry considerations:

Conventionally different sample geometries are used for NPD and SANS. Typical for NPD is a cylindrical geometry giving uniform scattering in all directions, while SANS uses thin flat sample geometry to have an even attenuation across the entire surface of the sample. In our simulations, we are optimizing for a cylindrical sample with dimensions of 5 mm diameter and 15 mm of height. When working with non-hydrogenous samples in SANS it is not necessary to work with thin samples and the variation in thickness across a cylindrical sample can relatively easy be corrected. Especially when using an almost monochromatic beam as is the case for the narrow bandwidth of HEIMDAL. Flat samples as traditionally used by SANS are naturally also usable, but the detector coverage (diffraction detectors) is most likely limited to 45° or less due to angular variation in attenuation. Flat samples would not be good for the 90° detector banks, but we are expecting that complicated sample shapes will be used due sample environment brought to the instrument by external users. We intended that the data reduction software should be capable of deal with different sample geometries and attenuation corrections.

1.2.11 Imaging station

The imaging option is operated as an add-on unit, which can be activated on demand, but not operated in sequence with the 14 Hz ESS pulse frequency. The imaging camera will be placed within the sample chamber normally kept under vacuum. For the operation of the SANS option, the camera has to be moved completely out of the beam area. This can be solved by approaching a parking position, which is beyond the beam, but still within the vacuum chamber. In the operating position, the camera should be placed as close as

possible to the sample to avoid loss of resolution. The design will allow both neutron beams (thermal and cold) to be used for imaging, even with the 3.5° offset of the two beams. Possible detectors are the Timepix/Medipix⁴⁷ detector or a small imaging detector built at PSI (using a CCD camera viewing a scintillation plate over an optical mirror). The two different setups are shown in Figure 20. The Timepix/Medipix detector has two main advantages: 1) it allows time resolved Bragg edge imaging and 2) it can be placed much closer to sample, due to the absence of spacious mirror setups, which higher resolution can be obtained. The wish for resolution is ~50 µm for samples up to 50x50 mm². The Bragg-edge imaging will inherently have sufficient resolution $\Delta \lambda / \lambda = 1.5\%$ at 4.5 Å due to the length of the instrument. The limitation of the present Timepix/Medipix detector is the low active area size (2.8x2.8 cm²), which needs limited R&D.



Figure 20 (*left*) the medipix detector with an active area of 28x28 mm² and a sampling rate of about 1200 Hz. (*right*) classical imaging setup made with fluorescent screen mirror and CCD.

1.2.12 Summary of the HEIMDAL detectors

The list in Table IV**Fejl! Henvisningskilde ikke fundet.** summarizes the detector specifications of HEIMDAL. A future upgrade option is the extended area for the powder diffraction banks and small angle scattering to increase the instrument speed.

	pieces	Size horizontal, vertical	Total area	Constr. phase	Pixel reso- lution	Max. overall count rate	Max. count rate on spot, spot size	Efficiency
		[cm]	[m ²]		[mm]	(c/s/cm ²)	(c/s/cm ²)	
NPD	#	100x400 100x300 &)	4 3	1 2	3x10	10 ⁴	10 ⁵	50%@1Å 70%@5Å 100%@10Å
NPD Back- scattering	1	*)	*)	1				
SANS	1 1 2	100x100 50x100 &) 50x100 &)	1 0.5 1	ິ ທີ່ ທີ່ ທີ່	4x4	10 ⁴	10 ⁴	75%@5Å
IN	1	3x5 (2.8x2.8)	.002	3	0.05x 0.05	Not critical	Not critical	Not critical

Table IV: Summary of the detector specifications of HEIMDAL

&) upgrade options, #) A number of modules covering up to 150°, *) final size of the backscattering bank can be defined as soon as the first technical drawings are available (hard mechanical limitation to be satisfied in this region)

The concept of the multiple-length scale instrument HEIMDAL is based on existing instrument concepts for powder diffraction, small angle scattering and imaging. The challenge is merging these concepts into a single instrument.

1.3 Costing and maturity[2 pages]

This section has been divided into two, covering cost and maturity. The page limitation is exceed considerably, but this was sanctioned at the last STAP meeting in December 2013.

The cost estimates for HEIMDAL can be divided into cost related to the thermal and the cold beam. In general six different components can be identified:

- Neutron guide system
- Choppers including electronics
- Sample surrounding (slits, sample positioning, sample chamber, sample mechanics)
- Detectors (including mechanics, electronics)
- General electronics and computing
- Shielding (primary 5° sector and Instrument)

1.3.1 Neutron guides system

Thermal guide: Length 164 m long with variable, but relative high coating value (m). The thermal guide consists of two double-elliptical sections, eventually with a feeding section. The coating will likely be m=5 in parts of the guide, with a potential to use sections with lower (and consequently less expensive) coating. Our calculations are based on a pseudo-trapezoidal approximation of the elliptical shape. We expect a cost of 1700 kEuro for the thermal guide (includes 750 kEuro for the mechanical support).

Cold guide: The cold guide is coated fully by m=2 and has a size of $6x6 \text{ cm}^2$. The price is here estimated to 1000 kEuro (includes 500 kEuro for the mechanical support).

1.3.2 Choppers including electronics

Thermal chopper: The main cost is laying in the first pulse shaping chopper with an estimated cost of 200 kEuro, while the other two choppers are estimated to 30 kEuro each. In addition electronics are expected to cost 150 kEuro.

Cold chopper: The three cold choppers are all simple choppers with approximate cost of 30 kEuro and 50 kEuro for the control electronics.

1.3.3 Sample surrounding/instrument mechanics

The sample environment contains a vacuum tank, xyz table for the sample positioning, and a positioning system for the imaging camera. All materials chosen for this area must have low magnetic permeability. The costs are expected to be around 300 kEuro. We add 300 kEuro here for sample auxiliary.

1.3.4 Detectors and detector electronics

Diffraction detectors: Detector costs are difficult to estimate as many development projects are ongoing. We are starting with coverage of approximately 4 m^2 . Extrapolating the price of the HRPT-detector at SINQ (1 m^2), we expect here costs in the range of 4000 kEuro. Detector mechanics is included in this number. Upgrades will focus mainly at increasing the detector area for powder diffraction and the SANS.

SANS detectors: For the SANS detectors, an aluminum vacuum tank of approximately 60 m^3 volume is necessary. Detector electronics inside has to be cooled from the outside.

Expected costs are around 300 kEuro for the mechanics only. Initial detector coverage by primary detector and secondary detector is 1.5 m^2 . Estimated costs are 1500 kEuro

1.3.5 Electronics (motor drives, computing, security system)

Operating this three-in-one instrument will need significantly more electronics compared to a standard single purpose instrument. State-of-the-art electronics will be around 400 kEuro including computers. Electronics also includes the instrument safety system.

1.3.6 Shielding costs

1) Secondary shielding: This includes the remaining guide shielding (160m) and the sample area shielding and will mostly be low cost concrete (1000m³). Expected costs are 1500 kEuro. Special care and more expensive shielding are needed for the beam-entrance to the sample area (200 kEuro) with materials similar to the primary sector described later in 3) for the 5° sector closest to the source.

2) Shielding of the detectors: 300 kEuro will be needed for specialized shielding material, e.g. materials such as borated alu-matrix-materials, and borated plastic materials for shielding against thermal neutrons.

3) Primary shielding: The 5m thick shielding of the 5 degree sector starts at a radius of 6.5m and ending at 11.5m. It covers 1.5m above and below the beam level. We need here a composite shielding with high accuracy as many mechanical parts such as chopper, filters, slits are located here and their background has to be eliminated. We are using here the effective costs spent for the EIGER spectrometer built at SINQ to get the costs per volume, as this existing spectrometer is facing a similar radiation spectrum as HEIMDAL at ESS. The volume of this sector for HEIMDAL sums up to 12 m³ and we expect cost of 1500 kEuro. This seems high, but high precision machining of heavy parts, which involves steps, limiting gaps, access to choppers, etc.). Not only HEIMDAL, but also the neighboring instruments will benefit from a high-quality shielding of this sector, and the cost are therefore taken out of the HEIMDAL budget and listed separately as it may be part of the ESS source.

1.3.7 Manpower

The project needs a post doc. for 3 years/fulltime, 1 year of a senior scientist for supervision, 6 years/50% of a leading scientist for the full period, adding up to 7 person-years or approximately 1500 kEuro based on full-costs basis.

The personals costs of a designer (6 years) as well as 2 technicians (12 years in total) are included in the costs below as the numbers are showing full-costs. Building it internally by a university would reduce the costs accordingly. Upgrade phase 1 and 2 are expected to need 1.5 persons for 1 year each.

MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	< <project name="">></project>
Date	14/03/2014

HEIMDAL Cost and Manpower Estimates	Pcs	Cost/pcs	NPD	SANS	NPD/Impaging (upgrades)
Neutron guides		-	4016	1283	
Beam extraction multichannel guide and feeder for the thermal guide	1	300	300		
Thermal elliptic guide, m=max.5, L=150m,	1	2900	2800		
coating max m-5, optimized in the center to $m=2-3$					
Thermal guide, mechanical support	1	833	833		
Thermal guide, installation	1	83	83		
Cold Guide, max M=2, M optimized, L=150m	1	808		808	
Cold guide, mechanical support	1	417		417	
Cold guide, installation	1	58		58	
Choppers (details see table II) inclusive electronics			450	150	
TC1, pulse shaping chopper, f=280Hz, D=700 mm, counter-rotating disks	1	350	350		
TC2, pulse selection chopper, f=14Hz, D=700 mm	1	50	50		
TC3, frame overlap chopper, f=14Hz, D=700 mm	1	50	50		
CC1, band definition chopper, f=14Hz, D=600 mm	1	50		50	
CC2, frame overlap chopper, f=14Hz, D=600 mm	1	50		50	
CC2, frame overlap chopper, f=14Hz, D=600 mm	1	50		50	
Detectors, detector electronics and radial collimators			2825	3390	2575
Cylindrical scintillation detector banks, left/right	2x3.5 m ²	550	1925		1925
1000mm high, R=1500mm, 10-170deg					
Detector mechanics for cylindrical detector banks	2	250	500		
Additional backscattering detector unit, 1m x 0.5m, resolution 5mm	0.25 m ²	800			200
Radial collimator, 150 deg. 1000mm high, 1deg resolution	2	300	300		300
Mechanics for oscillating collimator	2	100	100		100
SANS PSD, 1 x 1.5 m, resolution < 2 x 5 mm	1.5	2000		3000	
SANS PSD Tank	1	300		300	
SANS nose	1	50		50	

MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	< <project name="">></project>
Date	14/03/2014

SANS protection booth, 8m long,3m high, 3m wide, air conditioned (outside of conventional building)	1	40		40	
Imaging Option					50
			F 20		
Sample area, sample control area			520		
Vacuum box, shielding of sample area	1	200	200		
xy stage for exact sample centering	1	50	50		
Instrument hutch and laboratory space	1	100	100		
Working platform for experiment access	1	50	50		
Slits systems	4	30	120		
Electronics and computing			420	20	20
Liectronics and computing			430	30	30
Instrument control electronic (without choppers and detectors)			400	30	30
Local computing			30		
Dedicated sample auxiliary (not part of ESS pool)			400		
Vacuum box, shielding of sample area	1	200	200		
xy stage for exact sample centering	1	50	50		
Instrument hutch and laboratory space	1	100	100		
Working platform for experiment access	1	50	50		
Shielding			1500	200	200
Instrument shielding	1	1800	1500	200	200
Shielding primary sector (part of FSS) 5 degrees	1	1500	FSS	200	200
principality printing south (part of E00), 5 degrees		1000	200		

Total HEIMDAL Investments			
Total without standard sample environment	14101	5053	2805
Total man power needed (kEuro)	2240	200	200
Reserve for unforeseen hardware and manpower (10%)	1238	525	300

MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	< <project name="">></project>
Date	14/03/2014

Total instrument for the different stages	17579	5778	
Total instrument primary phase (NPD + SANS)		23357	
upgrade phase (extension NPD detectors, Imaging part)			3305
Total with upgrade			26662

ESS Pool Investments

Standard sample environment (out of ESS pool)			450	50	
ILL cryostat, 1.5 – 300K	1	80	80		
Cryofurnace, 2-550K	1	120	120		
Vertical magnet, 11T, 4-300K	1	500			
Paris Edinburgh cell, 30 GPa with pressure system	1	100	100		
Dilution insert for ILL cryostat, 20mK	1	150	150		
Gas insertion system for multiple gases	1	70			
Special adaptions for SANS	1	50		50	

Design and Supervision Personal, phase 1	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	All years
Person months Denmark, Aarhus project group	4	4	2	2	2	2	16
Person months Switzerland, PSI project group	2	2	2	2	2	2	12
Person months leading scientist, ESS	6	12	12	12	12	12	66
Person months leading mechanical engineer, ESS	6	6	24	12	12	4	64
Person months, leading electrical engineer, ESS	4	4	12	4	2	2	28
Person months, computing scientists, ESS	1	1	4	8	12	12	38
Total Manpower in PM	23	29	56	40	42	34	224
Total Manpower in kEuro (1 PM = 10 kEuro)							2240

MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	< <project name="">></project>
Date	12/03/2014





1.3.8 Maturity

The following gives a short overview of the maturity of the individual components, finally a table is giving an overview of risk and possible manufactures for key components.

1.3.9 Guide system:

Using two guides from the same beam port converging at the sample position is a new and challenging concept. The guides can be optimized individually, which is a major advantage to a single guide instrument. The thermal guide for diffraction is challenging (double elliptical double ellipse with high m=5 in some places compared to the m=3 guide for HRPD@ISIS build by SwissNeutronics (SNAG)). The length of curved cold guide is not a standard solution, while the radius of curvature of 1200 mm is quite common. Some development may be necessary to improve the alignment of the individual pieces and thus the transmission. These concepts can be tested on smaller scales *e.g.* using BOA@SINQ.

1.3.10 Chopper systems:

All chopper parameters are all in the range of operating instruments and only space limitations due to the two guides and also neighbouring guides will become a challenge. Having pulse shaping choppers close to the source at ESS will be a general challenge. Forschungszentrum Jülich [FZ] and Astrium are potential industrial partners for the production.

1.3.11 Beamstops:

The two-guide-design needs special attention to stop the beams. Lost-beam-geometry seems to be an appropriate approach, but is challenging as space is limited. A further challenge here is avoiding interference between the thermal beam and the small angle detectors.

1.3.12 Alternative pulse-use for the thermal and cold guide:

This concept needs clear separation of the pulses and taking care of the background from "secondary" sources e.g. the prompt pulse, choppers, slits. A special effort must be placed on the sector from 6.5 m to 11.5 m distance from the source to reduce the background. The calculations for this concept has been successfully applied to the shielding of instruments such as EIGER@SINQ and experimental results have proven it's maturity on a instrument facing a similar neutron spectra as that at ESS.

1.3.13 Detectors:

The availability of huge area detectors may be the most limiting factor for a majority of ESS instruments. The prize can limit the affordable area and as a consequence the performance of the instrument. Stability and background sensitivity (γ -suppression) of the detectors can be a limiting factor for HEIMDAL with its relatively open geometry and unpredictable sample equipment brought-in by the user. However, the detectors have fall-back options using present technologies, but here unpredictable cost can limit this option. PSI is presently building the new POLDI scintillation detector with APD's which could be a basis for the PD detectors of HEIMDAL.

1.3.14 Sample environment:

On one hand, using an evacuated sample area reduces the background, but may limit the user-access with dedicated auxiliary equipment. Special care will be taken here to fulfil different needs: fitting standard pool auxiliary as well equipment brought-in by the user.

1.3.15 Shielding

Shielding especially in the primary sector is demanding. Special care is needed to host and service components such as choppers. Calculating the shielding efficiency is a key issue to minimize the size and optimize the efficiency. The PSI group of U. Filges is highly experienced here to deliver such calculations as proven on the EIGER shielding at SINQ. Also here manufactures for such shielding partially heavy and of high accuracy, could be found (alpha Beton, Hinneburg).

In summary, the maturity of the instrument HEIMDAL is given. All the single components have been realized at least on a smaller scale or in another combination elsewhere, but merging them will be highly challenging. Major limitations – as for any ESS instrument – could be the choppers placed close to the biological shielding in a very cramped space, the availability of large and economic area detectors with sufficient resolution, sensitivity and stability. Reducing the background from the source, our own beam optics and the neighbouring instruments needs a major effort.

MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	< <project name="">></project>
Date	07/03/2014

HEIMDAL Technical Maturity	State of the art	Potential Provider	Comments on manufacturing	Comments on risk management
	component			
Neutron guides				
Beam extraction multichannel guide and feeder for the thermal guide	Yes	SNAG Mirrotron	May be made on a metal quide	Radiation close to source
Thermal elliptic guide, m=max.5, L=160m, coating max m-5, optimized in the center to m=2-3	Yes	SNAG	Optimize coating M=5 (as less as acceptable)	accuracy
Thermal guide, mechanical support	No	SNAG		Lowe space at source region
Cold Guide, max M=2, M optimized, L=160m	Yes	SNAG	Standard	Standard
Cold guide, mechanical support	No	SNAG	Standard	Standard
Choppers (details see table II) inclusive electronics				
TC1, pulse shaping chopper, f=280Hz, R700=mm, counter-rotating disks	Yes	FZ/Astrium	Counterrotating Magnetic bearings	One motor versus source, needs space
TC2, pulse selection chopper, f=14Hz, R=700mm	No	FZ/Astrium		Synchronization to TC1 demanding
TC3, frame overlap chopper, f=14Hz, R=700mm	No	FZ/Astrium		Synchronization to TC1 demanding
CC1, band definition chopper, f=14Hz, R=600mm	No	FZ/Astrium		Synchronization to source
CC2, frame overlap chopper, f=14Hz, R=600mm	No	FZ/Astrium		Synchronization to CC1
CC2, frame overlap chopper, f=14Hz, R=600mm	No	FZ/Astrium		Synchronization to CC1
Detectors, detector electronics and radial collimators				
Cylindrical scintillation detector banks, left/right 1000mm high, R=1500mm, 30-175deg	Yes	PSI	Could be replaced by B development by ESS	Experience with POLDI@SINQ still in progress
Detector mechanics for cylindrical detector banks	No	TEL		
Additional backscattering detector unit, 1m x 0.5m, resolution 5mm	Yes	PSI	Restrictions in space due to guides	Experience with POLDI@SINQ
Radial collimator, 150 deg. 1000mm high, 1deg resolution	No	JJ SNAG		Standard, but large Low wavelengths
Mechanics for oscillating collimator	No	PSI JJ-Xray, SNAG	Standard - But large	

MXType.Localized	
Document Number	MXName
Project Name	< <project name="">></project>
Date	07/03/2014

SANS PSD, 1 x 1.5 m, resolution < 2 x 5 mm	Yes	ESS	Boron ?	
SANS PSD Tank	No	TEL		Safety
SANS nose	No	TEL		
SANS protection booth, 8m long,3m high, 3m wide, air conditioned (outside of conventional building)	No	Locally		Temperature stability needed
Sample area, sample control area				
Vacuum box, shielding of sample area	No	TEL Trübbach	Safety aspects	Low permeability materials needed, motors !
xy stage for exact sample centering	No	Huber	Space, collision with IM camera to be solved	Low permeability materials needed, motors !
Instrument hutch and laboratory space	No	Locally		
Working platform for experiment access	No	Locally		Low permeability materials needed, motors !
Slits systems	No	Huber/JJ-Xray SNAG	Conflicts with guides restrict the space	Low permeability materials needed, motors !
Electronics and computing				
Instrument control electronic (without choppers and detectors)	Yes	ESS		
Local computing	Yes	ESS/DMCC	Multiple Rietveld necessary	
	-	-	-	
Shielding				
Instrument shielding	No	Hinneburg Alpha Beton	Low gamma level needed	Space vs. efficiency
shielding primary sector (part of ESS), 5 degrees	Yes	Hinneburg Alpha Beton	Weight vs accuracy Hinneburg for machining, Alpha Beton for raw products	Service, low space

2. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Explanation of abbreviation
APD	Avalanche-photo-diodes
CC	Cold chopper
DMSC	Data management and software center
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
IR	Infrared spectroscopy
NI	Neutron imaging
NPD	Neutron powder diffraction
PDF	Pair distribution function
SANS	Small angle neutron scattering
SAXS	Small angle X-ray scattering
SNAG	SwissNeutronics
Sr	steradian
TC	Thermal chopper
TG	Thermogravimetry
TS	Total scattering
TNPD	Thermal neutron powder diffraction
UV-VIS	Ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy
WAXS	Wide angle X-ray scattering

PROPOSAL HISTORY

New proposal:	(yes)
Resubmission:	(no)

References:

- 1. G. E. Moore, Cramming more components onto integrated circuits, Reprinted from Electronics, volume 38, number 8, April 19, 1965, pp.114 ff. *Solid-State Circuits Society Newsletter*, IEEE 11, 33-35 (2006).
- 2. L. Bjørn, The Skeptical Environmentalist: Measuring the Real State of the World. (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- 3. G. Amow, S. J. Skinner, Recent developments in Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate systems for solid oxide fuel cell cathodes. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 10, 538-546 (2006).
- A. W. Hewat et al., High resolution neutron powder diffraction investigation of temperature and pressure effects on the structure of the high-Tc superconductor Y₂Ba₄Cu₇O₁₅. *Physica C: Superconductivity* 167, 579-590 (1990).
- 5. J. D. Grunwaldt, A. M. Molenbroek, N. Y. Topsoe, H. Topsoe, B. S. Clausen, In situ investigations of structural changes in Cu/ZnO catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis* 194, 452-460 (2000).

- 6. T. Kandemir et al., In situ neutron diffraction under high pressure-Providing an insight into working catalysts. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A-Accel. Spectrom. Dect. Assoc. Equip.* 673, 51-55 (2012).
- 7. B. S. Clausen et al., In situ cell for combined XRD and on-line catalysis tests: Studies of Cu-based water gas shift and methanol catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis* 132, 524-535 (1991).
- A. Cervellino, J. Schefer, L. Keller, T. Woike, D. Schaniel, Identification of single photoswitchable molecules in nanopores of silica xerogels using powder diffraction. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 43, 1040-1045 (2010).
- 9. S. L. S. Stipp et al., Behaviour of Fe-oxides relevant to contaminant uptake in the environment. Chemical Geology 190, 321-337 (2002).
- 10.B. C. Christiansen, T. Balic-Zunic, K. Dideriksen, S. L. S. Stipp, Identification of Green Rust in Groundwater. *Environmental Science & Technology* 43, 3436-3441 (2009).
- M. Bremholm, M. Felicissimo, B. B. Iversen, Time-Resolved In Situ Synchrotron X-ray Study and Large-Scale Production of Magnetite Nanoparticles in Supercritical Water. *Angew. Chem.-Int. Edit.* 48, 4788-4791 (2009).
- Middelkoop et al., Imaging the inside of a Continuous Nanoceramic Synthesizer under Supercritical Water Conditions Using High-Energy Synchrotron X-Radiation. *Chem. Mat.* 21, 2430-2435 (2009).
- 13.S. Takami et al., Neutron radiography on tubular flow reactor for hydrothermal synthesis: In situ monitoring of mixing behavior of supercritical water and room-temperature water. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids* 63, 46-51 (2012).
- 14.C. Tyrsted et al., Watching Nanoparticles Form: An In Situ (Small-/Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering/Total Scattering) Study of the Growth of Yttria-Stabilised Zirconia in Supercritical Fluids. *Chemistry A European Journal*, 5759-5766 (2012).
- 15.R. A. Livingston, D. A. Neumann, A. J. Allen, S. A. Fitzgerald, R. Berliner, Application of neutron scattering to Portland cement. *Neutron News* 11, 18-24 (2000).
- 16.J. W. Phair, Green chemistry for sustainable cement production and use. *Green Chemistry* 8, 763-780 (2006).
- 17.M. P. Fang, P. E. Sokol, J. Y. Jehng, W. P. Halperin, Neutron Diffraction Study of Cement. *Journal* of *Porous Materials* 6, 95-99 (1999).
- M. Castellote, C. Andrade, X. Turrillas, J. Campo, G. J. Cuello, Accelerated carbonation of cement pastes in situ monitored by neutron diffraction. *Cement and Concrete Research* 38, 1365-1373 (2008).
- 19.I. P. Swainson, E. M. Schulson, A neutron diffraction study of ice and water within a hardened cement paste during freeze–thaw. *Cement and Concrete Research* 31, 1821-1830 (2001).
- 20.P. J. McGlinn et al., Appraisal of a cementitious material for waste disposal: Neutron imaging studies of pore structure and sorptivity. *Cement and Concrete Research* 40, 1320-1326 (2010).
- 21.W. F. Kuhs, A. Klapproth, F. Gotthardt, K. Techmer, T. Heinrichs, The formation of meso- and macroporous gas hydrates. *Geophysical Research Letters* 27, 2929-2932 (2000).
- 22.W. F. Kuhs, T. C. Hansen, in Neutron Scattering in *Earth Sciences*, H. R. Wenk, Ed. (2006), vol. 63, pp. 171-204.

- 23.E. D. Sloan, Fundamental principles and applications of natural gas hydrates. *Nature* 426, 353-359 (2003).
- 24.M. A. Kelland, History of the development of low dosage hydrate inhibitors. *Energy Fuels* 20, 825-847 (2006).
- 25.B. Chazallon, W. F. Kuhs, In situ structural properties of N2-, O2-, and air-clathrates by neutron diffraction. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 117, 308-320 (2002).
- 26.H. Ohno, I. Moudrakovski, R. Gordienko, J. Ripmeester, V. K. Walker, Structures of Hydrocarbon Hydrates during Formation with and without Inhibitors. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 116, 1337-1343 (2012).
- 27.D. K. Staykova, W. F. Kuhs, A. N. Salamatin, T. Hansen, Formation of porous gas hydrates from ice powders: Diffraction experiments and multistage model. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 107, 10299-10311 (2003).
- 28.M. Fiebig, Revival of the magnetoelectric effect. J. Phys. D-Appl. Phys. 38, R123-R152 (2005).
- 29.T. Lottermoser et al., Magnetic phase control by an electric field. *Nature* 430, 541-544 (2004).
- 30.M. Daraktchiev, G. Catalan, J. F. Scott, Landau theory of domain wall magnetoelectricity. *Physical Review B* 81, 224118 (2010).
- 31.M. Kenzelmann et al., Magnetic Inversion Symmetry Breaking and Ferroelectricity in TbMnO₃. *Physical Review Letters* 95, 087206 (2005).
- 32.H. Ohno, A window on the future of spintronics. *Nature Materials*, 9, 952–954 (2010).
- 33. Special issue Spintronics, Nature Materials 11, 353-476 (2012).
- 34.G. Catalan, J. F. Scott, A. Schilling, J. M. Gregg, Wall thickness dependence of the scaling law for ferroic stripe domains. *J. Phys.-Condes. Matter* 19, 022201 (2007).
- 35.G. Catalan et al., Fractal Dimension and Size Scaling of Domains in Thin Films of Multiferroic BiFeO₃. *Physical Review Letters* 100, 027602 (2008).
- 36.B. Ravel, M. P. Raphael, V. G. Harris, Q. Huang, EXAFS and neutron diffraction study of the Heusler alloy Co₂MnSi. *Physical Review B* 65, 184431 (2002).
- 37.J. M. DeTeresa et al., Evidence for magnetic polarons in the magnetoresistive perovskites. *Nature* 386, 256-259 (1997).
- 38.P. G. Radaelli et al., Mesoscopic and microscopic phase segregation in manganese perovskites. *Physical Review B* 63, 172419 (2001).
- 39.G. H. Rao et al., Magnetic phase transitions in Pr₅Ge₄. *Physical Review B* 69, 094430 (2004).
- 40.J. Seidel et al., Conduction at domain walls in oxide multiferroics. *Nature Materials* 8, 229-234 (2009).
- 41.E. A. Eliseev et al., Linear magnetoelectric coupling and ferroelectricity induced by the flexomagnetic effect in ferroics. *Physical Review B* 84, 174112 (2011).
- 42.K. P. Bhatti, S. El-Khatib, V. Srivastava, R. D. James, C. Leighton, Small-angle neutron scattering study of magnetic ordering and inhomogeneity across the martensitic phase transformation in Ni_{50-x}Co_xMn₄₀Sn₁₀ alloys. *Physical Review B* 85, 134450 (2012).

- 43.W. Kleemann, V. V. Shvartsman, P. Borisov, A. Kania, Coexistence of Antiferromagnetic and Spin Cluster Glass Order in the Magnetoelectric Relaxor Multiferroic PbFe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}O₃. *Physical Review Letters* 105, 257202 (2010).
- 44.M. Bertelsen, GuideBot Software Package (2013)
- 45.N. J. Rhodes, Scientific Reviews: Status and Future Development of Neutron Scintillation Detectors. *Neutron News* 17, 16-18 (2006).
- 46.C. J. Schmidt, F. Groitl, M. Klein, U. Schmidt, W. Häussler, CASCADE with NRSE: Fast Intensity Modulation Techniques used in Quasielastic Neutron Scattering. *J. Phys., Conf. Ser.* 251, 012067 (2010).
- 47.http://medipix.web.cern.ch/medipix/pages/images.php