



Contribution ID: 112

Type: Oral presentation

## Why is $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$ so closely following atmospheric $\text{CO}_2$ during glacial climate conditions?

Monday, 3 October 2022 14:00 (20 minutes)

Reconstructions of atmospheric methane mixing ratios have been available for decades. The large dynamic range, 350 to 800 ppb, and the abruptness of these  $\text{CH}_4$  changes suggest that  $\text{CH}_4$  is a powerful parameter to constrain past climate conditions, e.g. infer the extent of wetlands and their geographic location. The current view suggests low latitude wetlands as the dominant sources during cold and warm climate conditions. Stable isotopes of  $\text{CH}_4$  offer more insight into the underlying budget changes for past  $\text{CH}_4$  concentration changes. Especially  $\delta\text{D}$  of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $^{14}\text{CH}_4$  successfully ruled out some scenarios (clathrate hydrates destabilization) and constrained the geologic and biomass burning sources. In contrast, the carbon isotopic signature of  $\text{CH}_4$  ( $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$ ) provided many surprises. It revealed that it is not just the mixing of isotopically distinct methane sources controlling the  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$  record but that changes in the isotopic signatures of the sources themselves are essential. A remarkable feature of the  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$  record of the last 450 kyr is its close resemblance to the  $\text{CO}_2$  record. For glacial periods the correlation between  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$  is very tight ( $r^2 = 0.9$ ), and there is no apparent phase lag between the two parameters. Both observations suggest a fast response of the  $\text{d}^{13}\text{C}$  composition of the plant material ultimately used by methanogens for  $\text{CH}_4$  production on the  $\text{CO}_2$ . However, other explanations are equally possible, e.g. both  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{d}^{13}\text{CH}_4$  could be modulated by a third factor, like the position of wind belts in the southern hemisphere that drives  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{d}^{13}\text{CH}_4$  via geographic shifts in rainfall. The regional differences in  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$  within the low latitudes are presumably caused by their relative abundance of functional plant types ( $\text{C}_3$  vs  $\text{C}_4$  plants). However, the relative dominance of  $\text{C}_4$  vs  $\text{C}_3$  plants is not constant over time but can be modulated by climate and  $\text{CO}_2$ . A recent paper by Yamamoto et al. 2022 exploits this  $\text{CO}_2$ – $\text{C}_4$  vs  $\text{C}_3$  relation using  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of plant tissue (leaf wax) representative of the northeastern part of the monsoon-controlled Indian subcontinent to reconstruct atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  over the last 1.5 Mio years. The dynamic range in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of the Yamamoto leaf wax record is  $\sim 9\%$ , comparable to our  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$  record, demonstrating that a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  change of the plant tissue is a potential player in the observed  $\delta^{13}\text{CH}_4$  dynamic. Here we will examine the shared similarities and differences between  $\text{CO}_2$  and the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  records of  $\text{CH}_4$  and the Yamamoto's leaf wax record to understand the driving factors behind it.

**Primary authors:** SETH, Barbara (Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute & Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern); SCHMITT, Jochen (University of Bern); FISCHER, Hubertus (Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute & Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern)

**Presenter:** SCHMITT, Jochen (University of Bern)

**Track Classification:** Progress in proxy development and interpretation