5<sup>th</sup> Workshop Energy for Sustainable Science at Research Infrastructures PSI, 28-29 November 2019

## Permanent Accelerator Magnets for Light Sources J.Chavanne

ESRF, Grenoble , France







The European Synchrotron

## OUTLINE

## Storage ring based Light sources

- 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Light Sources
  - Experience with permanent magnets
- 4<sup>th</sup> Generation Light sources
  - context
  - Potential for permanent accelerator magnets
- Example of ESRF upgrade: EBS
- Summary





## **3<sup>RD</sup> GENERATION LIGHT SOURCES**



ESRF 6 GeV (France)



APS 7 GeV (USA)



SPRING8 8 GeV (Japan)

- Fully dedicated to Insertion Devices
  - Large development of Insertion Device, permanent magnet technology
  - Several **undulators** installed in straight sections (~5m)
- First high energy facilities in 1990-2000 (6-8 GeV), then many medium energy (~3GeV),
- Horizontal emittance of a few nm.rad, hard X-rays
- High brilliance ~ 10<sup>19</sup> ph/s/0.1%bw/mm<sup>2</sup>/mrad<sup>2</sup> limited by horizontal emittance of electron beam.



### **PM MATERIALS**



Practical materials for accelerator PM devices

Highest

stability

ESRF

 $Hc_{I}[A/m]$ 

### Periodic PM arrays for the production of high brilliance X-ray beams



 $B \approx \alpha B_r \exp(-\pi gap / \lambda_0)$ p.m material undulator Technology remanence period

Undulator peak field

More than 95 % of IDs are PM based

- Field range: 0.1 to 3 T
- Period range : 10 mm to 300 mm
- Many ID types
  - Helical undulators
  - Revolver undulators
  - Wigglers
  - Etc ..



ESRF revolver undulator





PETRA III PM Helical undulator

ESRF 3.1 T PM Wiggler



### **PM DEVICES FOR CANTED UNDULATORS**

### Short PM Dipoles for canted undulators

- Angular separation of undulator beam in same straight section
- 3 short compensated PM dipoles
- up to 5.5 mrad angle @ 6 GeV
- PM solution -> compactness
- Sm<sub>2</sub>C0<sub>17</sub> PM material
- 10 years stable operation @ ESRF



### ESRF canting magnet (dipole field)





### 4<sup>TH</sup> GENERATION SR BASED LIGHT SOURCES: THE EVOLUTION TO MULTI-BEND LATTICE



Multi-Bend Achromat (MBA) for lower emittance

- Hybrid Multi-bend (P. Raimondi)
- Dispersion bump for efficient chromaticity correction
  - "weak" sextupole B<sup>"</sup> < 0.5 kT/m</li>
- Smaller beta functions
- Longer & weaker dipoles
- High gradient quadrupoles
- Higher number of magnets/cell: compact magnet lattice

### **Double-Bend Achromat (DBA)**

- Many 3<sup>rd</sup> gen. SR sources
- Local dispersion bump for chromaticity correction
- Large/moderate beta function





## **GEOMETRICAL SCALING: SIMPLE CONSIDERATIONS**



### Small aperture magnets

Seems to favor permanent magnet technology

Resistive magnet: need to increase the total current for the same field after scaling

- Increase current density by 1/k factor -> P1=P/k<sup>2</sup> (2D)
- Increase copper cross section if possible



# **MAGNET DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**



Copper cross section adapted for reasonable current density

- ~ 3 A/ mm<sup>2</sup> @ nominal working point
- Can only be adapted radially
  - ~ similar or larger transverse size compared to magnets in 3GLS





**Dipoles** 

Affordable technology and cost Wall plug power=0





New magnet lattice to be installed in 2019, 128 girders, 4 girders/ cell:



33 magnets/Cell ~ 1000 magnets to build



## **PERMANENT MAGNET DIPOLES**

## Dipoles with longitudinal gradient (DL)

Non constant field along beam path

- Field matched to varying horizontal dispersion (emittance reduction)
  - Higher field at lower dispersion
  - Lower field at higher dispersion
- Practically done with field steps (5 in our case)



### Permanent Magnet (PM) structure

- Demonstrate feasibility of low cost PM Dipoles
  - Low procurement cost
  - Low running cost ~ 0
- Benefits from in-house experience on PM devices (Insertion-Devices)
- Well adapted to the segmentation approach
- compactness

### Early resistive designs



### Permanent magnet design





## **DL MAGNETIC STRUCTURE**

Parameter	Value	Unit
Field	0.64 to 0.17 (DL1) 0.53 t0 0.17 (DL2)	Т
Mech. length	1784	mm
Gap	25	mm
Deflection angle	31.7 (DL1), 29.4 (DL2)	mrad
Power	0	kW
PM weight/DL (Sm <sub>2</sub> C01 <sub>7</sub> )	~ 45	kg
# of units	128+4	
GFR (HxV)	26x18	mm
$\Delta B/B$ in GFR	<10-3	





- Module M2 to M5 geometrically identical but populated differently with magnet blocks
- Module M1 (low field) modified for integration of a photon beam absorber



## DL IRON MATERIAL: PROCUREMENT, QUALITY CONTROL

Raw hot rolled material (low carbon steel)









Delivery to subcontractors for machining Surface treatment Assembly without PM Painting



Flame cutting + heat treatment



Semi finished product (iron blocks)



## **DL ASSEMBLY**

Magnet blocks (Sm<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>17</sub>, Magsound,)



Machined empty modules AMF (UK), CECOM (It)



Magnet block insertion in modules (dedicated tools)



Magnetic measurement & field tuning for individual modules (stretched wire)





Magnetic measurements of full DL & final field tuning (stretched wire)



DL assembly



## **TEMPERATURE STABILITY**

•Dominated by PM material temperature coefficient

•Can be compensated by passive FeNi shunts



Field integral measurements on PM DL modules NdFeB, Sm<sub>2</sub>C0<sub>17</sub>



Special FeNi shunt , thickness 0.8 mm to 4.5 mm depending on module type (Thermoflux 55/100 G, curie temperature ~ 55 C, ~ -2%/C)



Test DL: DL2B\_11\_14 Measurements spread over 1 year:

Residual temperature coefficient ~ 10 ppm/C



### **PERMANENT MAGNET SEPTA: R&D TOPIC**



#### Main parameters

- Field: 1 T
- Lengths: 0.57 m (Se2/2) and 0.98 m (S1)
- Minimum gap: 13 mm
- Injected to stored beam distance in PM septum: 127 mm
- Same technology as DL magnets

Note: Se2/2 and S1 exist as electromagnetic versions + existing PS as fallback config







#### Green Field facilities:

• **SIRIUS** (3 GeV, 120 pm Brazil, under commissioning)



#### Sirius-Details of the New 3.2 T Permanent Magnet Superbend

James F. Citadini, Luana N. P. Vilela, R. Basílio, M. Potye IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity 2018

20 Super bend units

- HEPS (6 GeV, 38 pm, China, under construction)
  - Use of LGBs (DLs) 5 dipoles/cell ,48 cells, 1 central LGB with enhanced field (BM source)

Upgrade projects: permanent magnet dipoles adopted or considered for

- SLS 2
- DIAMOND 2
- SOLEIL 2
- ALS
- ....

Clear evolution toward permanent magnet technology for dipoles in next generation light sources



## PERMANENT MAGNET QUADRUPOLES: STATE OF THE ART



(Courtesy P. N'gotta, G. Le Bec [N'gotta 15])

- ESRF Fixed gradient PMQ
  - Iron dominated magnet
  - *Gradient* 85 T/m, *r*<sub>0</sub>=12 mm
  - $DG/G \le 10^{-3} \text{ at } \pm r_0/2$

Possible gradient variation (additional coils) ± 3% feasible



Bore radius [mm]



- not considered for ESRF EBS
  - R&D not compatible with timescale of the project
    - However devices with limited field variation seems feasible
      - Combined function dipole/quadrupole (DQs)
      - high gradient quadrupoles
  - Serial production methods for PM quadrupoles to be established

• Under consideration for different upgrade projects



Very compact magnet lattice required for low emittance



## ESRF figures

	ESRF 2018	EBS
Dipole magnets, Cabling and Power supply (DQ's for EBS)	720 kW	188 kW
Quadrupole, Sextupoles, Octupoles without correction	1625 kW	984 kW
Correctors and Steerers ( average with aligned magnets)	10 kW	11 kW
Total	2355 kW	1183 kW
Energy for one year operation (7200 H: USM+MDT)	16.9 GWh	8.5 GWh

Courtesy of J.F Bouteille , Power Supply Group

The Electrical power required for the EBS magnets is half that of the previous lattice



## Permanent magnet technology clearly coming in next generation light sources

- Comes from experience with permanent Insertion Devices in 3GLS
- Mainly for dipole magnets for the time being
- Next step is for quadrupole and combined function magnets
  - Limited field variation (few %)
  - .....
- Conventional resistive magnets can be optimized for lower power consumption

## Potential important reduction in energy consumption for magnets in 4<sup>th</sup> GLS



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

#### **Insertion Device and magnet Group**

P. Arnoux I. Asparuhov (Thesis student) C.Benabderrahmane F. Bidault B. Cottin E. Fene G. Giroud G. Le Bec M. Paulin C.Penel F. Revol

R. Versteegen

Accelerator and Source Division(ASD) colleagues

Instrumentation Services and Development Division (ISDD)

**Technical Infrastructure Division (TID)** 



## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



