

Compact Accelerator driven Neutron Source in European Landscape: Efficiency and sustainability aspects

F. Sordo et all, ESS-Bilbao 28.Nov.2019

5TH WORKSHOP ON ENERGY FOR SUSTAINABLE SCIENCE AT RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURES

Outline

1)Introduction to ESS-Bilbao
2)Neutron Sources in Europe
3)Neutron production
4)CANS optimization
5)Construction and operational cost
6)Conclusions

1. Introduction to ESS-Bilbao

ESS-Bilbao



ESS-Bilbao





2. Neutron Sources in Europe

Introduction: Neutron Sources

Neutron are highly demand in European research:

- 8000 users
- 19 neutron sources in Europe
- 32 000 instrument beam days per year
- 1900 publications each year





Figure 4. High impact publications

Number of papers published in high impact journals using data from leading neutron sources around the world, up to 2014. Adapted from image courtesy of ILL: Christian Vettier, Helmut Schober & Bill Stirling.

Introduction: Neutron Sources

Neutron scattering facilities in Europe

Along the next decade it will be a significant reduction of the available instrument days produced by the reactor based facilities shutdown.





Base line scenario

Optimistic scenario



https://www.psi.ch/en/media/the-sinq-neutron-source





Figure 3. Thermal neutron sources f uxes

The evolution of effective neutron source fluxes as a function of calendar year, from the discovery of the neutron in 1932 to the time horizon of this report. HFIR, ILL, ISIS, SINQ, SNS, J SNS and FRM-II (MLZ) are still operational and CSNS and ESS are under construction. Adapted from image courtesy of Gerry H. Lander.

Source: Neutron scattering facilities in Europe

Spallation Reactions



Fission reactions



Mitglied der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Nuclear process: low energy ion induced reactions

Reactions produced by low energy ions (<60-70 MeV) on light isotopes like lithium or beryllium. It neutron production rate is far below fission or spallation reactions.





Fig. 2. Measured total number of neutrons emitted when protons bombard a Be target. The results obtained at the LLN cyclotron (23, 35, 45, 55, 65, 70, 75 and 80 MeV) are shown and compared with previous experimental data and with the theoretical curve proposed by Rubbia [1,2].

I. Tilquin et al. / Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 545 (2005) 339-343



Nuclear process: low energy ion induced reactions

Advantages: low accelerator cost, lower shielding requirements, large reduction of radioactive inventory and remote handling requirements ...

Disadvantages: low neutron production, heat load on target

| Nuclear Process | Example | Neutron Yield | Heat Release [MeV/n] | Source |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Deuteron stripping | 40 MeV Li(d,n) | 7 x 10-2 n/d | 3500 | |
| Nuclear photo effect from e-Bremsstrahlung | 100 MeV e- on 238U | 5 x 10-2 n/e- | 2000 | HUNS, n-ELBE |
| 9Be(d,n)10Be | 25 MeV d on Be | 1.7 x 10-2 n/d | 1000 | |
| 9Be(p,n:p,pn) | 11 MeV p on Be | 2.3 x 10-3 n/d | 5000 | RANS,LENS |
| 9Be(p,n) | 50 MeV p on Be | 2.6 x 10-2 n/d | 2000 | |
| Nuclear fission | Fission of 235U by thermal neutrons | 1n/fission | 180 | MLZ,ILL |
| Spallation | 800 MeV p on 238U or Pb | 27 n/p or 17 n/p | 55 or 30 | ISIS, SINQ, ESS |

Nuclear process: low energy ion induced reactions

In order to produce a compact source, accelerators based on well known technology are proposed

ESS-B 2013 neutron source accelerator



ESS

75 mA, 50 MeV 1.5 ms, 20 Hz

Table 1. An example of a table.

| Element | Length (m) | Energy (MeV) | No. cavs. | No. gaps | FR pow. (MW) | No. klystrons |
|------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Ion Source | 1.5 | 0.045 | | | | |
| LEBT | 3 | | | | | |
| RFQ | 3.2 | 0.045-3 | 1 | 306 cells | 1.2 | 1 |
| MEBT | 1.3 | 3 | 2 | 2 buncher | 0.15 | |
| DTL | 14.6 | 3-50 | 3 | 108 DTs | 3.8 | 3 |

Hight Brilliance neutron Source (HBS)







Nuclear process: low energy ion induced reactions

Considering and standard pulsed high current accelerator (ESS injector) a compact source could produce ~10¹⁵ n/s, far below neutron production in large facilities like ESS (~1.5 10¹⁸ n/s). If we only considered the neutron source, compact sources are not a very efficient solution.

| | | | ESS-B 2013 | HBS* | ISIS-TS2 | ESS |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Accelerator Parameters | Energy | [MeV] | 50 | 70 | 800 | 2000 |
| | Peak Intensity | [mA] | 75 | 100 | | 62,5 |
| | Pulse length | [ms] | 1,8 | 0,833 | | 2,857 |
| | Repetition Rate | [Hz] | 20 | 24 | | 14 |
| | Average Current | [mA] | 2,70 | 2,00 | 0,10 | 2,50 |
| Target-Moderator | Power | [kW] | 135 | 139,944 | 80 | 4999,75 |
| | Y_n(Ep) | [n/mu-C] | 4,15E+11 | 9,23E+11 | | |
| | Neutron yield | [n/s] | 1,12E+15 | 1,85E+15 | 2,15E+16 | 1,49E+18 |
| | Proton Current | [p/s] | 4,32E+16 | 3,20E+16 | 1,60E+15 | 4,00E+16 |
| | Neutron yield | [n/p] | 2,59E-02 | 5,77E-02 | 1,35E+01 | 3,72E+01 |
| | Head load Target | [MeV/n] | 1,93E+03 | 1,21E+03 | 5,94E+01 | 5,37E+01 |
| Construction Cost | | [M€] | 100,0 | 400,0 | 800,0 | 1847,0 |
| | | [M€/10¹⁴ n] | 8,9 | 21,7 | 3,7 | 0,1 |

4. CANS optimization

4. CANS optimization

CANS advantages for Target-Moderator-Reflector-Optics optimization

CANS neutron source in lower than in large facilities, but to complete history have to include moderator, reflector and neutron optics to transport neutrons form source to the sample.

In the second step of the process, the compact sources have significant advantages:

- Target stations are affordable (2-3 M€)
- Better coupling between moderator and target
- Low radiation damage

4.1 Low amount of shielding is needed, several target stations are affordable

The energy of the neutrons produced is limited by the energy of the incident particle thus, in compact sources there is no neutrons above 50-70 MeV an thus, shielding thickness is significantly lower than spallation sources.





4.1 Low amount of shielding is needed, several target stations are affordable

ESS Target station is in the range of 180 M€ however, CANS target stations will be between 2-3 M€. Each instrument suit will have his own target station optimized for his needs.



4.2 Better coupling between moderator and target

Low energy protons penetration in beryllium is juts 10-15 mm compared with 500-600 mm for high energy protons in tungsten. Total mass of CANS target will be 40-50 kg compared with the 5000 kg of ESS Target.









4.2 Better coupling between moderator and target

Low energy protons penetration in beryllium is juts 10-15 mm compared with 500-600 mm for high energy protons in tungsten. Thus, the source is much more concentrated and the coupling with moderators is clearly better.

<image>

37 Cassettes will be assembled in the Target Wheel Vessel

4.2 Better coupling between moderator and target

The ESS moderator developments (pancake and butterfly moderators) will be even better coupled in a compact source. This development improves by a factor ~3 neutron brightness on ESS moderatos compared with 2013 proposal.



Figure 7. Calculated wavelength spectra from TDR, pancake (PC) and Optimized Thermal (OT) configurations. See explanation in the text.



ESS 2013 volumetric moderators (ESS Technical Design Report, S. Peggs editor, ISBN 978-91-980173-2-8, 2013)





ESS 2019 volumetric moderators (Zanini, L., et al. "The neutron moderators for the European Spallation Source." Journal of Physics: Conference Series. Vol. 1021. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2018.)

4.2 Better coupling between moderator and target

HBS Team (Cronert, et al. Journal of Physics: Conference Series. Vol. 746. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2016) proposed to extent the pancake concept to one dimensional moderator dedicated for a single instrument. This will increase by a factor of 7 the efficiency of the coupling, even better than



Better coupling between moderator and target

The ESS moderator developments (pancake and butterfly moderators) will be even better coupled in a compact source. They can be converted in a one dimensional moderator considering that it will be one moderator per instrument and only 2-3 instruments per target station.



Cronert, et al. "High brilliant thermal and cold moderator for the HBS neutron source project Jülich." Journal of Physics: Conference Series. Vol. 746. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2016.

4.2 Better coupling between moderator and target

The ESS moderator developments (pancake and butterfly moderators) will be even better coupled in a compact source. They can be converted in a one dimensional moderator considering that it will be one moderator per instrument and only 2-3 instruments per target station.

| | Power | Rep Rate | Viewed Surface | N. intensity | N. intensity |
|----------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | [Hz] | [<i>cm</i> ²] | $[n/cm^2 \cdot s \cdot sr]$ | $[n/cm^2 \cdot pl \cdot sr]$ |
| JSNS C. hydrogen | 300 | 25 | $100w \times 100 h$ | 1,3E+12 | 5,1E+10 |
| | 1000 | 25 | 100w × 100 h | 4,5E+12 | 1,8E+11 |
| SNS C. hydrogen | 1000 | 60 | 120 w x 100 h | 2,1E+12 | 3,5E+10 |
| | 1400 | 60 | 120 w x 100 h | 3,0E+12 | 4,9E+10 |
| ISIS-TS2 H/CH4, gro. | 48 | 10 | 83 w x 30 h | 5,0E+11 | 5,4E+10 |
| ISIS-TS2 H/CH4, hyd. | 48 | 10 | 120 w × 110 h | 3,0E+11 | 3,0E+10 |
| ESS-BILBAO 2013 | 112 | 20 | 120 w × 100 h | 1,3E+11 | 6,6E+09 |
| ESS-BILBAO HBS | 112 | 20 | $\phi=$ 40 | 5,6E+11 | 2.8E+10 |

Dates from F. Maekawa /NIMA 620 (2010) 159-165

4.3 Low radiation damage

The radiation levels on CANS target area is 3 orders of magnitude lower than hight power neutron sources and thus, neutron optics system can be placed closer to the moderator surface. Considering engineering limitations neutron optics system could be placed at 40 cm from moderator surface.



4.4 Effiency considering moderator coupling

If the metric considers the brightness in the moderator surface, the CANS sources are a very effient option to provide neutrons to users.

| | | | SONATE | ESS-B 2013 | HBS* | ISIS-TS2 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------|----------|----------|
| Accelerator Parameters | Energy | [MeV] | 20 | 50 | 70 | 800 |
| | Average Current | [mA] | 4,00 | 2,25 | 2,00 | 0,06 |
| Target-Moderator | Power | [kW] | 80 | 112,5 | 139,9 | 48 |
| | Neutron yield | [n/s] | 5,2E+14 | 9,3E+14 | 1,85E+15 | 1,3E+16 |
| | Proton Current | [p/s] | 6,4E+16 | 3,6E+16 | 3,20E+16 | 9,6E+14 |
| | Neutron yield | [n/p] | 8,1E-03 | 2,6E-02 | 5,77E-02 | 1,4E+01 |
| Construction Cost | | [M€] | 60,0 | 100,0 | 400,0 | 800,0 |
| | | [M€/10^14 n] | 11,5 | 10,7 | 21,7 | 6,2 |
| 2019 HBS moderator** | Brightness peak average | [n/cm-sr-s] | 3,1E+11 | 5,6E+11 | 1,1E+12 | 5,0E+11 |
| Moderator brightness cost | | [M€]/[n/cm-sr- s]*1E11 | 19,2 | 17,8 | 36,1 | 160,0 |
| Ratio Neutron yield | | | 0,04 | 0,07 | 0,14 | 1,00 |
| Ratio Moderator brightness | | 0,62 | 1,12 | 2,22 | 1,00 | |

5. Construction and operational cost

5. Construction and operational cost

Electricity consumption

The electricity consumption could be a good indicator of the operation budget for accelerator based facilities. Typically corresponds to 40% of the operational budget.

Considering 0.17 €/kWh the electricity cost will be:

- ESS ~ 47.8 M€/year
- ESS-B will be ~ 1.3 M€/year.

ESS Energy Design Report Outcomes from the collaboration between ESS, E.ON and Lunds Energi 2011-2012

| Component | Electricity | Cooling | Unit |
|--|-------------|---------|------|
| Ion Source | 125 | 125 | kW |
| RFQ | 175 | 140 | kW |
| Bunchers (=MEBT) | 19 | 17 | kW |
| DTL (RF system) | 1143 | 956 | kW |
| Spokes (RF system) | 1489 | 704 | kW |
| Low section (RF system) | 3768 | 2961 | kW |
| High section (RF system) | 13894 | 10066 | kW |
| LEBT & HEBT | 500 | 500 | kW |
| Pumps in cooling circuits | 62 | 62 | kW |
| Fans for ventilation | 89 | 45 | kW |
| Racks for instruments | 200 | 200 | kW |
| RF test stands (RF system) | 1300 | 1300 | kW |
| Cooling of air in klystron gallery | 100 | 100 | kW |
| Linac tunnel | 63 | 380 | kW |
| Cryo-cooling cavities, RF test stands, LHe | 4000 | 4000 | kW |
| Utilities (compressed air, etc.) | 500 | 500 | kW |
| ESS (2000 GeV) | | | |
| Total, power | 27427 | 22055 | kW |
| Total, energy use | 148,1 | 119,097 | Gwh |
| Compact Source (~50 MeV) | | | |
| Total, power | 1518,4 | 1645 | kW |
| | | | |

5. Construction and operational cost

Operational cost

The operation cost of Compact sources will be relative low due to low energy consumption

| Facility | ILL | ISIS | MLZ | SINQ | ESS | LLB | SONATE TS1 + TS2 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------------------|
| First Neutrons | 1971 | 1994 | 2004 | 1998 | 2023 | 1981 | 2025-2030 |
| Replacement value (M€) | 2000 | 800 | 600 | 750 | 1847 | 400 | 70 |
| Operating costs (M€) | 95 | 62 | 55 | 30 | 140 | 30 | 3.65 |
| Instrument-day (k€) | 11.9 | 16.7 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 35.3 | 7.9 | 2 |
| Operation cost / replacement value | 4.75% | 7.75% | 9.2% | 4% | 7.6% | 6.7% | 5.2% |

ESFRI Report, Neutron scattering facilities in Europe, Present status and future perspectives, 2017

Ott, Frédéric, Alain Menelle, and Christiane Alba-Simionesco. "The SONATE project, a French CANS for Materials Sciences Research." arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01582 (2019).

6. Conclusions

6. Conclusions

Main Remarks:

• Accelerator based compact sources can present a very efficient solution to compensate the research reactors shutdown in the coming years.

