Design Of Thin Targets For The NUMEN Experiment

F. Pinna, on behalf of the NUMEN Collaboration



NUMEN Project

• The aim of the NUMEN project is to measure the cross section of **Double Charge Exchange** (DCE) reactions and to evaluate their *Nuclear Matrix Elements* (NME)

NUMEN Project

 The aim of the NUMEN project is to measure the cross section of *Double Charge Exchange* (DCE) reactions and to evaluate their *Nuclear Matrix Elements* (NME)

 NME of DCE can help to evaluate the NME of *Neutrinoless Double* β-*Decay* (0vββ): the two processes share some important features, such as the same *initial* and *final* states of target isotopes



NUMEN Project

- NUMEN is hosted at Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, INFN, Italy
- Run at low intensity beam (*I_{beam}* ≈ 10 *nA*) already perfomed, data taking planned until 2033
- It's currently in the upgrade phase: everything from the cyclotron to the acquisition system is being improved
- Ion beams will have energy range 15-60 MeV/u and intensity up to $I_{beam} \approx 50 60 \ \mu A$



NUMEN Requirements

- The broad experimental campaign involves <u>0vββ candidates</u>: ¹³⁰Te, ⁷⁶Ge, ¹⁶⁰Gd, ¹⁰⁰Mo, ¹¹⁶Sn, ⁷⁶Se, ..., whose DCE reactions have a low cross section (few nb)
- Large amount of data are required for having a good statistics: need of <u>high intensity ion beam</u> of ¹⁸O and ²⁰Ne (more than 13 μA)



NUMEN Requirements

- A good energy resolution is required too, in order to clearly distinguish the energy levels of recoiling nuclei: targets must be thin, below 1 μm
- High intensity beams produce a lot of heat by energy loss, which standalone thin targets cannot withstand



HOPG backing

- Thermal stress is addressed by backing the targets with *Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite* (HOPG)
- HOPG is a special kind of highly conductive graphite (k_{//}=1700-1950 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹) which is produced in μm-thick sheets. It serves to dissipate heat toward the cooling system, as post-stripper and mechanical support



Target System

The target (1 cm in diameter, about 250 μ g/cm² in thickness) is deposited on a HOPG substrate (side of 2 cm, 450 μ g/cm² thick) and then <u>clamped between two copper halves</u>





Target System

The whole object is fixed on top of a cryocooler (fastened by screws or bayonet mount)





Target heat resistance

<u>Numerical calculations</u> show that the assembly **can withstand** the **highest beam current** used in the experiment (10¹³ pps) thanks to the <u>high thermal conductivity</u> of the backing

Beam spot size: 1 x 2,5 mm²



HOPG Downside

Few drawbacks:

- The HOPG/target assembly affects the energy of the reaction products and the experimental energy resolution: they must be carefully designed to reduce their impact as much as possible
- There is scarce literature on HOPG as substrate; it is rather inert, which makes depositing the isotopes on it more challenging

Target & HOPG Thickness and Uniformity

Several phenomena in <u>target and HOPG</u> affect energy resolution (like <u>Energy straggling</u> and <u>dispersion error</u>);

Both are worsened by thickness and non-uniformity: the two must be carefully balanced.

The smoother the target, the thicker it can be (and higher reaction rate!)



Target production

Very important to have <u>uniform targets</u>!

Targets are deposited by **e-beam** deposition or **thermal evaporation**,

Very costly isotopes, only few grams available

Buffer to improve homogeneity

• Heated substrate to improve coverage

SEM can be used to qualitatively study the deposition's surface It's a <u>quick method</u> to distinguish promising prototypes...



SEM can be used to **qualitatively study** the deposition's **surface** It's a <u>quick method</u> to distinguish promising prototypes...

... from bad samples



Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) and Alpha Particle Transmission (APT) are being used to <u>quantify</u> thickness and uniformity

<u>RBS</u>:

- Thickness measurement of individual layers
- Check elemental purity



Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) and Alpha Particle Transmission (APT) are being used to <u>quantify</u> thickness and uniformity

<u>APT</u>:

- Thickness measurement
- Evaluation of uniformity



Target resolution

Results from <u>RBS and APT</u>(of both HOPG and target) measurements are used as input data in a <u>Monte Carlo</u> code for evaluate the energy resolution

Energy resolution must be smaller than the ΔE of adjacent energy levels of recoiling nucleus (for most isotopes ~ 500 keV) ⁷⁶Se(¹⁸O, ¹⁸Ne)⁷⁶Ge (Mn buffer) Backing: HOPG, 2,14 ± 0,107 μm (5% uniformity) Target: ⁷⁶Se, 520 ± 38 nm (8% uniformity) ΔE (⁷⁶Ge²⁺) = 563 keV FWHM = 463 keV



Beam test HERETIC

- Performed in INFN-LNL
- Targets of HOPG, Te, and Ge irradiated with <u>1 μA of ¹⁶O @50 MeV</u>
- Temperature monitored with <u>thermal camera</u>, <u>target integrity</u> monitored with <u>Si detectors</u>
- Targets not visibly damaged
- Data analysis ongoing



Next steps

- HOPG thermal conductivity decreases with radiation-induced defects; targets may evaporate under irradiation. Need to find <u>how HOPG</u> properties change when irradiated
- Establishing of a protocol for measurements of thermal conductivity
- Implanted Xe targets
- Perform test of the complete cooling system in experimental conditions similar to NUMEN (E_{beam} > 15 MeV/u, 10¹³ pps, Z_{beam} ~ 8-10)

Conclusion

<u>NUMEN</u> is an ambitious experiment hosted in INFN-LNS, Catania, Italy, <u>aimed to measure</u> the (very low!) <u>cross sections of DCE events</u>.

The broad experimental campaign involves the usage of <u>several targets</u>, which must endure <u>harsh conditions</u> during data taking: many <u>challenges</u> in their design and production.

A HOPG backing dissipates the heat deposited by the beam in the target.

Results from characterization techniques (SEM, APT, RBS, ...) are used to evaluate the impact of the target on the energy resolution.

First beam test seems promising.

Thanks for your attention!

On behalf of the NUMEN Collaboration

... and the NUMEN Target group

L. Acosta, C. Agodi, L.H. Avanzi, D. Calvo, V. Capirossi, F. Cappuzzello, M. Cavallaro, E. Chavez Lomeli, E.F. Chinaglia, K.M. Costa, F. Delaunay, M. Fisichella, S. Gallian, M. Giovannini, M.A. Guazzelli, A. Huerta Hernandez, F. Iazzi, D.J. Marin Lambarri, S. H. Masunaga, N.H. Medina, J.R.B. Oliveira, F. Pinna, T.M. Santarelli, R.B.B. Santos, D. Torresi, H. Vargas Hernandez, R.G. Villagrán

Institutions

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, Italy Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, Italy Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Ettore Majorana", Università di Catania, Italy DISAT, Politecnico di Torino, Italy LPC Caen, Normandie Université, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS/INP3, France Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil Instituto de Fisica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico Centro Universitario FEI Sao Bernardo do Campo, Brazil Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università di Genova, Italy





Crucial points

Heat dissipation: numerical calculations show that the system can tolerate the incoming heat – Calculations still to be confimed by experiment;

Target sputtering: given the modest deposited power (less than 1 keV/nm), it would take several days to etch away the target at the highest beam intesity – Very few data for sputtering at NUMEN energies;

HOPG radiation damage: due to the modest energy loss in HOPG, ion tracks can be excluded – no data for this material, amorphization rate uncertain, most critical point.

Fesem of Mo samples

• Mo deposited at RT by ebeam evaporation

