FROM RAW DATA TO PHYSICS RESULTS AT THE LHC

August 2022 PSI Particle Physics Summer School

Anna Sfyrla, UniGe

For any question don't hesitate to get in touch:

anna.sfyrla@unige.ch

WHAT WILL THIS LECTURE BE ABOUT?

INTRODUCTION

• Definitions and basic concepts

INPUT TO THE PHYSICS

- The data: trigger, data preparation
- The theory: Monte carlo simulations
- Reconstruction, or how to translate detector signals to particles

PHYSICS ANALYSES

- Through example, step-by-step
- Discussion of analysis methods

MACHINE LEARNING IN HEP

• Just a teaser!

PART 3

RECONSTRUCTION



RECONSTRUCTION - FIGURES OF MERIT

	DEFINITION	EXAMPLE		NEEDS BE:
EFFICIENCY	how often do we reconstruct the object we are interested in	electron identification efficiency = (number of reconstructed electrons) / (number of true electrons) in bins of transverse momentum	$\begin{array}{c} 0.95\\ 0.95\\ 0.9\\ 0.8\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7\\ 0.7$	High
RESOLUTION	how accurately do we reconstruct the quantity	energy resolution = (measured energy – true energy) / (true energy)	$\sigma = (1.12 \pm 0.03)\%$	Good (a small number)
FAKE RATE	how often we reconstruct a different object as the object we are interested in	a jet faking an electron, fake rate = (Number of jets reconstructed as an electron) / (Number of jets) in bins of pseudorapidity	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Low

WHAT DO WE RECONSTRUCT?



- Combining those:
 - "objects", i.e. "particles"



WHAT DO WE RECONSTRUCT?



ELECTRON RECONSTRUCTION

- Electron momentum measurement can come from tracking or calorimeter information (or a combination of both)
 - Often have a final calibration to give the best electron energy
- Working points define categories
 - © E.g. loose, medium, tight
 - Trade-off: Efficiency vs Fakes
- Often want "isolated electrons"
 - Require little calorimeter energy or tracks in the region around the electron



ELECTRONS – IDENTIFICATION ALGOS

Signal

Signal

Signal

Background

Background

0 900 10 Δ E. (MeV)

Background



Information can be exploited using multi-variate techniques such as **likelihood discriminants** or **boosted decision trees** or **other machine learning methods**.

Example of different calorimeter shower shape variables used to distinguish electron showers from jets in ATLAS



 Combine the muon segments found in the muon detector with tracks from the tracking detector

- Momentum of muon determined from bending due to magnetic field in tracker and in muon system
 - Combine measurements to get best resolution
 - Need an accurate map of magnetic field in the reconstruction software
 - Alignment of the muon detectors also very important to get best momentum resolution



JETS



JET PRODUCTION PROCESSES



Jets are produced:

- by fragmentation of gluons and (light) quarks in QCD scattering
- by decays of heavy Standard Model particles, e.g. W & Z
- in association with particle
 production in Vector Boson Fusion,
 e.g. Higgs
- In decays of beyond the Standard Model particles, e.g. in SUSY

JETS





At low energy, jets are more likely produced by gluon fusion.



JET ALGORITHMS

• Theory requirements: infrared and collinear safe



Soft gluon radiation should not merge jets





...and on signal split in two possibly below threshold

- Experimental requirements: Independent to detector technology and data taking conditions, easily implementable
- Jet algorithm commonly used at the LHC: 'anti-k_t'. A 'recursive recombination' algorithm. Starts from (topo-)clusters. Hard stuff clusters with nearest neighbor. Various cone sizes (standard R=0.4/0.5, "fat" R=1.0).



JET CALIBRATION

- Correct the energy and position measurement and the resolution.
- Account for:

Instrumental effects Detector inefficiencies 'Pile-up' Electronic noise Clustering, noise suppression Dead material losses Detector response Algorithm efficiency

Physics effects

Algorithm efficiency 'Pile-up' 'Underlying event'



JETS AND PILE-UP



Multiple interactions from pile-up



B-JETS

b-hadrons have a lifetime of ~ 10⁻¹² s.
They travel a small distance (fraction of mm) before decaying.
A "displaced vertex" creates a distinct jet, so b-jets can be tagged (b-tagged).
b-tagging uses sophisticated algorithms, mostly multi-variate (machine learning).





MISSING TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM – ME_T



In the transverse plane:

$$\Sigma_i \vec{p}_{T,i} = 0$$

So for what we can't directly measure (e.g. neutrinos)

$$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} = -\Sigma_i \vec{p}_{T,i}$$



MISSING TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM – ME_T



In the transverse plane:

$$\Sigma_i \vec{p}_{T,i} = 0$$

OR DARK MATTER CANDIDATES !

So for what we can't directly measure (e.g. neutrinos)

$$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} = -\Sigma_i \vec{p}_{T,i}$$

Simplified Detector Transverse View Muon Spectrometer Toroids HadCAL **EMCAL** photon Solenoid electron TRT SCT **Pixels** muon κv

PARTICLE FLOW FOR HADRONIC RECONSTRUCTION

PARTICLE FLOW



PARTICLE FLOW



PARTICLE FLOW

- Reconstruct and identify all particles, photons, electrons, pions, …
- Use best combination of all subdetectors for measuring the properties of the particles.
- First used at LEP (ALEPH) and then at the LHC (CMS).



JETS IN PILE-UP



25

Multiple interactions from pile-up

JETS IN PILE-UP



26

Multiple interactions from pile-up



Resolution: the quality with which we measure the jet momentum.



Resolution: the quality with which we measure the jet momentum.



Resolution: the quality with which we measure the jet momentum.



Significant improvement for low-pT jets. Similar for MET.

A COMPARISON



 PF jets (CMS) and calo jets (ATLAS) have similar performance.
 Particle reconstruction always needs to be optimized depending on the detector technologies and experimental requirements. Objective:Trigger ("online") reconstruction same as "offline".Problem:Time. Trigger decision needs to be taken fast.Solution:Simplification.Challenge:Clever simplification = good performance.



E.g. track reconstruction in regions of interest and simplified MET calculation.

RECONSTRUCTING PARTICLES





TAUS

Tau Decay Mode			
Leptonic		$\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \nu + \nu$	17.8%
		$\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} + \nu + \nu$	17.4%
Hadronic	1-prong	$\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} + \nu$	11%
		$\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} + \nu + n\pi^{\circ}$	35%
	3-prong	$\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow 3\pi^{\pm} + \nu$	9%
		$\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow 3\pi^{\pm} + \nu + n\pi^{\circ}$	5%
Other			~5%

Hadronic tau reconstruction extremely challenging
 Using multi-variate (machine learning) techniques
 based on track multiplicity and shower shapes



TOP, W, Z



AND THE HIGGS!


HOW ABOUT NEW PARTICLES?

• These decay to Standard Model particles or create $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ME}_{\mathsf{T}}}$



LHC PHYSICS AN ANALYSIS STEP-BY-STEP

PHYSICS ANALYSES



PHYSICS ANALYSES

"Systematic" uncertainties are introduced by inaccuracies in the methods used to perform the measurement.

Measurements

- Allow important tests of the consistency of the theory.
- Typically limited
 by systematic uncertainties.

Searches

- Image: Second states and the second state
- If no signal, set limits on some model.
- If signal, a potential discovery!
- More data typically improve a search.

SIMPLE EXAMPLE: MEASURING THE Z⁰ CROSS-SECTION AT LHC

© Z^o boson decays to lepton or quark pairs

O We can reconstruct it in the e⁺e⁻ or $\mu^+\mu^-$ decay modes



Obscovery and study of the Z^o boson was a critical part of understanding the electroweak force.



O And now, at the LHC?

- Important test of theory: does the measurement agree with the theoretical prediction at LHC collision energy?
- A standard candle for studying reconstruction and deriving calibrations.
- Can be used for luminosity determination!

MEASURING THE Z^0 CROSS-SECTION AT LHC





MEASURING THE Z⁰ CROSS-SECTION AT LHC

RECONSTRUCTING Z⁰'S



44

STEP-1: IDENTIFY THE OBSERVABLE OF INTEREST

- Identify Z decays using the invariant mass of the 2 leptons $M^2 = (L_1 + L_2)^2$ where $L_i = (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i) = 4$ -vector for lepton *i*

- Under assumption that lepton is massless compared to mass of Z^o => $M^2 = 2 E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \theta_{12})$ where θ_{12} = angle between the leptons

STEP-2: SELECT Z⁰ **EVENTS WITH** 'ANALYSIS CUTS':

- Events with 2 high momentum electrons or muons
- Require the electrons or muons are of opposite charge
- With di-lepton mass close to the Z^o mass

(e.g. 70<m_{l+l-}<110 GeV)

Very little background in Z^o mass region!



RECONSTRUCTING Z⁰'S



45

STEP-1: IDENTIFY THE OBSERVABLE OF INTEREST

- Identify Z decays using the invariant mass of the 2 leptons $M^2 = (L_1 + L_2)^2$ where $L_i = (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i) = 4$ -vector for lepton *i*

- Under assumption that lepton is massless compared to mass of Z^o => $M^2 = 2 E_1 E_2 (1 - \cos \theta_{12})$ where θ_{12} = angle between the leptons

STEP-2: SELECT Z^0 events with 'analysis cuts':

- Events with 2 high momentum electrons or muons
- Require the electrons or muons are of opposite charge
- With di-lepton mass close to the Z^o mass

(e.g. 70<m_{l+l-}<110 GeV)

Very little background in Z^o mass region!





Z->ee in UA1

Two EM clusters with E_T >25GeV.



46

As above plus a track with $p_T > 7$ GeV pointing to the cluster. Hadronic and track isolation requirements applied.

A second cluster has also an isolated track.

http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1984/rubbia-lecture.pdf

MEASURING Z⁰ CROSS-SECTION

THEORETICALLY

Cross-section calculated for:

- © Specific production mechanism (pp, pp, e⁺e⁻)
- © Centre-of-Mass of the collisions (7, 8, 13 TeV at LHC)

EXPERIMENTALLY

$$\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR} = \frac{\mathrm{Number \ of \ events}}{\alpha \cdot \epsilon \cdot \mathrm{L}}$$

N of events: N of events on data – N of expected background events
 α – acceptance: fraction of events passing selection requirements
 ε – efficiency: reconstruction efficiency of relevant objects
 L – luminosity

All numbers carry **uncertainties** – both **"statistical"** and **"systematic"**!





MEASURING Z⁰ CROSS-SECTION

THEORETICALLY

Cross-section calculated for:

- [©] Specific production mechanism (pp, pp, e⁺e⁻)
- © Centre-of-Mass of the collisions (7, 8, 13 TeV at LHC)

EXPERIMENTALLY

$$\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR} = \tfrac{\mathrm{Number of events}}{\alpha \cdot \epsilon \cdot \mathrm{L}}$$

N of events: N of events on data – N of expected background events
 α – acceptance: fraction of events passing selection requirements
 ε – efficiency: reconstruction efficiency of relevant objects
 L – luminosity

All numbers carry **uncertainties** – both **"statistical"** and **"systematic"**!



Total production cross section [nb]

48

MEASURING Z⁰ CROSS-SECTION



MEASURING W CROSS-SECTION



$$M_{T^{2}} = 2 E_{T_{1}} E_{T_{2}} (1 - \cos \theta_{12})$$



MEASURING W CROSS-SECTION







ANALYSIS FLOW - E.G. CROSS-SECTION MEASUREMENT



ANALYSIS FLOW - E.G. CROSS-SECTION MEASUREMENT





SIMPLE SEARCH EXAMPLE: SEARCH FOR A HEAVY Z'

Ike Z->ee but at higher mass



Select 2 electron candidates and plot their invariant mass for:

- 1. Data
- 2. Simulated background events
- 3. Simulated signal with different masses

Data inconsistent with a 1TeV Z'

Cross-section decreases with mass (higher the mass of the Z', the more data needed to discover it)

SIMPLE SEARCH EXAMPLE: SEARCH FOR A HEAVY Z'

And similar for muons

Events



Select 2 electron candidates and plot their invariant mass for:

- 1. Data
- 2. Simulated background events
- 3. Simulated signal with different masses

Data inconsistent with a 1TeV Z'

Cross-section decreases with mass (higher the mass of the Z', the more data needed to discover it)

A SMALL COMPARISON





Differences in: **® Resolution**

Background composition

Oataset

EVOLUTION...



SEARCHES



A WELL-KNOWN BUMP SEARCH









THANK YOU MARIO!

BUT OUR PRINCESS IS IN ANOTHER CASTLE!



ANOTHER SEARCH EXAMPLE: SEARCH FOR SUSY IN EVENTS WITH LARGE JET MULTIPLICITIES



Disclaimer:

This is only an example!

There are numerous such searches! Each of them differs in

- event selections,
- background determinations,
- methodology SEARCHING FOR NEW PHYSICS IS FUN!

FROM RAW DATA TO PHYSICS INSTEAD OF SUMMARY:

COMPONENTS OF AN ANALYSIS

- Data-set and Monte Carlo samples
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event selections
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- Interpretations

Data-set and Monte Carlo samples

- Trigger
- Object definitions a
- Background determ
 Addressed
 Addressed
- Systematic uncertai
- Statistical methods
- Results
- Interpretations

The data and simulation samples used in the analysis. Data for the measurement / search, simulation to compare data to predictions.

Data-set specifics:

◎ Data quality ⇒ Good run list.

© Luminosity.

Monte Carlo sample specifics: [©] Generator, tunes. [©] Statistics. Data-set and Monte Carlo samples

- Trigger
- Object def
- Background de
- Systematic uncerta
- Statistical methods
- Results
- © [Interpretations]

The trigger used to collect the data with.

Trigger specifics:

 Prescales; typically unprescaled triggers are used, prescaled triggers for QCD / high stat measurements.
 Trigger (in)efficiencies.

COMPONENTS OF A PHYSICS A

- Data-set and Monte Carlo sa
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event se
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- Interpretations

The exact definition of objects (electrons, muon, jets, ...) and how these are combined in selecting events to be analyzed.

Object definition specifics:

Flavor" of the identification (loose, medium, tight).
Calibrations.

Event selection specifics:

- © Event cleaning (e.g. from noise and cosmics).
- Momentum, geom. acceptance and multiplicity of objects.
- Higher level cuts, such as invariant mass.
- © "Signal regions".
- Data-set and Monte Carlo samples
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event y
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- Interpretations

Events that are imitating the signal we are searching for or measuring.

Background determination specifics:

- Can/must be data-driven or simulation-based.
- Validation regions" and
 "control regions" required.
 These can use different
 triggers wrt signal regions.

COMPONENTS OF A PHYSICS ANALYSIS

- Data-set and Monte Carlo sa
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- Interpretations

- Any 'intermediate' measurement we have performed carries uncertainties (statistical and systematic).
- Systematic" uncertainties are introduced by inaccuracies in the methods used to perform the measurement.
- Efficiencies, acceptance, number of events, luminosity, cross sections used in Monte Carlo scaling...
- Some of them are "centrally" assessed by the performance groups of an experiment. Some of them are analysisspecific.

COMPONENTS OF A PHYSICS ANALYS

- Data-set and Monte Carlo samples
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event selections
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- [Interpretations]

Dealing with large data-sets, we use statistical methods to make sense of the numbers we measure.

Typical method: O a fit to extract signal from background.

Methodologies can vary a lot, but nowdays they are pretty unified within and across experiments.

Neural nets and other machine learning methods are broadly used, primarily to improve signal over background discrimination!

- Data-set and Monte Carlo samples
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event selections
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- Interpretations

Produce the results in tables and plots. These include details of what is found in the signal region.

- Data-set and Monte Carlo samples
- Trigger
- Object definitions and event selections
- Background determination
- Systematic uncertainties
- Statistical methods
- Results
- [Interpretations]

Put the results into context: interpret them in theoretical models.

MACHINE LEARNING HOW IT IS USED IN HEP

Just a teaser! The topic is broad and can easily be a long lecture itself!



WHAT IS MACHINE LEARNING?

"Giving computers the ability to learn without explicitly programming them" (Arthur Samuel, 1959)



1957 : Alex Bernsteil demonstrates use of IBM's 704 computer AI playing chess against it



1959 : Arthur Samuel uses the term "Machine Learning" for the first time when talking about his checkers program

WHAT IS MACHINE LEARNING?

Let's use a Neural Network (NN) as an example



In simple words:

- NN: single-valued function (of weights and other parameters) of input values
- **Training:** optimization of that function on test sample (e.g. MC)
 - The function "learns" the model
 - Minimisation of an "error function" (e.g. χ^2) to find optimized weights and parameters
- Inference: Use that optimized function on real data

IS IT REALLY THAT SIMPLE?



More hidden layers (deep networks) allow:

- Factorised learning of the structure of data
- Progressivelly learning more complex data sets



But...

- Very difficult to train
- Decades of research led to great advancements!



MACHINE LEARNING IN HEP

- Used since very long (the 90's, if not before)
- In the past: neural networks and other MVAs (e.g. BDTs).
- Nowdays: More complicated and "deep" networks. CNNs, RNNs, GANs, ...
- Used in reconstruction
 - Classic example: b-tagging, already at the Tevatron (since early 2000)
- Used in analyses, e.g. for s/b optimisations
 - Historic example: discovery of single top at the Tevatron (2009)
- Higgs discovery made use of multiple MVAs



MACHINE LEARNING IN HEP

- Used since very long (the 90's, if not before)
- In the past: neural networks and other MVAs (e.g. BDTs).
- Nowdays: More complicated and "deep" networks. CNNs, RNNs, GANs, ...
- Used in reconstruction
 - Classic example: b-tagging, already at the Tevatron (since early 2000)
- Used in analyses, e.g. for s/b optimisations
 - Historic example: discovery of single top at the Tevatron (2009)
- Higgs discovery made use of multiple MVAs









87

MACHINE LEARNING IN HEP NOWDAYS

TO EFFICIENTLY USE ML, WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND

- THE PROBLEM WE WANT TO SOLVE
- HOW ML CAN HELP ADDRESS A SPECIFIC PROBLEM
- WHAT ML ALGORITHM / ARCHITECTURE TO USE

AND THEN ML CAN BE AN EXTREMELY USEFUL TOOL!



https://xkcd.com/1838/

EXCITING TIMES COMING UP IN HEP GOOD LUCK IN YOUR RESEARCH!

Please get in touch for question, comments, or simply feedback on this lecture anna.sfyrla@unige.ch